# **Application for a §1915(c) Home and Community-Based Services Waiver**

# PURPOSE OF THE HCBS WAIVER PROGRAM

The Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) waiver program is authorized in §1915(c) of the Social Security Act. The program permits a State to furnish an array of home and community-based services that assist Medicaid beneficiaries to live in the community and avoid institutionalization. The State has broad discretion to design its waiver program to address the needs of the waiver's target population. Waiver services complement and/or supplement the services that are available to participants through the Medicaid State plan and other federal, state and local public programs as well as the supports that families and communities provide.

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) recognizes that the design and operational features of a waiver program will vary depending on the specific needs of the target population, the resources available to the State, service delivery system structure, State goals and objectives, and other factors. A State has the latitude to design a waiver program that is cost-effective and employs a variety of service delivery approaches, including participant direction of services.

# Request for an Amendment to a §1915(c) Home and Community-Based Services Waiver

# 1. Request Information

- **A.** The **State** of **Illinois** requests approval for an amendment to the following Medicaid home and community-based services waiver approved under authority of §1915(c) of the Social Security Act.
- **B.** Program Title:

**HCBS** Waiver for Persons who are Elderly

C. Waiver Number:IL.0143

Original Base Waiver Number: IL.0143.

- D. Amendment Number:IL.0143.R05.06
- E. Proposed Effective Date: (mm/dd/yy)

03/01/14

**Approved Effective Date: 03/01/14** 

Approved Effective Date of Waiver being Amended: 10/01/09

# 2. Purpose(s) of Amendment

## **Purpose**(s) of the Amendment. Describe the purpose(s) of the amendment:

The IL Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS) is seeking to amend the current 1915 (c) waivers to include those participants (partic.) whose waiver services will be administered under the Medicare Medicaid Alignment Initiative (MMAI) and under the Managed Long-term Supports and Services (MLTSS) 1915(b) waiver. The MMAI will be operating under Sec. 1932(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Social Security Act. The proposed effective date for initial implementation is 3/1/14 for the greater Chicago and Central Illinois regions. Beginning on March 1, 2014, partic. will be able to voluntarily enroll in MMAI. Passive enrollment will begin 6/1/14.

HFS will also be submitting a concurrent 1915b/c waiver (MLTSS waiver) to mandatorily enroll into managed care the dual population receiving Long-term Supports and Services (LTSS) that opt-out of the MMAI. For those partic, who wish to opt-out of the MMAI and are receiving LTSS, HFS will provide LTSS, behavioral health (mental health and substance abuse), and transportation services, using the same Health Plans, chosen for MMAI. The difference is that these partic, will not have their Medicare covered services covered or coordinated by the Health Plans. For those that opt-out of MMAI and are not receiving LTSS, those partic, will receive their Medicaid services via fee-for-service.

# Background on the MMAI

On February 22, 2013, HFS received approval from the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) to jointly implement the MMAI. The MMAI is a groundbreaking joint effort to reform the way care is delivered to clients eligible for both Medicare and Medicaid Services (called "dual eligibles"). The MMAI demonstration project will provide

coordinated care to more than 135,000 Medicare-Medicaid enrollees in the Chicagoland area: Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kankakee, Lake and Will counties, and central IL: Champaign, Christian, Dewitt, Ford, Knox, Logan, Macon, McLean, Menard, Peoria, Piatt, Sangamon, Stark, Tazewll and Vermillion counties. Under the MMAI, IL and CMS will contract with Health Plans to coordinate the delivery of and be accountable for all covered Medicare and Medicaid services for participating Medicare-Medicaid enrollees. This includes HCBS waiver services. Eight Managed Care Organizations (Plans) were chosen by HFS for MMAI. Partic. will have the choice of six Plans in the greater Chicago region and two Plans in the Central IL region.

Prior to choosing a Plan, HFS will provide a choice to all potential enrollees for the participation in the MMAI demonstration. As stated above, this program will be operated under a 1932a State Plan Authority. HFS realizes that not all potential enrollees will choose this program; therefore HFS will have a separate program for those who opt-out and are receiving LTSS (MLTSS waiver). This program will not include Medicare covered svcs, but will include Medicaid covered long term support svcs (nursing homes and waiver services), transportation, and behavioral health svcs. For those who opt-out of the MMAI demonstration and are receiving LTSS, enrollment with a Health Plan will be mandatory in order to receive Medicaid LTSS, transportation, and behavioral health services. The Plans will coordinate care for all participants enrolled in MMAI or the MLTSS 1915(b) waiver. The only difference is that for those who opt out, the Plans will not be responsible for the Medicare-only services.

#### Waiver and Other Assurances:

HFS, as the Medicaid Agency (MA) will continue to meet federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) assurances required under the waiver. HCBS waiver eligibility determinations will continue to be conducted by separate entities, contracted by the State, just as they are done today. Information specific to the 1915c waiver oversight responsibilities follows.

#### Eligibility

Waiver eligibility determination and redetermination criteria will remain the same as in the existing waiver and will be the same for all waiver partic., including those being served by the Plans.

#### Case Management

Case management, also known as care coordination, for partic. in the waiver will be the responsibility of the Plans. Plans bring resources to the programs that will more effectively coordinate community based supports and services with physical health and other state plan services to meet the needs of the whole partic. enrolled in MMAI or the MLTSS waiver. The Plans have the staffing and information technology resources to connect and share information from the many providers that serve partic. These resources will enhance oversight and monitoring of the provision of services and assurances that needs are being met.

## Service Delivery - Provider Qualifications

The same approved waiver services are available through the Plans. Service delivery will remain the responsibility of the qualified waiver providers. Plans will recruit providers and, in the first year of the MMAI and MLTSS waiver, are required to contract with any willing and qualified providers currently approved to provide waiver services. Plans are required to maintain a network with a set of providers that provided at least eighty percent (80%) of the FFS services during calendar year (CY) 2012 and offer a choice of at least two providers (except for Personal Emergency Response Systems). Methods for determining provider qualifications for waiver services remain the same as described in the existing waiver. The Plans will be responsible to ensure that providers are qualified and enrolled.

## Service Plan Development

The Plans will be responsible for service planning for partic. enrolled in a Plan, including the develop., implementation, monitoring, and updating of the plan when a partic.'s needs change. The Plan care coordinator will lead waiver service planning. In all aspects of svc. planning, the partic is the key member of the svc. planning team. The State will ensure that service plan development is conducted in the best interest of the partic and will be based on individual preferences and assessed needs.

#### Transition of service plans

To provide a more seamless transition, for partic, who are enrolled in the existing waiver, the Plans will maintain the current service plans for at least 180-days, unless changed with the consent and input of the partic, and only after completion of a

health screening and comprehensive needs assessment. Service plans will be transmitted from the Operating Agency (OA) to the Plans prior to the effective date. Eligibility reassessments that come due during this 180-day transition will be conducted by the OA as described in the existing waiver.

Health Safety and Welfare Roles and Responsibilities

The health, safety and welfare of the waiver partic. who are enrolled in the Plans will be the responsibility of the Plans. This will include monitoring the partic. to assure needs are being met, assuring providers are qualified, and reporting and following up on critical incidents. The Plans will have established processes and procedures in place to monitor access, quality, and appropriateness of service issues. Critical events and incidents must be reported and identified issues routed to the appropriate department within the Plans, to the Medicaid Agency (MA) and when indicated to the investigating authority described in Appendix G of the application. The procedures will include processes for ensuring partic. safety while the State authority conducts its investigation. The Plans will review all incidents to identify trends and patterns and to determine whether individual or systemic changes are needed. The MA will oversee Plans to assure compliance with federal waiver requirements and ensure partic.s' needs are being met.

#### Quality Improvement Strategy (QIS)

For partic. enrolled in an MCO, the QIS will be reviewed and modified to assure that the Plans are complying with the waiver assurances in all delegated areas. For example, the Plans will primarily be responsible for care coordination, svc. plan develop. and implementation, prior authorization of waiver services, utilization management, qualified provider enrollment, health, safety, and welfare and quality assurance and quality improvement activities. Partic. enrolled in MCOs will be included in the overall representative sampling methodology. The MA will monitor performance of the Plans through receipt and analysis of reported data, onsite visits, desk audits and interviews. The Plans will submit performance data at least quarterly, and more often as indicated by the contract. The MA will schedule onsite reviews and desk audits throughout the waiver year for the representative sample and validation reviews. The MA will meet quarterly with the Plans to identify and analyze trends based on scope, severity, changes and opportunities for system improvement.

In addition to waiver assurances, HFS will ensure compliance with implementation of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act requirements for Indians, to include:

- HFS shall notify the Plans which Providers have been designated as Indian Health Care Providers.
- The Plans shall notify American Indian Enrollees upon enrollment, and annually thereafter, of their right to receive services at an Indian Health Care Provider.
- The Plans shall reimburse an Indian Health Provider at least the full encounter rate for fee-for-service rate established by the Department for that Provider, regardless of whether the Provider is an Affiliated Provider.
- The Plans shall not impose any co-payment on Enrollees identified as American Indian for a Covered Service received from an Indian Health Care Provider or any Medicaid Provider.
- The Plans shall not impose cost sharing on Enrollees identified as American Indian if the Enrollees have ever received services from an Indian Health Care Provider.
- An Enrollee identified as an American Indian is exempt from all cost sharing if the Enrollee has ever received a Referral from an Indian Tribe, Tribal Organization or Urban Indian Organization (I/T/U).
- The Plans shall not limit an Enrollee identified as an American Indian to I/T/U in the State of Illinois.
- HFS does not and will not waive the requirement that payments are consistent with efficiency, economy and quality.
- The Plans' contracts are compliant with the federal regulations that the managed care entities make prompt payment to all I/T/U providers in its network as required for payments to practitioners in individual or group practices under federal regulations at 42 CFR sections 447.45 and 447.46.

# Automated Medication Dispenser-New Service

The State of IL is adding a new service, automated medication dispensers, to the HCBS waiver for persons who are elderly. The purpose is to provide a standalone medication dispenser in the home to provide the partic. with medication reminders when mild cognitive deficits or severe physical limitations prevent timely and safe administration of a complex med schedule. The overall intent is to facilitate medication compliance, thereby promoting independence and safety of clients in

their own homes as well as potentially reducing the need for nursing home care.

Care coordinators will assess the need and potential benefit for the participants through a set of questions that identifies the participant's level of med complexity, cognitive or physical deficit(s) that would potentially be mitigated through this service, and availability of the responsible party(ies) to manage the meds. Partic. or responsible party(ies)will be responsible for managing the acquisition of all prescribed medications, including assuring the meds are administered according to physician orders, filling the dispenser and receiving and acting upon notices of missed med doses and other system issues. Providers of the automated med dispensers must meet standards established by the OA.

# 3. Nature of the Amendment

B.

**A.** Component(s) of the Approved Waiver Affected by the Amendment. This amendment affects the following component(s) of the approved waiver. Revisions to the affected subsection(s) of these component(s) are being submitted concurrently (check each that applies):

Component of the Approved Waiver	Subsection(s)
Waiver Application	Main 1, 2, 6.I, 7, Atta
Appendix A – Waiver Administration and Operation	3
Appendix B – Participant Access and Eligibility	B2(a)
Appendix C – Participant Services	Add a new service: A
Appendix D – Participant Centered Service Planning and Delivery	D1, Page 78b,d; a,(ii)
Appendix E – Participant Direction of Services	
Appendix F – Participant Rights	
Appendix G – Participant Safeguards	a. (ii) Methods of Dis
Appendix H	
Appendix I – Financial Accountability	Page 159; 1-2a
Appendix J – Cost-Neutrality Demonstration	J2, Page 170 (a)

Y Tr	32, Fage 170 (a)	
Nature of the Amendment. Indicate the nature of the changes to t	the waiver that are propose	ed in the amendment
(check each that applies):		
Modify target group(s)		
Modify Medicaid eligibility		
Add/delete services		
Revise service specifications		
Revise provider qualifications		
Increase/decrease number of participants		
Revise cost neutrality demonstration		
Add participant-direction of services		
<b>Other</b>		
Specify:		
Revise the delivery system to expand care coordination and w		

Revise the delivery system to expand care coordination and waiver services delivery system to include those participants whose waiver services will be administered under the Medicare Medicaid Alignment Initiative (MMAI) for the dual eligible. For those who voluntarily enroll for MMAI, both Medicare and Medicaid covered services will be covered and coordinated by the Health Plans. For those who are dual eligible, but opt not to participate in MMAI, the MA will administer and provide waiver and other Medicaid covered services under a concurrent 1915b/c waiver. This Managed Long-term Supports and Services (MLTSS) will use the same Health Plans chosen to provide MMAI. Services are designed in the similar manner as the Integrated Care Program.

Application for a §1915(c) Home and Community-Based Services Waiver

1	. Reg	uest	Inform	nation	(1	of 3)	)

A.	The <b>State</b> of <b>Illinois</b> requests approval for a Medicaid home and community-based services (HCBS) waiver under the
В.	authority of §1915(c) of the Social Security Act (the Act). <b>Program Title</b> (optional - this title will be used to locate this waiver in the finder):
C	HCBS Waiver for Persons who are Elderly Type of Request: amendment
C.	Requested Approval Period: (For new waivers requesting five year approval periods, the waiver must serve
	individuals who are dually eligible for Medicaid and Medicare.)
	3 years 5 years
	Original Base Waiver Number: IL.0143
	Waiver Number:IL.0143.R05.06 Draft ID: IL.20.05.07
D.	Type of Waiver (select only one):
	Regular Waiver
Е.	Proposed Effective Date of Waiver being Amended: 10/01/09 Approved Effective Date of Waiver being Amended: 10/01/09
1. Re	equest Information (2 of 3)
F.	<b>Level(s) of Care</b> . This waiver is requested in order to provide home and community-based waiver services to individuals who, but for the provision of such services, would require the following level(s) of care, the costs of which would be reimbursed under the approved Medicaid State plan ( <i>check each that applies</i> ):  Hospital
	Select applicable level of care
	O Hospital as defined in 42 CFR §440.10
	If applicable, specify whether the State additionally limits the waiver to subcategories of the hospital level of care:
	<ul> <li>■ Inpatient psychiatric facility for individuals age 21 and under as provided in42 CFR §440.160</li> <li>■ Nursing Facility</li> </ul>
	Select applicable level of care
	Nursing Facility as defined in 42 CFR □ 440.40 and 42 CFR □ 440.155 If applicable, specify whether the State additionally limits the waiver to subcategories of the nursing facility level of care:
	Individuals aged 60 or above.
	Institution for Mental Disease for persons with mental illnesses aged 65 and older as provided in 42 CFR §440.140
	☐ Intermediate Care Facility for Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities (ICF/IID) (as defined in 42 CFR
	§440.150)
	If applicable, specify whether the State additionally limits the waiver to subcategories of the ICF/IID level of care:
1. Re	equest Information (3 of 3)
<u> </u>	Concurrent Operation with Other Programs. This waiver operates concurrently with another program (or
u.	programs) approved under the following authorities Select one:
	Not applicable
	Applicable     Check the applicable authority or authorities:

Services furnished under the provisions of §1915(a)(1)(a) of the Act and described in Appendix I
Waiver(s) authorized under §1915(b) of the Act.
Specify the §1915(b) waiver program and indicate whether a §1915(b) waiver application has been submitted or previously approved:
The State is submitting a concurrent 1915(b) waiver (1915(b) MLTSS waiver) at the same time as this 1915(c) waiver amendment. The 1915(b) MLTSS waiver will allow the State to mandatorily enroll into managed care the dual population receiving Long-term Support Services (LTSS) who opt-out of the Medicare Medicaid Alignment Initiative (MMAI) described below, which is under 1932(a) authority of t Social Security Act. For those participants who wish to opt-out of the MMAI and are receiving LTSS, the State will provide LTSS and other Medicaid covered State Plan services, using the same managed care Health Plans chosen for MMAI. These participants will not have their Medicare covered services covered or coordinated by the Health Plans. For those who opt-out of the MMAI demonstration and are receiving LTSS, enrollment with a Health Plan will be mandatory in order to receive Medicaid LTSS, transportation and specific behavioral health services.  Specify the \$1915(b) authorities under which this program operates (check each that applies):  \$1915(b)(1) (mandated enrollment to managed care)  \$1915(b)(2) (central broker)  \$1915(b)(3) (employ cost savings to furnish additional services)
\$1915(b)(4) (selective contracting/limit number of providers)
A program operated under \$1932(a) of the Act.
Specify the nature of the State Plan benefit and indicate whether the State Plan Amendment has been submitted or previously approved:  The Illinois' IL.13-015 1932(a) State plan amendment (SPA) to implement mandatory managed care for the adult aged, blind and disabled populations in Cook County and surrounding border counties was approved for the effective date of May 1, 2011.  The State entrolle Medicaid beneficiaries on a mandatory basis into managed care organizations (MCOs)
The State enrolls Medicaid beneficiaries on a mandatory basis into managed care organizations (MCOs) through the Integrated Care Program, which is a full-risk capitated program.  The SPA is operated under the authority granted by section 1932(a)(1)(A) of the Social Security Act. Under this authority, a state can amend its Medicaid state plan to require certain categories of Medicaid beneficiaries to enroll in managed care entities without being out of compliance with provision of section 1902 of the Act on statewideness, freedom of choice or comparability. The authority will not used to mandate enrollment of Medicaid beneficiaries who are Medicare eligible, or who are Indians, except for voluntary enrollment as indicated in D.2.ii of the SPA.  Effective March 1, 2014, waiver services will be administered under the Medicare Medicaid Alignment Initiative (MMAI) for dually enrolled Medicare-Medicaid participants. Under the MMAI, Illinois and CMS will contract with Health Plans to coordinate the delivery of and be accountable for all covered Medicare and Medicaid services for participating Medicare-Medicaid enrollees. For waiver participants who choose to opt-out of the MMAI, the State will provide Long Term Support and Services (LTSS) and other Medicaid covered State Plan services, using the same managed care Health Plans chosen for MMAI.  Initial implementation for the MMAI is for the greater Chicago and Central Illinois regions where participants will be able to voluntarily enroll in MMAI. Passive enrollment will begin June 1, 2014. The SPA amendment was submitted September 2013 to include the MMAI population.
A program authorized under §1915(i) of the Act.
A program authorized under §1915(j) of the Act.
A program authorized under §1115 of the Act.
Specify the program:  The MMAI demonstration will operate pursuant to Section 1115A of the Social Security Act.
<ul> <li>H. Dual Eligiblity for Medicaid and Medicare.</li> <li>Check if applicable:</li> <li>This waiver provides services for individuals who are eligible for both Medicare and Medicaid.</li> </ul>

# 2. Brief Waiver Description

**Brief Waiver Description.** *In one page or less*, briefly describe the purpose of the waiver, including its goals, objectives, organizational structure (e.g., the roles of state, local and other entities), and service delivery methods.

The Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS), as the single state Medicaid agency, has delegated the day-to-day operations for the HCBS waiver program to IDoA. Responsibilities of each agency have been outlined in an interagency agreement that is reviewed annually. The Department on Aging (IDoA) is the lead agency for community-based services and supports to Illinois residents, 60 years of age and older. As the Operating Agency, IDoA is responsible for participant eligibility, service plan development, enrolling waiver providers, assuring service plans are implemented, reporting to HFS, and assuring services and providers meet standards established in the approved waiver and governing rules. As the Medicaid Agency, HFS enrolls providers in Medicaid, provides oversight consultation and monitoring of waiver operations, processes federal claims and maintains an appeal process.

The waiver for the elderly is part of the Community Care Program (CCP), a larger state program operated by the IDoA that has served Illinois seniors since 1979. The CCP offers services to persons age 60 and over who meet functional and financial eligibility criteria. Those that meet Medicaid eligibility criteria are HCBS waiver participants. Those that do not meet Medicaid eligibility are funded with state only monies. Persons may transition in and out of Medicaid eligibility. Services offered in the CCP are the same for both Medicaid and state funded participants. Based on a monthly average, just over half of the CCP participants are Medicaid eligible and in the waiver.

There are 13 Planning and Service Areas (PSA) in Illinois, each managed and served by an Area Agency on Aging (AAA). IDoA works in partnership with these not-for-profit corporations and one unit of local government, the City of Chicago. The primary tasks of the AAAs is the planning and coordination of services and programs for older people in their respective areas. There is also an entity called the Community Care Program Advisory Committee (CCPAC), which advises IDoA on an ongoing basis on rates of reimbursement for the Community Care Program (CCP) service delivery network and issues affecting the CCP service delivery network, and recommends solution strategies. The composition of CCPAC requires representatives from the AAAs, Case Coordination Units (CCU), providers, advocates, adults over age 60 and state agencies. As the SMA, HFS attends all CCPAC meetings. HFS receives all meeting summaries and materials, and actively participates to clarify Medicaid or waiver policy.

The need for CCP services is determined by local community agencies, Case Coordination Units (CCU)/Case Management Units (CMU), hereafter referred to as CCU, which are under contract with the IDoA. Care coordinators are employed by the CCUs.

The care coordinators evaluate an applicant's need for long-term care services using a standardized needs assessment instrument, the Determination of Need (DON), which is part of a comprehensive care assessment. The comprehensive assessment was designed to identify all needs and risks of the individual. It includes assessments for depression, suicide, substance abuse, and care givers. In addition to community referrals, all nursing facility applicants are evaluated prior to admission and, if eligible, are offered the option of utilizing in-home and other community-based services. In addition to initial intake and assessment responsibilities, the CCUs perform ongoing care coordination for CCP participants.

IDoA certifies providers of CCP services through an application process. Providers must meet existing service standards before being certified. Care coordinators are trained to educate participants on the available providers and to assist them, if needed, in making an informed choice. Participants are given a choice of waiver providers and if eligible, may receive one or more of the CCP services from provider agencies that have contracts with IDoA. Services available under the waiver include homemaker, adult day care, and emergency home response service. Other services are available through the Older Americans Act (OAA) and senior networks.

HFS and the IDoA maintain separate but complementary processes to monitor participant welfare service access and quality. IDoA provides HFS with reports of their monitoring activities, including sanctions, and responds to the HFS reports of findings with corrective actions. HFS and IDoA meet quarterly to review State level management reports to identify potentially problematic trends and track the effects of remediation efforts to improve performance.

Effective February 1, 2013, the State will deliver care coordination and waiver services through a mandatory managed care delivery system for those waiver participants enrolled in the Integrated Care Program (ICP). The ICP is implemented in the Illinois areas of suburban Cook (all zip codes that do not begin with 606), DuPage, Kane, Kankakee, Lake and Will Counties. Future areas/MCO plans will affect the population similarly.

Effective March 1, 2014, the State will include dually enrolled Medicare and Medicaid waiver participants to the managed care delivery system. Waiver services will be administered under the Medicare Medicaid Alignment Initiative (MMAI) or the Managed Long-term Supports and Services (MLTSS) through a concurrent 1915(b) waiver. Under the MMAI, Illinois and CMS will contract with Health Plans to coordinate the delivery of and be accountable for all covered Medicare and Medicaid services for participating MMAI enrollees. For those participants who wish to opt-out of the MMAI, long term support services, including waiver services, and other Medicaid services will be provided using the same managed care

Health Plans as chosen by the State for MMAI. Initial implementation for the MMAI is for the greater Chicago and Central Illinois regions.

# 3. Components of the Waiver Request

The waiver application consists of the following components. Note: <u>Item 3-E must be completed.</u>

- A. Waiver Administration and Operation. Appendix A specifies the administrative and operational structure of this waiver.
- **B.** Participant Access and Eligibility. Appendix B specifies the target group(s) of individuals who are served in this waiver, the number of participants that the State expects to serve during each year that the waiver is in effect, applicable Medicaid eligibility and post-eligibility (if applicable) requirements, and procedures for the evaluation and reevaluation of level of care.
- **C.** Participant Services. Appendix C specifies the home and community-based waiver services that are furnished through the waiver, including applicable limitations on such services.
- **D.** Participant-Centered Service Planning and Delivery. Appendix **D** specifies the procedures and methods that the State uses to develop, implement and monitor the participant-centered service plan (of care).
- E. Participant-Direction of Services. When the State provides for participant direction of services, Appendix E specifies the participant direction opportunities that are offered in the waiver and the supports that are available to participants who direct their services. (Select one):
   Yes. This waiver provides participant direction opportunities. Appendix E is required.
   No. This waiver does not provide participant direction opportunities. Appendix E is not required.
- **F.** Participant Rights. Appendix **F** specifies how the State informs participants of their Medicaid Fair Hearing rights and other procedures to address participant grievances and complaints.
- **G.** Participant Safeguards. Appendix G describes the safeguards that the State has established to assure the health and welfare of waiver participants in specified areas.
- H. Quality Improvement Strategy. Appendix H contains the Quality Improvement Strategy for this waiver.
- **I. Financial Accountability. Appendix I** describes the methods by which the State makes payments for waiver services, ensures the integrity of these payments, and complies with applicable federal requirements concerning payments and federal financial participation.
- J. Cost-Neutrality Demonstration. Appendix J contains the State's demonstration that the waiver is cost-neutral.

# 4. Waiver(s) Requested

A.	Comparability. The State requests a waiver of the requirements contained in §1902(a)(10)(B) of the Act in order to
	provide the services specified in Appendix C that are not otherwise available under the approved Medicaid State plan
	to individuals who: (a) require the level(s) of care specified in Item 1.F and (b) meet the target group criteria specified
	in Appendix B.

	III Appendix D.
В.	Income and Resources for the Medically Needy. Indicate whether the State requests a waiver of §1902(a)(10)(C)(i)
	(III) of the Act in order to use institutional income and resource rules for the medically needy (select one):
	Not Applicable
	No
	O Yes
C.	<b>Statewideness.</b> Indicate whether the State requests a waiver of the statewideness requirements in §1902(a)(1) of the

Act (select one):

No

O Yes

If yes, specify the waiver of statewideness that is requested (check each that applies):

Geographic Limitation. A waiver of statewideness is requested in order to furnish services under this
waiver only to individuals who reside in the following geographic areas or political subdivisions of the
State.
Specify the areas to which this waiver applies and, as applicable, the phase-in schedule of the waiver by geographic area:
Limited Implementation of Participant-Direction. A waiver of statewideness is requested in order to
make <i>participant-direction of services</i> as specified in <b>Appendix E</b> available only to individuals who reside in the following geographic areas or political subdivisions of the State. Participants who reside in these areas may elect to direct their services as provided by the State or receive comparable services through the service delivery methods that are in effect elsewhere in the State.
Specify the areas of the State affected by this waiver and, as applicable, the phase-in schedule of the waiver by geographic area:

# 5. Assurances

In accordance with 42 CFR §441.302, the State provides the following assurances to CMS:

- **A. Health & Welfare:** The State assures that necessary safeguards have been taken to protect the health and welfare of persons receiving services under this waiver. These safeguards include:
  - 1. As specified in **Appendix C**, adequate standards for all types of providers that provide services under this waiver;
  - 2. Assurance that the standards of any State licensure or certification requirements specified in **Appendix C** are met for services or for individuals furnishing services that are provided under the waiver. The State assures that these requirements are met on the date that the services are furnished; and,
  - **3.** Assurance that all facilities subject to §1616(e) of the Act where home and community-based waiver services are provided comply with the applicable State standards for board and care facilities as specified in **Appendix C**.
- **B. Financial Accountability.** The State assures financial accountability for funds expended for home and community-based services and maintains and makes available to the Department of Health and Human Services (including the Office of the Inspector General), the Comptroller General, or other designees, appropriate financial records documenting the cost of services provided under the waiver. Methods of financial accountability are specified in **Appendix I**.
- **C. Evaluation of Need:** The State assures that it provides for an initial evaluation (and periodic reevaluations, at least annually) of the need for a level of care specified for this waiver, when there is a reasonable indication that an individual might need such services in the near future (one month or less) but for the receipt of home and community-based services under this waiver. The procedures for evaluation and reevaluation of level of care are specified in **Appendix B**.
- **D.** Choice of Alternatives: The State assures that when an individual is determined to be likely to require the level of care specified for this waiver and is in a target group specified in **Appendix B**, the individual (or, legal representative, if applicable) is:
  - 1. Informed of any feasible alternatives under the waiver; and,
  - 2. Given the choice of either institutional or home and community-based waiver services. **Appendix B** specifies the procedures that the State employs to ensure that individuals are informed of feasible alternatives under the waiver and given the choice of institutional or home and community-based waiver services.
- **E.** Average Per Capita Expenditures: The State assures that, for any year that the waiver is in effect, the average per capita expenditures under the waiver will not exceed 100 percent of the average per capita expenditures that would have been made under the Medicaid State plan for the level(s) of care specified for this waiver had the waiver not been granted. Cost-neutrality is demonstrated in **Appendix J**.

- **F.** Actual Total Expenditures: The State assures that the actual total expenditures for home and community-based waiver and other Medicaid services and its claim for FFP in expenditures for the services provided to individuals under the waiver will not, in any year of the waiver period, exceed 100 percent of the amount that would be incurred in the absence of the waiver by the State's Medicaid program for these individuals in the institutional setting(s) specified for this waiver.
- **G. Institutionalization Absent Waiver:** The State assures that, absent the waiver, individuals served in the waiver would receive the appropriate type of Medicaid-funded institutional care for the level of care specified for this waiver.
- **H. Reporting:** The State assures that annually it will provide CMS with information concerning the impact of the waiver on the type, amount and cost of services provided under the Medicaid State plan and on the health and welfare of waiver participants. This information will be consistent with a data collection plan designed by CMS.
- **I. Habilitation Services.** The State assures that prevocational, educational, or supported employment services, or a combination of these services, if provided as habilitation services under the waiver are: (1) not otherwise available to the individual through a local educational agency under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) or the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; and, (2) furnished as part of expanded habilitation services.
- **J. Services for Individuals with Chronic Mental Illness.** The State assures that federal financial participation (FFP) will not be claimed in expenditures for waiver services including, but not limited to, day treatment or partial hospitalization, psychosocial rehabilitation services, and clinic services provided as home and community-based services to individuals with chronic mental illnesses if these individuals, in the absence of a waiver, would be placed in an IMD and are: (1) age 22 to 64; (2) age 65 and older and the State has not included the optional Medicaid benefit cited in 42 CFR §440.140; or (3) age 21 and under and the State has not included the optional Medicaid benefit cited in 42 CFR § 440.160.

# 6. Additional Requirements

Note: Item 6-I must be completed.

- A. Service Plan. In accordance with 42 CFR §441.301(b)(1)(i), a participant-centered service plan (of care) is developed for each participant employing the procedures specified in Appendix D. All waiver services are furnished pursuant to the service plan. The service plan describes: (a) the waiver services that are furnished to the participant, their projected frequency and the type of provider that furnishes each service and (b) the other services (regardless of funding source, including State plan services) and informal supports that complement waiver services in meeting the needs of the participant. The service plan is subject to the approval of the Medicaid agency. Federal financial participation (FFP) is not claimed for waiver services furnished prior to the development of the service plan or for services that are not included in the service plan.
- **B.** Inpatients. In accordance with 42 CFR §441.301(b)(1)(ii), waiver services are not furnished to individuals who are in -patients of a hospital, nursing facility or ICF/IID.
- **C. Room and Board**. In accordance with 42 CFR §441.310(a)(2), FFP is not claimed for the cost of room and board except when: (a) provided as part of respite services in a facility approved by the State that is not a private residence or (b) claimed as a portion of the rent and food that may be reasonably attributed to an unrelated caregiver who resides in the same household as the participant, as provided in **Appendix I**.
- D. Access to Services. The State does not limit or restrict participant access to waiver services except as provided in Appendix C.
- **E.** Free Choice of Provider. In accordance with 42 CFR §431.151, a participant may select any willing and qualified provider to furnish waiver services included in the service plan unless the State has received approval to limit the number of providers under the provisions of §1915(b) or another provision of the Act.
- **F. FFP Limitation**. In accordance with 42 CFR §433 Subpart D, FFP is not claimed for services when another third-party (e.g., another third party health insurer or other federal or state program) is legally liable and responsible for the provision and payment of the service. FFP also may not be claimed for services that are available without charge, or as free care to the community. Services will not be considered to be without charge, or free care, when (1) the provider establishes a fee schedule for each service available and (2) collects insurance information from all those served (Medicaid, and non-Medicaid), and bills other legally liable third party insurers. Alternatively, if a provider certifies that a particular legally liable third party insurer does not pay for the service(s), the provider may not generate further bills for that insurer for that annual period.

- **G. Fair Hearing:** The State provides the opportunity to request a Fair Hearing under 42 CFR §431 Subpart E, to individuals: (a) who are not given the choice of home and community-based waiver services as an alternative to institutional level of care specified for this waiver; (b) who are denied the service(s) of their choice or the provider(s) of their choice; or (c) whose services are denied, suspended, reduced or terminated. **Appendix F** specifies the State's procedures to provide individuals the opportunity to request a Fair Hearing, including providing notice of action as required in 42 CFR §431.210.
- **H. Quality Improvement**. The State operates a formal, comprehensive system to ensure that the waiver meets the assurances and other requirements contained in this application. Through an ongoing process of discovery, remediation and improvement, the State assures the health and welfare of participants by monitoring: (a) level of care determinations; (b) individual plans and services delivery; (c) provider qualifications; (d) participant health and welfare; (e) financial oversight and (f) administrative oversight of the waiver. The State further assures that all problems identified through its discovery processes are addressed in an appropriate and timely manner, consistent with the severity and nature of the problem. During the period that the waiver is in effect, the State will implement the Quality Improvement Strategy specified in **Appendix H**.
- I. Public Input. Describe how the State secures public input into the development of the waiver: IDoA receives public input from several advisory committees. The primary advisory committee related to waiver services is the Community Care Program Advisory Committee (CCPAC) which advises IDoA on an ongoing basis on rates of reimbursement for the Community Care Program (CCP) service delivery network and issues affecting the CCP service delivery network, and recommends solution strategies. Composition of this committee is dictated by the 89 Illinois Administrative Code (ILAC) 240.1800, which requires representatives from Area Agencies on Aging (AAA), Case Coordination Units (CCU), providers, advocates, adults over age 60 and state agencies. The section 89 ILAC 240.1800 can be accessed at:

http://www.ilga.gov/commission/jcar/admincode/089/089002400R18000R.html

IDOA also receives input on program policies and recommendations from the Illinois Council of CCUs, Illinois Association of Community Care Program Homecare Providers, the Adult Day Care Service Association, and the AAAs.

Illinois is mandated under the Older Adult Services Act (Public Act 93-1031) to maintain the Older Adult Services Advisory Committee (OASAC). OASAC is composed of adults over the age of 60, members of state agencies, advocacy groups, AAAs, CCUs, providers, long term care facilities, mental health facilities, townships, physicians, etc. The goal of this committee is to rebalance long term care in Illinois. The committee consists of five subcommittees that work closely with the state agencies: Workforce/Caregiver, Coordinated Point of Entry, Nursing Home Diversion, Service Expansion, and Finance.

The Illinois Act on Aging mandates there be a state-level advisory body to concern itself with promoting the well being of older adults in Illinois. The 31-member Illinois Council on Aging advocates on behalf of older Illinoisans to the Department on Aging, the General Assembly and the Governor. The Council is composed of 23 citizen members appointed by the Governor and eight legislative members appointed by General Assembly leadership.

The American Indian Health Service of Chicago, Inc. was officially notified on March 30, 2009 that Illinois Healthcare and Family Services (HFS) would be renewing its Medicaid Home and Community Based Waiver for persons who are elderly and that the waiver application would be submitted to Federal CMS for approval in approximately 60 days. They were given the opportunity to ask questions or review the application.

On 10/16/12, the State shared the tribal government notifications and response with federal CMS. This included a 10/15/12 response from the tribal government indicating that their questions had been addressed. The original notice was issued on 07/26/12 and again on 9/19 and 9/26/12.

Integrated Care Program (ICP)

In compliance with the CFR 438.50(b)(4), the State researched various integrated care models through literature and reaching out to other state Medicaid programs. The state held many meetings with clients, client advocates and providers to assist with the development of the program, development of the RFP to solicit the contractors, and to guide the implementation of the program. The list of represented entities included as invitees and attendees is found under B.4 of the approved 1932 a) SPA. The State will continue to have meetings with representatives from the above listed entities throughout implementation and on an on-going basis. These meetings will be through ad-hoc requests and regularly scheduled stakeholders meetings. Public input for future MCOs will be modeled in the same

fashion.

Medicare Medicaid Alignment Initiative (MMAI)

The State held the first MMAI stakeholder webinar/meeting on April 18, 2013. Over 100 questions from that session were submitted to HFS during the webinar. Answers were posted June 14, 2013 and can be found on the HFS Medicare-Medicaid Alignment Initiative webpage. Additional stakeholder meetings are being scheduled and will be held regularly.

HFS has maintained email accounts for receiving questions from the public about ICP since December 2012 and the MMAI since April 2013. HFS reads the emails daily and responds individually by phone or email.

The email addresses are: HFSCareCoord@illinois.gov and HFSMMAI@illinois.gov

The State issued the tribal government notifications about MMAI on April 29, 2013.

- J. Notice to Tribal Governments. The State assures that it has notified in writing all federally-recognized Tribal Governments that maintain a primary office and/or majority population within the State of the State's intent to submit a Medicaid waiver request or renewal request to CMS at least 60 days before the anticipated submission date is provided by Presidential Executive Order 13175 of November 6, 2000. Evidence of the applicable notice is available through the Medicaid Agency.
- K. Limited English Proficient Persons. The State assures that it provides meaningful access to waiver services by Limited English Proficient persons in accordance with: (a) Presidential Executive Order 13166 of August 11, 2000 (65 FR 50121) and (b) Department of Health and Human Services "Guidance to Federal Financial Assistance Recipients Regarding Title VI Prohibition Against National Origin Discrimination Affecting Limited English Proficient Persons" (68 FR 47311 August 8, 2003). Appendix B describes how the State assures meaningful access to waiver services by Limited English Proficient persons.

# 7. Contact Person(s)

Last Name:		
	Milburn	
First Name:		
	Mary	
Title:		
	Administrator, Bureau of Long Term Care	
Agency:		
	Healthcare and Family Services	
Address:		
	201 South Grand Ave East	
Address 2:		
City:		
	Springfield	
State:	Illinois	
Zip:		
_	62763	
Phone:		
	(217) 557-1868 Ext: TTY	

	E-mail:	(217) 557-8604				
		Mary.Milburn@Illinois.gov				
В.		e State operating agency representa	tive with who	om CMS s	should communicate regarding the waiver	is:
	Last Name:	Morgan				
	First Name:					
		Robin				
	Title:					
		Program Analyst				
	Agency:					
		Illinois Department on Agin	g			
	Address:	1 Natural Dansura Way 6	:4- 100			
	Address 2:	1 Natural Resources Way, S	une 100			
	Address 2:					
	City:					
	·	Springfield				
	State:	Illinois				
	Zip:					
		62702-1271				
	Phone:					
	Phone:	(217) 785-3358	Ext:		TTY	
		(==,),,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
	Fax:					
		(217) 785-4477				
	E-mail:					
	<del></del>	Robin.Morgan@illinois.gov	r			
0. 4	41	4				
8. Au	thorizing Si	gnature				
to ame of the continu	and its approved waiver, including wously operate the ed in Section VI	vaiver under §1915(c) of the Social the provisions of this amendment waiver in accordance with the ass	Security Act. when approve urances speci certifies that a	. The Stated by CM ified in Send in all the send in Send in all the send in th	f the waiver, constitutes the State's request the affirms that it will abide by all provision S. The State further attests that it will action V and the additional requirements proposed revisions to the waiver request liments.	
Signatu	ıre:	Kelly Cunningham				_
<i>G</i>		Kelly Cunningham				
		State Medicaid Director or Design	ee			
Submis	ssion Date:	Feb 6, 2014				

Last Name:	Note: The Signature and Submission State Medicaid Director submits the		be automatically completed when the
First Name:		_	
Attachments	Eagleson	]	
Title: Attachment #1:	Theresa		
Agency: Transition Plan	Medicaid Director		
Address: Specify the transition plan	Department of Healthcare and Family	Services	
Address 2: for the waiver:	201 South Grand Avenue East		
City: Effective February 1, 2013.			
State: the State will deliver care	Springfield		
Zip:	Illinois		
Phone: coordination and waiver services through	62763		
Fax: mandatory managed care delivery system for	(217) 782-2570	Ext:	тту
those <b>E-mail:</b> waiver participants ages 19 and older who are	(217) 782-5672		
enrolled in the Integrated Care Program (ICP). The	Theresa.Eagleson@illinois.gov		
program is implemented in the Illin	nois areas of suburban Cook (all zip cod		gin with 606), DuPage, Kane, Kankakee, aged Care Plans (Plans). Participants have

the choice of Plans.

Between February 2013 and January 2014 the program will be expanded to the following counties: Winnebago, Boone, McHenry, Rock Island, Mercer, Knox, Stark, Peoria, Tazewell, Logan, Menard, Sangamon, Christian, Macon, DeWitt, Mclean, Piatt, Ford, Champaign, Vermillion, Madison, St. Clair, Clinton and the City of Chicago. Outside Cook and the Collar Counties, each county will have no more than two MCOs with the exception of seven counties in Northern and Central Illinois that will have three MCOs.

Effective March 1, 2014, the delivery system will include those participants whose waiver services will be administered under the Medicare Medicaid Alignment Initiative (MMAI) for the dual eligible. For those who voluntarily enroll for MMAI, both Medicare and Medicaid covered services will be covered and coordinated by the Health Plans. For those who are dual eligible, but opt not to participate in MMAI, the MA will administer and provide waiver and other Medicaid covered services under a concurrent 1915b/c waiver. This Managed Long-term Supports and Services (MLTSS) option will use the same Health Plans chosen to provide MMAI.

Eight Managed Care Organizations (Plans) were chosen by the MA for MMAI. Participants will have the choice of six Plans in the greater Chicago region and two Plans in the Central Illinois region. The MMAI demonstration project will provide coordinated care to Medicare-Medicaid enrollees in the Chicagoland area and throughout central Illinois, including Champaign, Christian, Dewitt, Ford, Logan, Macon, McLean, Menard, Piatt, Sangamon and Vermillion counties.

In order to provide a more seamless transition from the existing care coordination processes and service plans, for

participants who are currently in the waiver, the Plans will maintain the current service plans for at least 180-days unless changed with the consent and input of the participants, and only after completion of a health screening and comprehensive needs assessment. Service plans will be transmitted from the Operating Agency (OA) to the Plans prior to the effective date. These existing HCBS eligible participants will remain eligible for these services until the time of the participant's redetermination. In the interim Plans are expected to assess that the participants' needs are being met. Eligibility reassessments that come due during this 180-day transition will be conducted by the OA as described in the existing waiver.

Plans will recruit providers. The 180-day period in which participants may maintain a current course of treatment with an out-of-network provider also includes HCBS waiver providers. The State will institute an "any willing provider" contractual clause that will require Plans to offer contracts to any willing provider that meets quality and credentialing standards. Therefore there should be little need for transition to a different provider. After the initial contracting period, Plans will be allowed to impose a known quality standard and to terminate contracts with underperforming providers. Finally, during readiness review, the State will only authorize Plans who meet the State's network adequacy determinations to move forward. In the first year of the MMAI and MLTSS options, Plans are required to contract with any willing and qualified providers currently approved to provide waiver services.

If a transition would be necessary, the participant will be consulted in the transition, including the selection of the network provider. If the participant does not agree to the transition, the current provider, including PCPs, may enter into a Single Case Agreement with the Plan. If the provider does not choose to enter into a Single Case Agreement with the Plan, the participant will be required to transition to a network provider that is capable of meeting the participant's needs.

Through the state's transition to managed long term services and supports, the state will communicate to CMS its implementation progress, timeliness with transition milestones and demonstration of the managed care plans' compliance of federal waiver assurances. The state will submit this information to CMS through quarterly monitoring reports until July 1, 2015.

## Attachment #2: Home and Community-Based Settings Waiver Transition Plan

Specify the state's process to bring this waiver into compliance with federal home and community-based (HCB) settings requirements at 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)-(5), and associated CMS guidance.

Consult with CMS for instructions before completing this item. This field describes the status of a transition process at the point in time of submission. Relevant information in the planning phase will differ from information required to describe attainment of milestones.

To the extent that the state has submitted a statewide HCB settings transition plan to CMS, the description in this field may reference that statewide plan. The narrative in this field must include enough information to demonstrate that this waiver complies with federal HCB settings requirements, including the compliance and transition requirements at 42 CFR 441.301 (c)(6), and that this submission is consistent with the portions of the statewide HCB settings transition plan that are germane to this waiver. Quote or summarize germane portions of the statewide HCB settings transition plan as required. Note that Appendix C-5 HCB Settings describes settings that do not require transition; the settings listed there meet federal HCB setting requirements as of the date of submission. Do not duplicate that information here.

Update this field and Appendix C-5 when submitting a renewal or amendment to this waiver for other purposes. It is not necessary for the state to amend the waiver solely for the purpose of updating this field and Appendix C-5. At the end of the state's HCB settings transition process for this waiver, when all waiver settings meet federal HCB setting requirements, enter "Completed" in this field, and include in Section C-5 the information on all HCB settings in the waiver.

Additional Needed Information (Optional)	
Provide additional needed information for the waiver (optional):	

# **Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation**

- **1. State Line of Authority for Waiver Operation.** Specify the state line of authority for the operation of the waiver (*select one*):
  - The waiver is operated by the State Medicaid agency.

The Medical Assistance Unit.

Specify the unit name:

(Do not complete item A-2)

Another division/unit within the State Medicaid agency that is separate from the Medical Assistance Unit.

Specify the division/unit name. This includes administrations/divisions under the umbrella agency that has been identified as the Single State Medicaid Agency.

Specify the Medicaid agency division/unit that has line authority for the operation of the waiver program (select

(Complete item A-2-a).

The waiver is operated by a separate agency of the State that is not a division/unit of the Medicaid agency.

Specify the division/unit name:

Illinois Department on Aging

In accordance with 42 CFR §431.10, the Medicaid agency exercises administrative discretion in the administration and supervision of the waiver and issues policies, rules and regulations related to the waiver. The interagency agreement or memorandum of understanding that sets forth the authority and arrangements for this policy is available through the Medicaid agency to CMS upon request. (*Complete item A-2-b*).

# **Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation**

- 2. Oversight of Performance.
  - a. Medicaid Director Oversight of Performance When the Waiver is Operated by another Division/Unit within the State Medicaid Agency. When the waiver is operated by another division/administration within the umbrella agency designated as the Single State Medicaid Agency. Specify (a) the functions performed by that division/administration (i.e., the Developmental Disabilities Administration within the Single State Medicaid Agency), (b) the document utilized to outline the roles and responsibilities related to waiver operation, and (c) the methods that are employed by the designated State Medicaid Director (in some instances, the head of umbrella agency) in the oversight of these activities:

As indicated in section 1 of this appendix, the waiver is not operated by another division/unit within the State Medicaid agency. Thus this section does not need to be completed.

b. Medicaid Agency Oversight of Operating Agency Performance. When the waiver is not operated by the Medicaid agency, specify the functions that are expressly delegated through a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or other written document, and indicate the frequency of review and update for that document. Specify the methods that the Medicaid agency uses to ensure that the operating agency performs its assigned waiver operational and administrative functions in accordance with waiver requirements. Also specify the frequency of Medicaid agency assessment of operating agency performance:

Healthcare and Family Services (HFS) maintains an interagency agreement with the Illinois Department on Aging (IDoA) that outlines the HCBS waiver responsibilities of both agencies. As the Operating Agency, IDoA is responsible for participant eligibility, service plan development, Community Care Program budgeting, enrolling waiver providers, assuring service plans are implemented and that services and providers meet standards established in the approved waiver and governing rules. The Medicaid Agency enrolls providers in Medicaid, provides oversight consultation and monitoring of waiver operations, processes federal claims and maintains an appeal process. The interagency agreement is reviewed at least annually and updated as needed. The Medicaid agency's Medical Policy Review Committee reviews all waiver rule and policy changes.

HFS and IDoA meet at least quarterly to review program administration and evaluate system performance.

HFS conducts routine oversight monitoring of the fiscal and program activities to assure that the State meets the federal assurances identified in the waiver.

There are two broad types of program reviews: record reviews and onsite provider reviews. HFS randomly selects the participant sample from the Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) using claims for waiver services in a specific time period. The onsite provider reviews are more comprehensive than the record reviews. The onsite reviews assess how the waiver program operates overall reviewing components of participant eligibility, service plans, provider qualifications, health and safety, care coordination and how the system operates and communicates participant needs and issues.

For MCOs, HFS and the state's External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) provide quality oversight and monitoring of the Waiver Providers through record review audits of the enrollee care plans for each Plan to monitor the quality of services and supports provided to the HCBS program Enrollees.

The state's EQRO will be performing Record Reviews to evaluate compliance with waiver performance measures as well as certain contractual components. The tool evaluates the following waiver assurances:

Level of Care—enrollee records are examined to determine completeness and accuracy of MMSE/DON completed by the Operating Agency (OA). The Plans are required to obtain a copy of the score of the current DON obtained by the OA upon enrollment.

Qualified Providers—responsibility for provider enrollment remains with the OA. The MCOs are responsible to ensure an evaluation of the independent workers performance is completed annually, or according to the waiver requirements. Enrollee records are examined to determine the independent worker evaluation is completed.

Additional EQRO oversight of the MCOs includes review of initial case manager/care coordinator qualifications and training, as well as ongoing annual training, and oversight of case manager/care coordinator caseloads during the post implementation review and during the administrative compliance reviews.

Service Plan Development—enrollee records are examined to determine that all assessed enrollee needs, goals, and risks are addressed in the service plan; services are provided according to the plan; service plans are signed and dated by the enrollee and case manager/care coordinator; enrollees are contacted by the case manager/care coordinator per applicable waiver requirements; service plans are updated when the enrollee's needs change; and that choice of services and providers was offered to the enrollee. Service plans are also reviewed for completeness, accuracy, and timeliness.

Health, Safety, and Welfare—enrollee records will be examined to determine that enrollees are aware of how and to whom to report abuse, neglect, and exploitation; and each enrollee with an independent worker has a backup plan.

Additional oversight of the MCOs critical incident (CI) processes is the responsibility of the MA and the EQRO. The MCOs submit a detailed monthly report of critical incidents to the MA and a quarterly summary report. The EQRO reviews the policies and procedures for each MCO for reporting CIs prior to accepting enrollment to ensure adequacy of tracking software and follow-up procedures. EQRO will review a sample of CI reports during the post implementation review and during the administrative compliance reviews.

Remediation—the EQRO will submit a report of findings to HFS at the conclusion of each onsite review. The report will consist of a summary of findings for each individual record reviewed, as well as a summary of overall findings detailed by Performance Measure and contractual requirements reviewed.

Remediation activities will be tracked by the EQRO to ensure 100% remediation of findings. Timeframes for completion of remediation will be reported in 30, 60, 90, or greater than 90 days. Remediation activities will be consistent with the approved activities detailed within each Performance Measure. HFS and EQRO will work collaboratively to follow up with the MCOs to ensure remediation occurs within the required time frames.

Sampling—the MA's sampling methodology is based on a statistically valid sampling approach that uses a

95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error.

MCOs are required to submit quarterly reports, using the format required by the MA, on specific performance measures, which are described in MA's contracts with the MCOs. For each performance measure, contracts specify numerators, denominators, sampling approaches, data sources, etc. The MA has provided the MCOs with a template Workbook for their use in submitting quarterly reports. Each performance measure has its own tab within the Workbook, and captures quarterly information across the reporting year. MCOs present the results to the MA in quarterly meetings.

MCO contracts include sanctions for failure to meet requirements for submissions of quality and performance measures.

# **Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation**

- **3.** Use of Contracted Entities. Specify whether contracted entities perform waiver operational and administrative functions on behalf of the Medicaid agency and/or the operating agency (if applicable) (*select one*):
  - Yes. Contracted entities perform waiver operational and administrative functions on behalf of the Medicaid agency and/or operating agency (if applicable).
    Specify the types of contracted entities and briefly describe the functions that they perform. Complete Items A-5 and A-6.:

Care Coordination Units (CCU): Care coordination services are performed by CCUs under the Operating Agency (IDoA). CCUs will perform the initial and ongoing waiver eligibility determinations for both the FFS and Managed Care participants. For the Managed Care participants, the service planning and ongoing monitoring will become the responsibility of the Managed Care entity.

#### CCU functions include:

- 1) Conduct a comprehensive care assessment of need and eligibility initially and at least annually or as needed based on changes in the participant's financial, support or functional needs.
- 2) Outline available services and choices and provide the participant with information to allow participant to make informed choices regarding services and providers.
- 3) Develop a plan of care with the participant that best meets participant needs, with available services through the waiver or other funding sources.
- 4) Monitor service implementation.
- 5) Maintain participant records.

Effective February 1, 2013, the State delivers care coordination and waiver services through a mandatory managed care delivery system for those waiver participants enrolled in the Integrated Care Program (ICP). The program was initially implemented in the Illinois areas of suburban Cook (all zip codes that do not begin with 606), DuPage, Kane, Kankakee, Lake and Will Counties. The State is implementing the managed care delivery system under the State plan authority [Section 1932(a)]. Future MCOs will be used in a similar fashion over time.

The ICP is a program for older adults and adults with disabilities, age 19 and over, who are eligible for Medicaid, but not eligible for Medicaid Agency (MA) contracted with two Managed Care Plans (Plans) to administer the program. Participants have the choice of Plans.

The program is expanding to the following counties: Winnebago, Boone, McHenry, Rock Island, Mercer, McHenry, Knox, Stark, Peoria, Tazewell, Logan, Menard, Sangamon, Christian, Macon, DeWitt, Mclean, Piatt, Ford, Champaign, Vermillion, Madison, St. Clair, Clinton and the City of Chicago. Pursuant to an RFP, the following Plans, in addition to the two named above, were selected to participate in the expanded program: Community Care Alliance of Illinois (CCAI), HealthSpring of Illinois, Meridian Health Plan, Health Alliance, and Molina Healthcare of Illinois.

Effective March 1, 2014, the delivery system will include those participants whose waiver services will be administered under the Medicare Medicaid Alignment Initiative (MMAI) for the dual eligible. For those who voluntarily enroll for MMAI, both Medicare and Medicaid covered services will be covered and coordinated by

the Health Plans. For those who are dual eligible, but opt not to participate in MMAI, the MA will administer and provide waiver and other Medicaid covered services under a concurrent 1915b/c waiver. This Managed Long-term Supports and Services (MLTSS) will use the same Health Plans chosen to provide MMAI.

Services are designed in the similar manner as ICP. Eight Managed Care Organizations (Plans) were chosen by HFS for MMAI. Participants will have the choice of six Plans in the greater Chicago region and two Plans in the Central Illinois region. The MMAI demonstration project will provide coordinated care to more than 135,000 Medicare-Medicaid enrollees in the Chicagoland area and throughout central Illinois, including Champaign, Christian, Dewitt, Ford, Logan, Macon, McLean, Menard, Piatt, Sangamon and Vermillion counties.

For those waiver participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plans will be responsible for care coordination, service plan development and implementation, participant safeguards, prior authorization of waiver services, utilization management, qualified provider enrollment, and quality assurance and quality improvement activities.

No. Contracted entities do not perform waiver operational and administrative functions on behalf of the Medicaid agency and/or the operating agency (if applicable).

# **Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation**

4.		Local/Regional Non-State Entities. Indicate whether local or regional non-state entities perform waiver nal and administrative functions and, if so, specify the type of entity ( <i>Select One</i> ):
	Not	applicable
		<b>olicable</b> - Local/regional non-state agencies perform waiver operational and administrative functions. ck each that applies: <b>Local/Regional non-state public agencies</b> perform waiver operational and administrative functions at the
		local or regional level. There is an <b>interagency agreement or memorandum of understanding</b> between the State and these agencies that sets forth responsibilities and performance requirements for these agencies that is available through the Medicaid agency.
		Specify the nature of these agencies and complete items A-5 and A-6:
		Local/Regional non-governmental non-state entities conduct waiver operational and administrative
		functions at the local or regional level. There is a contract between the Medicaid agency and/or the operating agency (when authorized by the Medicaid agency) and each local/regional non-state entity that sets forth the responsibilities and performance requirements of the local/regional entity. The <b>contract(s)</b> under which private entities conduct waiver operational functions are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).
		Specify the nature of these entities and complete items A-5 and A-6:

# **Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation**

5. Responsibility for Assessment of Performance of Contracted and/or Local/Regional Non-State Entities. Specify the state agency or agencies responsible for assessing the performance of contracted and/or local/regional non-state entities in conducting waiver operational and administrative functions:

IDoA is responsible for oversight of the Care Coordination Units.

HFS, the Medicaid Agency, conducts routine monitoring of CCU performance by selecting a sample of participant files

The MA is responsible for assessing the performance of contracted entities in conducting waiver operational and administrative functions.

In the MA's contracts with Integrated Care Program MCOs that provide waiver services, the MA has specified for each waiver performance measure the following: responsibility for data collection; frequency of data collection/generation; sampling approach; responsible party for data aggregation and analysis; frequency of data aggregation and analysis; data source; and remediation. For each performance measure, the data source varies according to the performance measure; for many of the measures, the sources are MCO reports and External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) medical record reviews.

The data source for several measures includes customer satisfaction and quality of life surveys. MCOs are collecting this data either by evaluating 100 percent of records or through a representative sample of records, based on the specific performance measure.

MCOs are required to submit quarterly reports, using the format required by the MA, on specific performance measures, which are described in MA's contracts with the MCOs. For each performance measure, contracts specify numerators, denominators, sampling approaches, data sources, etc. The MA has provided the MCOs with a template Workbook for their use in submitting quarterly reports. Each performance measure has its own tab within the Workbook, and captures quarterly information across the reporting year. MCOs present the results to the MA in quarterly meetings. MCO contracts include sanctions for failure to meet requirements for submissions of quality and performance measures.

The MA contracts with Health Services Advisory Group (HSAG) to serve as EQRO. As part of the MA's quality oversight and monitoring of the waiver providers, the EQRO will perform quarterly onsite audits of the enrollee care plans through Record Reviews. Per the MA's contract with HSAG, upon completion of record reviews, HSAG will provide an Enrollee specific summary of findings by measure and a plan and Waiver specific summary report of findings and recommendations as appropriate. The report will include: Summary of non-compliance related to specific performance measures; Overall summary of record review findings; and Recommendations for remediation of non-compliance. HFS and EQRO will work collaboratively to follow up with the MCOs to ensure remediation occurs within the required time frames.

# **Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation**

**6. Assessment Methods and Frequency.** Describe the methods that are used to assess the performance of contracted and/or local/regional non-state entities to ensure that they perform assigned waiver operational and administrative functions in accordance with waiver requirements. Also specify how frequently the performance of contracted and/or local/regional non-state entities is assessed:

The following describes the oversight of the Community Care Units (CCUs) and the Managed Care Organizations (MCO's).

Oversight of CCUs:

#### Quarterly:

IDoA aggregates and analyzes CCU performance data and produces management reports. These management reports help IDoA and the CCU identify potential performance problems for investigation and remediation. CCUs are required to use these reports as a central component of their own quality management strategy.

IDoA reviews reports with CCUs in joint meetings and individually as needed. IDoA conducts calls with CCUs who perform poorly for two or more quarters.

#### Annually:

IDoA conducts a desk audit of and conference call with each CCU. This audit includes a review of all performance reports, corrective action taken, and the policies and procedures maintained by the CCU.

#### Every three years:

IDoA conducts an onsite audit of each CCU that is a more extensive version of the desk audit.

All assessments and reviews may be done more frequently as needed. IDoA may conduct more frequent assessments or reviews based on a variety of reasons, such as participant/family caregiver complaints, billing issues or an event report, especially numerous event reports received for same agency. IDoA may conduct a Limited Scope QI onsite review or a Desk Audit, depending on the reason triggering the review.

HFS assesses the performance of the CCUs through comprehensive onsite reviews and statewide record reviews. HFS annually conducts comprehensive onsite provider reviews. A random sample of CCUs is drawn and

then refined to ensure that CCUs with smaller caseloads are included. HFS makes sure that all regions are represented, and chooses two providers, usually one adult day service and one in-home care provider, serving participants whose care is coordinated by the CCU. Prior to the onsite reviews, HFS reviews IDoA records of critical events related to the CCU and providers; previous IDoA Quality Improvement and interim reviews conducted on the CCU and providers, including follow-up and actions taken up to closure. HFS documents previous IDoA findings to use as a focus during the onsite review to verify correction and ongoing compliance. During the CCU performance review, HFS completes at least six record reviews and participant interviews. Timeliness and content of assessments, service plans and case notes are part of review of records. During participant interviews HFS validates that service plans meet participant needs and participants know how to contact the Care Coordinator, HFS also reviews policies, event reports, and personnel records for evidence of compliance with qualifications and training. Lastly, HFS interviews administrative staff about quality assurance measures; complaint receipt and handling; and the process for reporting abuse or neglect.

Reports are completed and sent to agencies (both CCU & providers) after the review, generally within 30 days. Agencies are prescribed a timeframe for completing corrective actions identified in the review. For issues of health, safety & welfare the timeframe is generally 30 calendar days (or less depending on the severity); for most corrective actions the timeframe is 60 calendar days. If corrective action is not completed in its entirety, a second review is conducted with further corrective action. IDoA can initiate contract action, up to & including termination, for an agency with extensive correction action or issues that jeopardize health, safety, & welfare of participants.

# Oversight of MCOs:

The State's Quality Improvement System (QIS) have been modified to assure that the Plans are complying with the federal assurances and performance measures that fall under the functions delegated to them by the MA.

The ICP post implementation reviews will occur in the first and second quarter of 2014 and the first ICP Administrative Compliance Review will occur in 2015. Samples of MMAI members will be pulled for inclusion in the ICP quarterly reviews beginning October 2014.

The MA's sampling methodology for the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) quarterly record reviews has been finalized. The EQRO is the entity responsible for monitoring MCOs. HSAG, the MA's EQRO, will first determine the appropriate sample size for conducting sample by MCO and by Waiver, with Proportional random samples based on an individual MCO's waiver program distribution. Final sample size will be adjusted based on the actual MCO eligible population; MCO sample sizes will ensure a 95 percent confidence level and 5 percent margin of error. The MA will select samples by MCO and by Waiver.

The EQRO determines the quarterly record review schedule, based on MCO sample sizes, and performs onsite reviews for those measures that require a record review. The EQRO then sends a report of findings to the MA and the MCOs. The report shall include summary of non-compliance and related specific performance measures; overall summary of record review findings; and recommendations for remediation by the MCO of non-compliance. The MCOs are required to remediate findings within required timelines; issues that may impact a participant's health, safety or welfare are given a case-specific due date for response.

HFS and EQRO will work collaboratively to follow up with the MCOs to ensure remediation occurs within the required time frames. An MCO must provide a plan of correction and supporting documentation of remediation. The EQRO will review an MCO's response to determine whether it is acceptable; the MA will notify the MCO and then the EQRO will do follow up spot checking at the MCO to confirm individual findings have been remediated. If an MCO does not respond, its response is not acceptable, or spot checking discovers findings were not remediated, the MA will notify MCO leadership and specify necessary steps in order to come into compliance. The MCOs will report remediation activities to the MA, at least quarterly.

MCOs send their first Quarterly reports to the MA 30 days after the first quarter the MCO has Long-Term Services and Supports (LTSS) membership, based on calendar year quarters, i.e. January 1-March 31; April 1-June 30; July 1-September 30; October 1-December 31. The MA anticipates the first LTSS quarterly report for MMAI would be due October 31, 2014.

MCOs send their first Critical Incident Monthly Report to the MA 30 days after the first month of MMAI implementation. The MA anticipates the first Critical Incidents Report for MMAI would be due April 30, 2014.

For the performance measures that do not require record reviews, the MCOs will be sending routine reports (some monthly and some quarterly) to the MA. The report format is given to the MCOs to ensure consistency among

plans. These reports will contain discovery and remediation activity and will be reviewed at least quarterly. Data sources may include the Medicaid Management Information System, the MCOs' Information Systems, the MCO's critical incident reporting systems and other data sources as indicated in the waiver.

The MA will meet quarterly with the MCOs to assess compliance with the waiver assurances and to identify and analyze trends based on scope and severity. Remediation activities will be reviewed and systems improvements will be implemented.

As part of the State's oversight of the EQRO, the MA has developed a performance measure to assure that the EQRO is completing the record reviews as required through their contract. If non-compliance is noted, the EQRO will develop a corrective action plan to remediate the problem. HFS and EQRO will work collaboratively to follow up with the MCOs to ensure remediation occurs within the required time frames.

# **Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation**

7. **Distribution of Waiver Operational and Administrative Functions.** In the following table, specify the entity or entities that have responsibility for conducting each of the waiver operational and administrative functions listed (*check each that applies*):

In accordance with 42 CFR §431.10, when the Medicaid agency does not directly conduct a function, it supervises the performance of the function and establishes and/or approves policies that affect the function. All functions not performed directly by the Medicaid agency must be delegated in writing and monitored by the Medicaid Agency. Note: More than one box may be checked per item. Ensure that Medicaid is checked when the Single State Medicaid Agency (1) conducts the function directly; (2) supervises the delegated function; and/or (3) establishes and/or approves policies related to the function.

Function	Medicaid Agency	Other State Operating Agency	Contracted Entity
Participant waiver enrollment		<b>√</b>	
Waiver enrollment managed against approved limits	✓	<b>✓</b>	
Waiver expenditures managed against approved levels	√	<b>✓</b>	
Level of care evaluation	✓	<b>✓</b>	√
Review of Participant service plans	✓	<b>✓</b>	√
Prior authorization of waiver services		<b>✓</b>	√
Utilization management	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
Qualified provider enrollment	✓	<b>✓</b>	√
Execution of Medicaid provider agreements	✓	<b>✓</b>	
Establishment of a statewide rate methodology	<b>√</b>	✓	
Rules, policies, procedures and information development governing the waiver program	√	<b>✓</b>	
Quality assurance and quality improvement activities	√	<b>√</b>	√

# **Appendix A: Waiver Administration and Operation**

**Quality Improvement: Administrative Authority of the Single State Medicaid Agency** 

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

#### a. Methods for Discovery: Administrative Authority

The Medicaid Agency retains ultimate administrative authority and responsibility for the operation of the waiver program by exercising oversight of the performance of waiver functions by other state and local/regional non-state agencies (if appropriate) and contracted entities.

#### i. Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance, complete the following. Performance measures for administrative authority should not duplicate measures found in other appendices of the waiver application. As necessary and applicable, performance measures should focus on:

- Uniformity of development/execution of provider agreements throughout all geographic areas covered by the waiver
- Equitable distribution of waiver openings in all geographic areas covered by the waiver
- Compliance with HCB settings requirements and other new regulatory components (for waiver actions submitted on or after March 17, 2014)

#### Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

#### **Performance Measure:**

1A: The Interagency Agreement identifies responsibilities of the Medicaid agency and the operating agency, is on file at HFS, and is reviewed annually.

**Data Source** (Select one): **Other** If 'Other' is selected, specify: **Interagency Agreement** 

Sampling Approach(check

Frequency of data

data collection/generation (check each that applies):	collection/ge (check each t		each that	applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly		<b>100</b> °	% Review
<b>▽</b> Operating Agency	Monthly	7	Less Rev	s than 100% iew
Sub-State Entity	Quarter	ly	Rep San	resentative nple Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b>V</b> Annuall	У	Stra	Describe Group:
	Continu Ongoin	ously and	Oth	er Specify:
	Other Specify:			
Data Aggregation and Analysis:				
Responsible Party for data and analysis (check each the		Frequency of analysis(check		
State Medicaid Agency	У	Weekly		
<b>Operating Agency</b>		Monthly		
Sub-State Entity		Ouarterl	v	

Annually

Other
Specify:

**Continuously and Ongoing** 

**Performance Measure:** 

Other Specify:

Responsible Party for

# $2A\colon 100\%$ of waiver program policies and procedures are reviewed by HFS, prior to implementation.

Other			
If 'Other' is selected, specify:			
<b>Responsible Party for data collection/generation</b> (check each that applies):	Frequency of collection/get (check each to	neration	Sampling Approach(check each that applies):
<b>V</b> State Medicaid	Weekly		<b>▼ 100% Review</b>
Agency			
<b>▽</b> Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	7	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarter</b>	ly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annuall	у	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continu Ongoin	ously and	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:		
Data Aggregation and Anal Responsible Party for data and analysis (check each the State Medicaid Agency  Operating Agency	aggregation at applies):		data aggregation and k each that applies):
Sub-State Entity		<b>Quarter</b>	ly
Other		Annually	
Specify:		Alliualiy	•
		I	

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

#### **Performance Measure:**

3A: HFS exercises oversight of program administration by IDoA as indicated by: 1) regular management reports identifying status of the program in terms of the performance measures; 2) regular reports identifying remediation proposed or taken by the operating agency; 3) identification of trends indicating a need for follow up; 4) identification of promising practices and proposed improvements.

**Data Source** (Select one): **Other** If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b> 100%</b> Review
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually	Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

#### **Performance Measure:**

4A: # and % of overdue Individual Support Plans 12 month renewals that were remediated within 30 days by the MCO. N: # of overdue Individual Support Plan 12 month renewals which were remediated within 30 days by the MCO. D: Total # of MCO overdue Individual Support Plans 12 months renewals.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

MCO Reports

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>☑</b> 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity  Other Specify: MCO	<b>Quarterly N</b> Annually	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =  Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:

	Specify:			
Data Aggregation and Anal		_		
Responsible Party for data and analysis (check each the		Frequency of analysis(check		
State Medicaid Agency		Weekly		
Operating Agency		Monthly		
Sub-State Entity		<b>Quarterl</b>	y	
Other Specify: MCO		Annually	,	
Med		Continuo	ously and (	Ongoing
		Other		
		Specify:		
that were remediated within provider qualifications non-MCO. D: Total # of individucompliance.  Data Source (Select one):	compliance th	nat were remed	liated with	nin 60 days by the
Other If 'Other' is selected, specify: MCO Reports Case Manag	er Training			
	Frequency of collection/get (check each ti	neration	Sampling each that	Approach(check applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly		<b>100</b> %	6 Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	7	Less Revi	than 100% ew
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarter</b>	ly	Sam	resentative ple Confidence Interval =
Other	Annuall	<b>X</b> 7		

Specify: MCO Stratified

Describe Group:

Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>
Other Specify: MCO	✓ Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

#### **Performance Measure:**

6A:# and % of service plans that were implemented prior to authorization by the MCO with remediation within 60 days. N: # of service plans that were implemented prior to authorization by the MCO with remediation within 60 days. D: Total # of services plans reviewed by the MCO that were implemented prior to authorization.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

**MCO Reports** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach(check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>V</b> 100% Review	
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review	
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample	

		Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: MCO	<b>✓</b> Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other
		Specify:

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>
Other Specify: MCO	✓ Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

#### **Performance Measure:**

7A:# and % of participant reviews conducted by the EQRO according to the sampling methodology specified in the waiver. N: # of participant reviews conducted by the EQRO according to the sampling methodology specified in the waiver. D: Total # of participant reviews by the EQRO required according to the sampling methodology.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

**EORO Reports** 

EQNO Reports							
Responsible Party for Frequency of da	ata Sampling Approach(check						
data collection/generation   collection/gener	each that applies):						
(check each that applies): (check each that	applies):						

State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>V</b> 100% Review			
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review			
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =			
Other Specify: EQRO	<b>Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe Group:			
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:			
	Other Specify:				

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>
Other Specify: EQRO	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

#### **Performance Measure:**

8A:# and % of waiver service providers utilized by the MCO that are an enrolled Medicaid provider. N: # of enrolled certified waiver service providers utilized by the MCO that continue to meet applicable certification requirements. D: Total # of enrolled certified waiver service providers.

Data Source (Select one):

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	ration   collection/generation   each that applies):			
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly		<b></b> 100% Review	
Operating Agency	Monthly	y	Less than 100% Review	
Sub-State Entity	<b></b> Quarter	·ly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =	
Other Specify: MCO		У	Stratified  Describe Group:	
	Continu Ongoin	ously and g	Other Specify:	
	Other Specify:			
Data Aggregation and Anal Responsible Party for data and analysis (check each the	aggregation at applies):	analysis(chec	f data aggregation and k each that applies):	
<b>V</b> State Medicaid Agency	7	Weekly		
Operating Agency		Monthly	,	
Sub-State Entity		<b>Quarter</b>	ly	
Other Specify: MCO		Annuall	y	
		Continu	ously and Ongoing	

Other Specify:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):			

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

The Medicaid agency, HFS, will conduct routine programmatic and fiscal monitoring for both the OA and the MCOs.

HFS and IDoA entered into an interagency agreement that is reviewed and updated on at least an annual basis. IDoA submits proposed policy changes to HFS. HFS reviews and approves these changes.

HFS and IDoA meet on a quarterly basis to review program administration and to evaluate the system performance. The quarterly meeting provides opportunities to discuss trends, issues, and remediation activities.

The OA is responsible for following up on all overdue service plans that are identified during reviews until remediation is complete. HFS works with the OA as needed to ensure required remediations have been completed.

For those functions delegated to the OA and the MCOs, the MA is responsible for oversight and monitoring to assure compliance with federal assurances and performance measures. The MA monitors both compliance levels and timeliness of remediation by the OA and MCOs.

For those functions delegated to the MCO, the MA is responsible for discovery. MCOs are required to submit quarterly reports, using the format required by the MA, on specific Performance Measures (PMs), which are specified in HFS' contracts with Integrated Care Program MCOs that provide waiver services. Contract details regarding MCO performance measures include: numerators, denominators, sampling approaches, data sources, etc. The MA prescribes the reporting format for each MCO, providing the MCOs with a template Workbook for their use in submitting quarterly reports. Each performance measure has its own tab within the Workbook, and captures quarterly information across the reporting year. MCOs submit the reports on a quarterly basis to a SharePoint site at the MA. MA staff review reports to ensure all required information is included in the report, as well as to identify any performance issues requiring follow up with a particular MCO.

Through its contract with the EQRO, the MA monitors both compliance of PMs and timeliness of remediation for those waiver participants enrolled in an MCO through consumer surveys and quarterly record reviews.

The MA's sampling methodology for the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) quarterly record reviews has been finalized. The EQRO is the entity responsible for monitoring MCOs. HSAG, the MA's EQRO, will first determine the appropriate sample size for conducting sample by MCO and by Waiver, with Proportional random samples based on an individual MCO's waiver program distribution. Final sample size will be adjusted based on the actual MCO eligible population; MCO sample sizes will ensure a 95 percent confidence level and 5 percent margin of error. The MA will select samples by MCO and by Waiver.

## b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

- i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.
  Quality Improvement reviews conducted by IDoA generally utilize either a 30 day or 60 day timeframe for completing corrective actions. Corrective actions which require no more than a 30 day remediation timeframe are generally those which would jeopardize the health, safety, and welfare of participants, such as lack of criminal background checks.
  - 1A: The OA and MA will review the IA annually. If changes to the IA are needed, the OA and MA will draft amendment language within 30 days. The MA follows up to completion.
  - 2A: The OA submits outstanding policies to the MA for approval. Remediation must be completed within

30 days. If remediation is not completed within 30 days, the OA reviews procedures and submits a plan of correction to the MA. The MA follows-up to completion.

- 3A: The OA submits outstanding policies to the MA for approval. Remediation must be completed within 30 days. If remediation is not completed within 30 days, the OA reviews procedures and submits a plan of correction to the MA. The MA follows-up to completion.
- 4A: The MCO conducts timely completion of the overdue Support Plans and renewals. The MCO may also provide training for case managers. Remediation must be completed within 30 days. If remediation is not completed within 30 days, the MCO reviews procedures and submits a plan of correction to the MA. The MA follows-up to completion.
- 5A: The MCO obtains provider qualifications documentation. The MCO will work with providers to obtain documentation. If not qualified, the provider is dis-enrolled and the MCO provides participant with other available providers. The MCO trains case managers, if needed. Remediation must be completed within 60 days. If remediation is not completed within 60 days, the MCO reviews procedures and submits a plan of correction to the MA. The MA follows-up to completion.
- 6A: The MCO provides training to case managers and authorizes service plans if appropriate. Remediation must be completed within 60 days. If remediation is not completed within 60 days, the MCO reviews procedures and submits a plan of correction to the MA. The MA follows-up to completion.
- 7A: The EQRO completes all outstanding case reviews and reviews the case review scheduling/process to determine reasons for reviews not being conducted. Remediation must be completed within 90 days. If remediation is not completed within 90 days, the EQRO reviews procedures and submits a plan of correction to the MA. The MA follows-up to completion.
- 8A: Upon discovery of non-compliance, the MCO is notified to change the provider. The MCO will work with providers and the OA to become an enrolled Medicaid provider. Training will be required for MCO case managers. Remediation must be completed within 60 days.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identification)

<b>Responsible Party</b> (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):			
<b>V</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly			
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly			
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly			
Other Specify:	<b>Annually</b>			
	Continuously and Ongoing			
_	Other Specify:			

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Administrative Authority that are currently nonoperational.

(()	No
	Yes

1	gy for assuring Administrative Authority, the specific timeline for implementing urties responsible for its operation.
	•

# **Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility**

# **B-1: Specification of the Waiver Target Group(s)**

**a.** Target Group(s). Under the waiver of Section 1902(a)(10)(B) of the Act, the State limits waiver services to one or more groups or subgroups of individuals. Please see the instruction manual for specifics regarding age limits. In accordance with 42 CFR §441.301(b)(6), select one or more waiver target groups, check each of the subgroups in the selected target group(s) that may receive services under the waiver, and specify the minimum and maximum (if any) age of individuals served in each subgroup:

				Maxim	um Age
Target Group	Included	Target SubGroup	Minimum Age	Maximum Age Limit	No Maximum Age Limit
Aged or Disa	bled, or Both - Ge	eneral	,	,	
	√	Aged		√	
	✓	Disabled (Physical)	60	64	
		Disabled (Other)			
Aged or Disa	bled, or Both - Sp	ecific Recognized Subgroups			
		Brain Injury			
		HIV/AIDS			
		Medically Fragile			
		Technology Dependent			
Intellectual Disability or Developmental Disability, or Both					
		Autism			
		Developmental Disability			
		Intellectual Disability			
Mental Illnes	S				
		Mental Illness			
		Serious Emotional Disturbance			

- **b.** Additional Criteria. The State further specifies its target group(s) as follows:
  - 1. Be an Illinois resident at time of service.
  - 2. Be Medicaid eligible
  - 3. Be at risk of nursing facility placement as measured by the Determination of Need (DON) assessment with a minimum score of 15 on functional impairment and a total of at least 29 points.
  - 4. Ability to be maintained safely in the home at a cost which does not exceed the Service Cost Maximum as measured by the DON.
- **c. Transition of Individuals Affected by Maximum Age Limitation.** When there is a maximum age limit that applies to individuals who may be served in the waiver, describe the transition planning procedures that are undertaken on behalf of participants affected by the age limit (*select one*):

Not	anı	nlicable.	There	is	no	maximum	age	limit
1101	ap	piicabic.	Incic	10	110	maximum	age	111111

The following transition planning procedures are employed for participants who will reach the waiver's maximum age limit. Specify:

Individuals who enter the waiver between the ages of 60 and 64 experience no discontinuity of service when they turn 65. Available services are the same for all waiver participants and are based on DON score not on age. After the age of 65 there is no maximum age limit for the waiver.

# **Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility**

# **B-2:** Individual Cost Limit (1 of 2)

a.	<b>Individual Cost Limit.</b> The following individual cost limit applies when determining whether to deny home and
	community-based services or entrance to the waiver to an otherwise eligible individual (select one). Please note that a
	State may have only ONE individual cost limit for the purposes of determining eligibility for the waiver:

	No Cost Limit.	The State does not apply	an individual cost	t limit Do not com	inlete Item R-2-h or	item B-2-c
-	110 Cost Linnt.	, The State does not appry	an marvidual cos	i mmi. Do noi com	ipicie lieni <b>D</b> -2-0 or	11CH D-2-C.

Cost Limit in Excess of Institutional Costs. The State refuses entrance to the waiver to any otherwise eligible individual when the State reasonably expects that the cost of the home and community-based services furnished to that individual would exceed the cost of a level of care specified for the waiver up to an amount specified by the State. *Complete Items B-2-b and B-2-c*.

The limit specified by the State is (select one)

Specify the percentage:	
Other	
Specify:	

- Institutional Cost Limit. Pursuant to 42 CFR 441.301(a)(3), the State refuses entrance to the waiver to any otherwise eligible individual when the State reasonably expects that the cost of the home and community-based services furnished to that individual would exceed 100% of the cost of the level of care specified for the waiver. Complete Items B-2-b and B-2-c.
- Cost Limit Lower Than Institutional Costs. The State refuses entrance to the waiver to any otherwise qualified individual when the State reasonably expects that the cost of home and community-based services furnished to that individual would exceed the following amount specified by the State that is less than the cost of a level of care specified for the waiver.

Specify the basis of the limit, including evidence that the limit is sufficient to assure the health and welfare of waiver participants. Complete Items B-2-b and B-2-c.

The State uses the Determination of Need (DON) assessment tool. The University of Illinois-Chicago conducted a study to review the DON to determine validity. The study was a cooperative venture, which included the Department of Rehabilitation Services (now DHS-Division of Rehabilitation Services (DRS)), Department of Public Aid (now Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS)), and the Department on Aging (IDoA). The tool was developed for two purposes: 1) as a prescreening tool for HCBS waivers for DHS-DRS, IDoA and nursing facilities and 2) as a tool to assess level of services in HCBS waivers and to identify service cost maximums, based on a case-mix strata.

The DON was tested for validity and reliability when initially implemented in 1983 as an appropriate tool for assessing the needs of a participant. To validate, DONs were administered to participants across the DRS and IDoA waiver programs. Based upon extensive data analysis, it was determined that the DON was a valid assessment tool which adequately assessed level of impairment and need for services. A minimum score of 29 was identified for eligibility to a nursing facility and the waiver program. The maximum score is 100. Analyses also identified ranges of DON scores and associated Service Cost Maximum (SCM) levels. Respective SCMs are assigned by DON scoring ranges and correlated to cost limits that are at or below those for nursing home placement.

The installation of the AMD and the EHRS are not included in the Service Cost Maximum; however, the monthly rates are included.

The cost limit specified by the State is (select one):	
The following dollar amount:	
Specify dollar amount:	
The dollar amount (select one)	
Is adjusted each year that the waiver is in effect by applying the following formula:	
Specify the formula:	
	۸
May be adjusted during the period the waiver is in effect. The State will submit a waiver	÷
amendment to CMS to adjust the dollar amount.	
$\bigcirc$ The following percentage that is less than $100\%$ of the institutional average:	
Specify percent:	
Other:	
Specify:	
Upon receipt of federal approval, the OA will implement the new Service Cost Maximum methodology a indicated below.	ıs
The installation of the AMD and the EHRS are not included in the Service Cost Maximum, however, the monthly rates are included.	
The new methodology establishes Service Cost Maximums based on individual Determination of Need scores, instead of a range of scores. The result is that each individual Determination of Need score has a specific Service Cost Maximum rather than a range of Determination of Need scores linking up to one Service Cost Maximum.	
In order to address any participants that may be negatively impacted, the State has established a transition plan. The transition plan is outlined in Attachment A and was approved by CMS, prior to submitting this amendment.	
SCMs may be updated in the future based on proposed increases in provider rates or other factors that impact the cost of waiver services.	
Monthly Service Cost Maximums follow:	
Homemaker Service: DON SCORE SERVICE MAXIMUM LEVEL	
29 429	
30 480	
31 532	
32 583 33 635	
33 633 34 686	
35 738	
36 789	

37 840 38 892

- 39 943
- 40 995
- 41 1,046
- 42 1,097
- 43 1,149
- 44 1,200
- 45 1,252
- 46 1,303
- 47 1,355
- 48 1,406
- 49 1,457
- 50 1,509
- 51 1,560
- 52 1,612
- 53 1,663
- 54 1,714
- 55 1,766
- 56 1,817
- 57 1,869
- 58 1,920
- 59 1,972
- 60 2,023
- 61 2,074
- 62 2,126
- 63 2,177
- 64 2,229
- 65 2,280
- 66 2,332
- 67 2,383
- 68 2,434
- 69 2,486
- 70 2,537
- 71 2,589
- 72 2,640
- 73 2,691 74 2,743
- 75 2,794
- 76 2,846
- 77 2,897
- 78 2,949
- 79 3,000
- 80 3,051
- 81 3,103
- 82 3,154
- 83 3,206
- 84 3,257
- 85 3,309
- 86 3,360
- 87 3,411
- 88 3,463
- 89 3,514
- 90 3,566
- 91 3,617
- 92 3,668
- 93 3,720
- 94 3,771
- 95 3,823 96 3,874
- 97 3,926
- 98 3,977
- 99 4,028

### 100 4,080

```
Adult Day Service:
DON SCORE SERVICE MAXIMUM LEVEL
29 661
30 769
31 884
32 998
33 1,113
34 1,227
35 1,297
36 1,366
37 1,435
38 1,505
39 1,574
40 1,644
41 1,713
42 1,783
43 1,853
44 1,922
45 1,992
46 2,061
47 2,131
48 2,200
49 2,269
50 2,339
51 2,408
52 2,478
53 2,547
54 2,616
55 2,686
56 2,755
57 2,825
58 2,894
59 2,964
60 3,033
61 3,102
62 3,172
63 3,241
64 3,311
65 3,380
66 3,450
67 3,520
68 3,589
69 3,659
70 3,728
71 3,798
72 3,867
73 3,936
74 4,006
75 4,075
76 4,145
77 4,214
78 4,284
79 4,353
80 4,422
81 4,492
82 4,561
83 4,631
84 4,700
```

85 4,770

86 4,839 87 4,908 88 4,978 89 5,047 90 5,117 91 5,186 92 5,256 93 5,326 94 5,395 95 5,465 96 5,534 97 5,604 98 5,673 99 5,742 100 5,812

## **Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility**

## **B-2: Individual Cost Limit (2 of 2)**

**b. Method of Implementation of the Individual Cost Limit.** When an individual cost limit is specified in Item B-2-a, specify the procedures that are followed to determine in advance of waiver entrance that the individual's health and welfare can be assured within the cost limit:

The State has enhanced the assessment tool used to determine participant need for services. The enhanced approach encompasses an assessment of the participant's situation and circumstances related to all factors contributing to quality of life and the ability to live independently in the community. It includes a review of the participant's environment in the community, as well as the participant's physical, cognitive, psychological, and social well-being.

The comprehensive assessment tool covers 11 domains: participant demographics, functional impairments [Determination of Need (DON) and Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE)], physical health history and assessment, behavioral health (including spirituality), medications, nutritional screening, caregiver, transportation, environment, financial and legal status. The assessment also includes identification of existing support systems and the need for further evaluation by other disciplines.

The plan of care, based on the assessment, identifies all services, the need for additional evaluation(s), participant expressed needs and wants, and service arrangement. It also includes identification of service needs being met by existing support systems including public, private, family and community and those funded by other than the Community Care Program (waiver services). Care coordinators are encouraged to use grant funded services when available to assist in meeting participants' needs and fill in gaps where traditional CCP services are not available or adequate.

If an individual does not meet eligibility requirements, IDoA sends the individual a Client Action Notice that informs the individual why he or she is not eligible. The notice also includes a statement that if the person does not agree with this planned action, that individual can request a hearing. The notice explains how to appeal with the appropriate forms enclosed. All services in effect at the time of the appeal will continue until the decision of the appeal is issued. Section F-1 describes the Fair Hearing Process in more detail.

c.	Participant Safeguards. When the State specifies an individual cost limit in Item B-2-a and there is a change in the
	participant's condition or circumstances post-entrance to the waiver that requires the provision of services in an
	amount that exceeds the cost limit in order to assure the participant's health and welfare, the State has established the
	following safeguards to avoid an adverse impact on the participant (check each that applies):

The p	participant is	s referred to ar	nother waiv	er that ca	n accomm	odate the individual's	needs.

Additional services in excess of the individual cost limit may be authorized.

Specify the procedures for authorizing additional services, including the amount that may be authorized:

Temporary Service Increase (TSIs) refers to an assessment type that is completed when a current participant is at imminent risk of entering a nursing facility. Care Coordinators complete a new DON and use the appropriate service cost maximum to authorize appropriate services based on the current needs of the participant. The benefit of a TSI is that due to the imminent risk of nursing home placement the new services are expedited and

are required to be implemented within two days. Care Coordinators are also required to complete follow-up full assessments within specified timeframes for participants that have had a TSI assessment. If the TSI was

	calendar days. If the TSI was completed while the participant is residing in the community the complete assessment must be completed within 30 days. At the time of the complete reassessment, a new DON is completed and services are established based on service needs identified at that time.  Other safeguard(s)	
	Specify:	
		T
Append	ix B: Participant Access and Eligibility	
	B-3: Number of Individuals Served (1 of 4)	
	duplicated Number of Participants. The following table specifies the maximum number of unduplicated ticipants who are served in each year that the waiver is in effect. The State will submit a waiver amendment t	.o

Table: R.3.

CMS to modify the number of participants specified for any year(s), including when a modification is necessary due to legislative appropriation or another reason. The number of unduplicated participants specified in this table is basis

for the cost-neutrality calculations in Appendix J:

Waiver Year	Unduplicated Number of Participants
Year 1	32095
Year 2	33058
Year 3	44250
Year 4	48675
Year 5	52237

- **b.** Limitation on the Number of Participants Served at Any Point in Time. Consistent with the unduplicated number of participants specified in Item B-3-a, the State may limit to a lesser number the number of participants who will be served at any point in time during a waiver year. Indicate whether the State limits the number of participants in this way: (select one):
  - The State does not limit the number of participants that it serves at any point in time during a waiver year.
  - The State limits the number of participants that it serves at any point in time during a waiver year.

The limit that applies to each year of the waiver period is specified in the following table:

Table: R-3-h

Table: B-3-	·b
Waiver Year	Maximum Number of Participants Served At Any Point During the Year
Year 1	
Year 2	
Year 3	
Year 4	
Year 5	

Waiver Year	Maximum Number of Participants Served At Any Point During the Year

## B-3: Number of Individuals Served (2 of 4)

- **c. Reserved Waiver Capacity.** The State may reserve a portion of the participant capacity of the waiver for specified purposes (e.g., provide for the community transition of institutionalized persons or furnish waiver services to individuals experiencing a crisis) subject to CMS review and approval. The State (*select one*):
  - Not applicable. The state does not reserve capacity.
  - The State reserves capacity for the following purpose(s).

## **Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility**

## B-3: Number of Individuals Served (3 of 4)

- **d. Scheduled Phase-In or Phase-Out.** Within a waiver year, the State may make the number of participants who are served subject to a phase-in or phase-out schedule (*select one*):
  - The waiver is not subject to a phase-in or a phase-out schedule.
  - The waiver is subject to a phase-in or phase-out schedule that is included in Attachment #1 to Appendix B-3. This schedule constitutes an intra-year limitation on the number of participants who are served in the waiver.
- e. Allocation of Waiver Capacity.

Select one:

- Waiver capacity is allocated/managed on a statewide basis.
- Waiver capacity is allocated to local/regional non-state entities.

Specify: (a) the entities to which waiver capacity is allocated; (b) the methodology that is used to allocate capacity and how often the methodology is reevaluated; and, (c) policies for the reallocation of unused capacity among local/regional non-state entities:

**f.** Selection of Entrants to the Waiver. Specify the policies that apply to the selection of individuals for entrance to the waiver:

There are no specific policies related to prioritization of waiver services or applications. Persons that meet eligibility requirements are enrolled in the waiver upon completion of the waiver application. There is no waiting list for services.

For those individuals who are enrolled in MCOs, State-established policies governing the selection of individuals for entrance to the waiver will remain the same as for all participants. Initial waiver eligibility will be conducted by the State contracted Community Care Units (CCUs), who are the same entities currently designated in the existing waiver. The CCUs will use the same objective criteria for all participants. Selection of entrants does not violate the requirement that otherwise eligible individuals have comparable access to all services offered in the waiver.

# **Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility**

B-3: Number of Individuals Served - Attachment #1 (4 of 4)

Answers provided in Appendix B-3-d indicate that you do not need to complete this section.

# B-4: Eligibility Groups Served in the Waiver

	<b>1. State Classification.</b> The State is a (select one):
	§1634 State
	SSI Criteria State
	<b>209(b) State</b>
	2. Miller Trust State.
	Indicate whether the State is a Miller Trust State (select one):
	<ul><li>No</li><li>Yes</li></ul>
	U Tes
	dicaid Eligibility Groups Served in the Waiver. Individuals who receive services under this waiver are eligible
	er the following eligibility groups contained in the State plan. The State applies all applicable federal financial icipation limits under the plan. <i>Check all that apply</i> :
-	ribility Groups Served in the Waiver (excluding the special home and community-based waiver group under 4.
	R §435.217)
	Low income families with children as provided in §1931 of the Act
	SSI recipients
1	Aged, blind or disabled in 209(b) states who are eligible under 42 CFR §435.121
1	Optional State supplement recipients
1	Optional categorically needy aged and/or disabled individuals who have income at:
	Select one:
	<b>■</b> 100% of the Federal poverty level (FPL)
	% of FPL, which is lower than 100% of FPL.
	Specify percentage:  Working individuals with disabilities who buy into Medicaid (BBA working disabled group as provided i
	\$1902(a)(10)(A)(ii)(XIII)) of the Act)
	Working individuals with disabilities who buy into Medicaid (TWWIIA Basic Coverage Group as
	provided in §1902(a)(10)(A)(ii)(XV) of the Act)
	Working individuals with disabilities who buy into Medicaid (TWWIIA Medical Improvement Coverage
	Group as provided in §1902(a)(10)(A)(ii)(XVI) of the Act)  Disabled individuals age 18 or younger who would require an institutional level of care (TEFRA 134)
	eligibility group as provided in \$1902(e)(3) of the Act)
1	Medically needy in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)
	Medically needy in 1634 States and SSI Criteria States (42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)
	Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the
	State plan that may receive services under this waiver)
	Specify:
Sno	cial home and community-based waiver group under 42 CFR §435.217) Note: When the special home and
•	munity-based waiver group under 42 CFR §435.217 is included, Appendix B-5 must be completed

(42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)  Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount:	Select or	ne and complete Appendix B-5.
under 42 CFR §435.217  Check each that applies:  A special income level equal to:  Select one:  300% of the SSI Federal Benefit Rate (FBR)  A percentage of FBR, which is lower than 300% (42 CFR §435.236)  Specify percentage:  A dollar amount which is lower than 300%.  Specify dollar amount:  Aged, blind and disabled individuals who meet requirements that are more restrictive than SSI program (42 CFR §435.121)  Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of (42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)  Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL  % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount:  Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)	All	individuals in the special home and community-based waiver group under 42 CFR §435.217
A special income level equal to:  Select one:  300% of the SSI Federal Benefit Rate (FBR) A percentage of FBR, which is lower than 300% (42 CFR §435.236)  Specify percentage: A dollar amount which is lower than 300%.  Specify dollar amount:  Aged, blind and disabled individuals who meet requirements that are more restrictive than SSI program (42 CFR §435.121)  Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of (42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)  Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount: Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		
Select one:  300% of the SSI Federal Benefit Rate (FBR) A percentage of FBR, which is lower than 300% (42 CFR §435.236)  Specify percentage: A dollar amount which is lower than 300%.  Specify dollar amount:  Aged, blind and disabled individuals who meet requirements that are more restrictive than SSI program (42 CFR §435.121)  Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of (42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)  Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount: Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)	Che	eck each that applies:
300% of the SSI Federal Benefit Rate (FBR) A percentage of FBR, which is lower than 300% (42 CFR §435.236)  Specify percentage: A dollar amount which is lower than 300%.  Specify dollar amount:  Aged, blind and disabled individuals who meet requirements that are more restrictive than SSI program (42 CFR §435.121)  Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of (42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)  Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount: Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		A special income level equal to:
A percentage of FBR, which is lower than 300% (42 CFR §435.236)  Specify percentage:  A dollar amount which is lower than 300%.  Specify dollar amount:  Aged, blind and disabled individuals who meet requirements that are more restrictive than SSI program (42 CFR §435.121)  Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of (42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)  Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL  % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount:  Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		Select one:
Specify percentage:  A dollar amount which is lower than 300%.  Specify dollar amount:  Aged, blind and disabled individuals who meet requirements that are more restrictive than SSI program (42 CFR §435.121)  Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of (42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)  Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL  % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount:  Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		○ 300% of the SSI Federal Benefit Rate (FBR)
A dollar amount which is lower than 300%.  Specify dollar amount:  Aged, blind and disabled individuals who meet requirements that are more restrictive than SSI program (42 CFR \$435.121)  Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of (42 CFR \$435.320, \$435.322 and \$435.324)  Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR \$435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL  % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount:  Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		○ A percentage of FBR, which is lower than 300% (42 CFR §435.236)
Specify dollar amount:  Aged, blind and disabled individuals who meet requirements that are more restrictive than SSI program (42 CFR §435.121)  Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of (42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)  Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL  % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount:  Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		Specify percentage:
Aged, blind and disabled individuals who meet requirements that are more restrictive than SSI program (42 CFR §435.121)  Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of (42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)  Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL  % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount:  Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		A dollar amount which is lower than 300%.
SSI program (42 CFR §435.121)  Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of (42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)  Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount:  Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		Specify dollar amount:
Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of (42 CFR §435.320, §435.322 and §435.324)  Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL  % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount:  Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)	1	•
Medically needy without spend down in 209(b) States (42 CFR §435.330)  Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount:  Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		SSI program (42 CFR §435.121)  Medically needy without spenddown in States which also provide Medicaid to recipients of
Aged and disabled individuals who have income at:  Select one:  100% of FPL % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount:  Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		
Select one:  100% of FPL % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.  Specify percentage amount:  Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)	√	
<ul> <li>100% of FPL</li> <li>% of FPL, which is lower than 100%.</li> <li>Specify percentage amount:</li> <li>Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>% of FPL, which is lower than 100%.</li> <li>Specify percentage amount:</li> <li>Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)</li> </ul>		Select one:
Specify percentage amount:  Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		○ 100% of FPL
Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		∅ % of FPL, which is lower than 100%.
groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)		Specify percentage amount:
		Other specified groups (include only statutory/regulatory reference to reflect the additional
Specify:		groups in the State plan that may receive services under this waiver)
		Specify:

## **B-5: Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income** (1 of 4)

In accordance with 42 CFR §441.303(e), Appendix B-5 must be completed when the State furnishes waiver services to individuals in the special home and community-based waiver group under 42 CFR §435.217, as indicated in Appendix B-4. Post-eligibility applies only to the 42 CFR §435.217 group. A State that uses spousal impoverishment rules under §1924 of the Act to determine the eligibility of individuals with a community spouse may elect to use spousal post-eligibility rules under §1924 of the Act to protect a personal needs allowance for a participant with a community spouse.

**a.** Use of Spousal Impoverishment Rules. Indicate whether spousal impoverishment rules are used to determine eligibility for the special home and community-based waiver group under 42 CFR §435.217 (select one):

Spousal impoverishment rules under §1924 of the Act are used to determine the eligibility of individuals with a community spouse for the special home and community-based waiver group.
In the case of a participant with a community spouse, the State elects to (select one):
Use spousal post-eligibility rules under §1924 of the Act. (Complete Item B-5-c (209b State) and Item B-5-d)
Use regular post-eligibility rules under 42 CFR §435.726 (SSI State) or under §435.735 (209b State) (Complete Item B-5-c (209b State). Do not complete Item B-5-d)
Spousal impoverishment rules under §1924 of the Act are not used to determine eligibility of individuals with a community spouse for the special home and community-based waiver group. The State uses regular post-eligibility rules for individuals with a community spouse. (Complete Item B-5-c (209b State). Do not complete Item B-5-d)
Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility
B-5: Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income (2 of 4)
b. Regular Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income: SSI State.
Answers provided in Appendix B-4 indicate that you do not need to complete this section and therefore this section is not visible.
Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility
B-5: Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income (3 of 4)
c. Regular Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income: 209(B) State.
The State uses more restrictive eligibility requirements than SSI and uses the post-eligibility rules at 42 CFR §435.735. Payment for home and community-based waiver services is reduced by the amount remaining after deducting the following amounts and expenses from the waiver participant's income:
i. Allowance for the needs of the waiver participant (select one):
The following standard included under the State plan
(select one):
The following standard under 42 CFR §435.121
Specify:
Optional State supplement standard
Medically needy income standard
The special income level for institutionalized persons
(select one):
○ 300% of the SSI Federal Benefit Rate (FBR)
○ A percentage of the FBR, which is less than 300%
Specify percentage:
A dollar amount which is less than 300%.
Specify dollar amount:

		A percentage of the Federal poverty level
		Specify percentage:
		Other standard included under the State Plan
		Specify:
		The maintenance allowance for the waiver participants equals the maximum income an individual can have and be eligible under 435.217 group.
		The following dollar amount
		Specify dollar amount: If this amount changes, this item will be revised.
		The following formula is used to determine the needs allowance:
		Specify:
		Other
		Specify:
ii.	Allo	wance for the spouse only (select one):
	( )	Not Applicable (see instructions)
		The following standard under 42 CFR §435.121
		Specify:
		Optional State supplement standard
		Medically needy income standard
		The following dollar amount:
		Specify dollar amount: If this amount changes, this item will be revised.
		The amount is determined using the following formula:
		Specify:
iii.	Allo	wance for the family (select one):
	(2)	Not Applicable (see instructions)
		AFDC need standard
		Medically needy income standard
		The following dollar amount:
		Specify dollar amount: The amount specified cannot exceed the higher of the need standard
		for a family of the same size used to determine eligibility under the State's approved AFDC plan or the
		medically needy income standard established under 42 CFR §435.811 for a family of the same size. If this amount changes, this item will be revised.

	Specify:
	Other
	Specify:
Am	ounts for incurred medical or remedial care expenses not subject to payment by a third party
	cified in 42 §CFR 435.726:
	a. Health insurance premiums, deductibles and co-insurance charges
	State's Medicaid plan, subject to reasonable limits that the State may establish on the amounts o
	State's Medicaid plan, subject to reasonable limits that the State may establish on the amounts o expenses.
	State's Medicaid plan, subject to reasonable limits that the State may establish on the amounts o expenses.  The state of the state protects the maximum amount for the waiver.
	State's Medicaid plan, subject to reasonable limits that the State may establish on the amounts o expenses.  cct one:  Not Applicable (see instructions)Note: If the State protects the maximum amount for the waiver participant, not applicable must be selected.
	State's Medicaid plan, subject to reasonable limits that the State may establish on the amounts of expenses.  Sectione:  Not Applicable (see instructions) Note: If the State protects the maximum amount for the waiver participant, not applicable must be selected.  The State does not establish reasonable limits.
	Not Applicable (see instructions) Note: If the State protects the maximum amount for the waiver participant, not applicable must be selected.  The State does not establish reasonable limits.  The State establishes the following reasonable limits

# **B-5: Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income** (4 of 4)

## d. Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income Using Spousal Impoverishment Rules

The State uses the post-eligibility rules of §1924(d) of the Act (spousal impoverishment protection) to determine the contribution of a participant with a community spouse toward the cost of home and community-based care if it determines the individual's eligibility under §1924 of the Act. There is deducted from the participant's monthly income a personal needs allowance (as specified below), a community spouse's allowance and a family allowance as specified in the State Medicaid Plan. The State must also protect amounts for incurred expenses for medical or remedial care (as specified below).

Answers provided in Appendix B-5-a indicate that you do not need to complete this section and therefore this section is not visible.

## **Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility**

## B-6: Evaluation/Reevaluation of Level of Care

As specified in 42 CFR §441.302(c), the State provides for an evaluation (and periodic reevaluations) of the need for the level(s) of care specified for this waiver, when there is a reasonable indication that an individual may need such services in the near future (one month or less), but for the availability of home and community-based waiver services.

**a.** Reasonable Indication of Need for Services. In order for an individual to be determined to need waiver services, an individual must require: (a) the provision of at least one waiver service, as documented in the service plan, <u>and</u> (b) the provision of waiver services at least monthly or, if the need for services is less than monthly, the participant requires regular monthly monitoring which must be documented in the service plan. Specify the State's policies concerning the reasonable indication of the need for services:

	i. Minimum number of services.
	The minimum number of waiver services (one or more) that an individual must require in order to be
	determined to need waiver services is: 1
	ii. Frequency of services. The State requires (select one):
	The provision of waiver services at least monthly
	Monthly monitoring of the individual when services are furnished on a less than monthly basis
	If the State also requires a minimum frequency for the provision of waiver services other than monthly (e.g., quarterly), specify the frequency:
b.	Responsibility for Performing Evaluations and Reevaluations. Level of care evaluations and reevaluations are
	performed (select one):
	Directly by the Medicaid agency
	By the operating agency specified in Appendix A
	By an entity under contract with the Medicaid agency.
	Specify the entity:
	Other Specify:
c.	The CCU is responsible for performing evaluations and reevaluations. <b>Qualifications of Individuals Performing Initial Evaluation:</b> Per 42 CFR §441.303(c)(1), specify the educational/professional qualifications of individuals who perform the initial evaluation of level of care for waiver applicants:
	Minimum qualifications for care coordinators:  1)Be an R.N, or have a B.S.N, or have a B.A./B.S. degree in social science, social work or related field. One year o program experience, which is defined as assessment, provision, and/or authorization of formal services for the elderly, may replace one year of college education up to and including four years of experience replacing a baccalaureate degree; or,
	2)Be a LPN with one year of program experience which is defined as assessment of a provision of formal services for the elderly and /or authorizing service provision; or 3)Be waived for persons hired/serving in this capacity prior to December 31, 1999.
	Care coordinators must also complete the following IDoA sponsored training:  1)Preliminary Community Care Program (CCP) training which must occur prior to conducting participant assessments;
	2)CCP Certification training and successfully pass the required exam within six months of completing Preliminary training; and 3)Recertification training within each 18-month anniversary of each previous certification.
	5) Recentlification training within each 10-month anniversary of each previous certification.

Care coordinators must also complete 18 hours of documented in-service training on aging related subjects within each calendar year. For partial years of employment, training is prorated to equal 1.5 hours for each full month of employment.

d. Level of Care Criteria. Fully specify the level of care criteria that are used to evaluate and reevaluate whether an individual needs services through the waiver and that serve as the basis of the State's level of care instrument/tool. Specify the level of care instrument/tool that is employed. State laws, regulations, and policies concerning level of care criteria and the level of care instrument/tool are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable), including the instrument/tool utilized.

In order to be eligible for waiver services, the participant must be evaluated with the Illinois Determination of Need (DON) assessment and receive at least 15 points on functional impairment and a total of 29 points. This assessment includes a Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE) and a functional level of needs and unmet needs section. The

functional status section assesses both activities of daily living (ADL) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL). The functional areas are: eating, bathing, grooming, dressing, transferring, incontinence, managing money, telephoning, preparing meals, laundry, housework, outside of home, routine health, special health, and being alone. Each area is scored 0 - 3 for level of need, and 0 - 3 depending on the level of natural supports available to meet the need. The score of 0 is no need increasing up to total dependence with a score of 3. Mental status is evaluated using the standardized MMSE. Care coordinators receive training and guidelines for scoring each area consistently. The DON is the same criteria used to assess for nursing facility eligibility. The final score is calculated by adding the results of the MMSE, the level of impairment and the unmet need.

e.	meet the need. The score of 0 is no need increasing up to total dependence with a score of 3. It evaluated using the standardized MMSE. Care coordinators receive training and guidelines for consistently. The DON is the same criteria used to assess for nursing facility eligibility. The f calculated by adding the results of the MMSE, the level of impairment and the unmet need. <b>Level of Care Instrument(s).</b> Per 42 CFR §441.303(c)(2), indicate whether the instrument/too of care for the waiver differs from the instrument/tool used to evaluate institutional level of care	scoring each area inal score is ol used to evaluate level to every:
	The same instrument is used in determining the level of care for the waiver and for in under the State Plan.	nstitutional care
	A different instrument is used to determine the level of care for the waiver than for in under the State plan.	nstitutional care
	Describe how and why this instrument differs from the form used to evaluate institutional explain how the outcome of the determination is reliable, valid, and fully comparable.	level of care and
f.	<b>Process for Level of Care Evaluation/Reevaluation:</b> Per 42 CFR §441.303(c)(1), describe the evaluating waiver applicants for their need for the level of care under the waiver. If the reevaluation process, describe the differences:	
	The CCUs conduct the level of care evaluations and reevaluations utilizing the Determination above.	of Need as described
	For participants enrolled in an MCO, the re-evaluations will be conducted by the OA as described waiver.	ped in the existing
g.	<b>Reevaluation Schedule.</b> Per 42 CFR §441.303(c)(4), reevaluations of the level of care require conducted no less frequently than annually according to the following schedule ( <i>select one</i> ):	d by a participant are
	Every three months	
	Every six months	
	© Every twelve months	
	Other schedule Specify the other schedule:	
		·
h.	<b>Qualifications of Individuals Who Perform Reevaluations.</b> Specify the qualifications of ind reevaluations ( <i>select one</i> ):	ividuals who perform
	The qualifications of individuals who perform reevaluations are the same as individuinitial evaluations.	als who perform
	The qualifications are different.  Specify the qualifications:	

**i. Procedures to Ensure Timely Reevaluations.** Per 42 CFR §441.303(c)(4), specify the procedures that the State employs to ensure timely reevaluations of level of care (*specify*):

CCU care coordinators enter participant demographic and assessment information into the relational computerized database, Case Management Information System (CMIS). The CMIS offers one method used by the CCUs to ensure the timely reevaluation.

The CMIS generates standard reports, which also assist the CCUs as a participant tracking and caseload management system. Care coordination activities are managed by maintaining care plans and producing reports that provide care coordinators with a reminder of participant assessment due in given month. The care coordinator supervisors use the

standard reports to monitor and evaluate care coordinator activities, and include current month assessment status, upcoming assessments and case management projections.

Partcipant assessment information is transmitted via CMIS to the IDoA's Internet based billing system, electronic CCP Information System (eCCPIS). CCU's periodically review the eCCPIS, to run the redetermination due or overdue report to prevent untimely annual redeterminations.

The eCCPIS reports are available to the CCUs to track when annual eligibility determinations are due. IDoA encourages the CCUs to review the eCCPIS on a regular schedule. IDoA staff review eCCPIS redetermination due reports at least twice a year.

IDoA and HFS monitor timeliness of reevaluations during monitoring activities.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the OA will employ procedures to ensure its timely reevaluations of level of care.

**j.** Maintenance of Evaluation/Reevaluation Records. Per 42 CFR §441.303(c)(3), the State assures that written and/or electronically retrievable documentation of all evaluations and reevaluations are maintained for a minimum period of 3 years as required in 45 CFR §92.42. Specify the location(s) where records of evaluations and reevaluations of level of care are maintained:

The State requires that CCUs adhere to IDoA's standards and policies which requires that all written and/or electronic documentation related to all evaluations, reevaluations and participant care are maintained for a minimum period of 6 years after the contract terminates under which the participant was served. Active participant's records can never be purged regardless of contract termination dates. CCUs are required to maintain records in a secure, confidential location that is readily accessible during this period.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plans will maintain the forms. The record retention requirements will be the same for the MCOs as it is for the fee-for-service enrollees. As required by CMS, the minimum is three years.

The record retention requirements will be the same for Managed Care enrollees as it is for the Fee-for-Service (FFS) enrollees. As required by CMS, the minimum will be three years.

## **Appendix B: Evaluation/Reevaluation of Level of Care**

## **Quality Improvement: Level of Care**

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Level of Care Assurance/Sub-assurances

The state demonstrates that it implements the processes and instrument(s) specified in its approved waiver for evaluating/reevaluating an applicant's/waiver participant's level of care consistent with level of care provided in a hospital, NF or ICF/IID.

### i. Sub-Assurances:

a. Sub-assurance: An evaluation for LOC is provided to all applicants for whom there is reasonable indication that services may be needed in the future.

#### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

#### **Performance Measure:**

Data Source (Select one):

9B: The Care Coordinator completes a waiver application (that includes a level of care determination) for all persons that apply for the HCBS waiver.

Other If 'Other' is selected, specif Community Care Program		nes Report		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency collection/go	of data		<b>g Approach</b> ach that applies).
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	7	<b>100</b>	% Review
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthl	ly	Less Rev	s than 100% riew
Sub-State Entity	Quarte	rly		resentative nple Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b>✓</b> Annual	lly	Stra	ntified  Describe  Group:
	Continu Ongoin	uously and	Oth	er Specify:
	Other Specify	:		
Data Aggregation and An Responsible Party for dataggregation and analysis	ta	Frequency of analysis(che		gregation and at applies):
that applies):  State Medicaid Agen	асу	Weekly		
<b>Operating Agency</b>		Monthl	y	
Sub-State Entity		Quarter	rly	
Other Specify:			ly	

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other
	Specify:
	_
	-

b. Sub-assurance: The levels of care of enrolled participants are reevaluated at least annually or as specified in the approved waiver.

#### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

#### **Performance Measure:**

10B: All eligibility determinations will be finalized within 30 days of receipt of a completed application for CCP using the DON.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>▼ 100% Review</b>
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
<b>Sub-State Entity</b>	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:

	5
✓ Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

## **Performance Measure:**

11B: Level of care reviews are completed on or before the annual review date.

**Data Source** (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

**eCCPIS** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample

		Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b>Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

MCO Reports			
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100% Review</b>	
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review	
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =	
Other Specify: MCO	<b>Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe Group:	
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:	

Specify	
Data Aggregation and Analysis:	
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	<b>✓</b> Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: MCO	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:
	uments described in the approved waiver are applied oved description to determine participant level of care.
Performance Measures	
	will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or Where possible, include numerator/denominator.
to analyze and assess progress toward the on the method by which each source of da	information on the aggregated data that will enable the State e performance measure. In this section provide information at a is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how and how recommendations are formulated, where
Performance Measure: 12B: No person will be eligible for the less than 15 and a minimum total score	program with a DON impairment score of e of 29.
Data Source (Select one): Other	

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

**eCCPIS** 

c.

<b>Operating Agency</b>	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Source** (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify: **CMIS** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>☑</b> 100% Review
<b>Operating Agency</b>	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
<b>V</b> Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually	Describe Group:

	Ongoing Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	
Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specif MCO Event Reports	· r	
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: MCO	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>V</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>
Other Specify: MCO	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

**ii.** If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

Care Coordination Units (CCUs) conduct Level of Care (LOC) determinations. The state has a two-pronged approach to ensuring that LOC determinations are done in an accurate and timely fashion.

First, IDoA requires each CCU to maintain written and up-to-date policies for ensuring that all individuals potentially eligible for the waiver are given the opportunity to apply. CCUs must submit these policies to IDoA on an annual basis. IDoA reviews these policies using a checklist tool and aggregates the results in an Access database. IDoA also conducts reviews once every three years to ensure that the CCUs are following their written policies.

Second, the state maintains tracking databases in which the CCUs enter information about individual LOC determinations. These databases contain individual level and item level information from the LOC determination tools. Information is collected on a continuous basis. IDoA extracts information from these databases regarding the timeliness of the eligibility determinations and redeterminations. The information is summarized in quarterly management reports. The databases also contain edits that ensure that only individuals who meet the LOC eligibility threshold are determined eligible for the program.

For those functions delegated to the OA such as LOC determinations, the MA is responsible for oversight and monitoring to assure compliance with federal assurances and performance measures. The MA monitors both compliance levels and timeliness of remediation by the OA.

The MA's sampling methodology is based on a statistically valid sampling methodology that pulls proportionate samples from the OA and the enrolled MCOs. The proportionate sampling methodology uses a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error. The MA will pull the sample annually and adjust the methodology as additional MCOs are enrolled to provide long term services and supports.

For those functions delegated to the MCO, the MA is responsible for discovery. MCOs are required to submit quarterly reports, using the format required by the MA, on specific Performance Measures (PMs), which are specified in HFS' contracts with Integrated Care Program MCOs that provide waiver services. Contracts specify numerators, denominators, sampling approaches, data sources, etc. Through its contract with the EQRO, the MA monitors both compliance of PMs and timeliness of remediation for those waiver participants enrolled in an MCO through consumer surveys and quarterly record reviews. Participants in MCOs are included in the representative sampling.

#### b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.
 9B: Application is completed upon discovery. Remediation must be completed within 60 days.

10B: Eligibility determinations will be completed upon discovery. Remediation must be completed within 60 days.

11B: Remediation: 1. LOC is completed upon discovery. 2. If eligible, no additional correction required. 3. If ineligible, billing and claims are adjusted. 4. Individual receives assistance with accessing other supports and services. Remediation must be within 60 days.

12B: If it is discovered that the impairment score is less than 15 and/or the minimum total score is less than 29, the OA will require a justification case managers for the eligibility determination. If the justification is inadequate, the waiver eligibility will be discontinued and the OA will assist the individual with accessing other supports and services. Federal claims will be adjusted and the OA will provide technical assistance or training to case managers. Remediation must be completed within 60 days.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and A	nalysis (including trend identification)
<b>Responsible Party</b> (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
<b>☑</b> Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>
Other Specify: MCO	<b>✓</b> Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

#### c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements	of the Quality	Improvement 3	Strategy in p	place, provide	timelines to	design
methods for discovery and remediation rela	ated to the assu	urance of Level	l of Care tha	at are currently	non-operation	onal.

No

Yes

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Level of Care, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.

## **Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility**

#### **B-7: Freedom of Choice**

**Freedom of Choice.** As provided in 42 CFR §441.302(d), when an individual is determined to be likely to require a level of care for this waiver, the individual or his or her legal representative is:

- i. informed of any feasible alternatives under the waiver; and
- ii. given the choice of either institutional or home and community-based services.
- **a. Procedures.** Specify the State's procedures for informing eligible individuals (or their legal representatives) of the feasible alternatives available under the waiver and allowing these individuals to choose either institutional or waiver

services. Identify the form(s) that are employed to document freedom of choice. The form or forms are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

Participant choice is a requirement of the CCP Administrative Rule 240.330. Upon assessment, participants are offered the choice between waiver services and institutional care. Care coordinators discuss service options including institutionalized care and ensure that the participant is fully aware of the pros and cons of each option. The participant must sign the form verifying that choice was given. This same statement is also on the CCP form and participants verify by signature at the time of initial assessment that they were offered a choice of services versus institutional care. Freedom of choice is also discussed in the "Rights and Responsibilities" brochure that is given out to participants at each assessment. Care coordinators are required to document in the case notes to support that this information was given to the participants.

Once a participant chooses to have CCP services, he or she is given a choice of provider agency. Care coordinators are trained to educate participants on the available providers and to assist participants, if needed, in making an informed choice. IDoA utilizes a Vendor Selection Form (VSF), which the participant signs, to document participant preference of providers. If a participant has no preference, then each CCU is required to maintain a provider selection rotation list from which a care coordinator can assign a provider to a participant based on the rotation list. When a participant wishes to change providers, a new VSF can be completed and providers will be switched within fifteen days of finalizing the paperwork.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, preference for institutional or home and community-based services will be documented on a Freedom of Choice form provided by the PLan and approved by the MA. The participant must sign the completed form indicating his/her choice and that he/she has made an informed decision.

**b. Maintenance of Forms.** Per 45 CFR §92.42, written copies or electronically retrievable facsimiles of Freedom of Choice forms are maintained for a minimum of three years. Specify the locations where copies of these forms are maintained.

The State requires that CCUs adhere to the Department's standards and policies which requires that all written and/or electronic documentation related to all evaluations, reevaluations and participant care are maintained for a minimum period of 6 years after the contract terminates under which the participant was served. Active participant's records can never be purged regardless of contract termination dates. CCUs are required to maintain records in a secure, confidential location that is readily accessible during this period.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plans will maintain the forms.

## **Appendix B: Participant Access and Eligibility**

## **B-8:** Access to Services by Limited English Proficiency Persons

Access to Services by Limited English Proficient Persons. Specify the methods that the State uses to provide meaningful access to the waiver by Limited English Proficient persons in accordance with the Department of Health and Human Services "Guidance to Federal Financial Assistance Recipients Regarding Title VI Prohibition Against National Origin Discrimination Affecting Limited English Proficient Persons" (68 FR 47311 - August 8, 2003):

The State provides access to waiver services to all eligible seniors in Illinois including Limited English Proficient persons. The State provides assessment forms, brochures, and applicable paperwork that have been translated in 16 different languages to the Care Coordination Units for use. Many Care Coordination Units have bilingual Care Coordinators to perform assessments on non-English speaking clients. The State also requires that Care Coordinators use translators when necessary to complete assessments and provide care coordination services. The State reimburses the Care Coordination Units at a higher rate when a translator is required. The State also has provider agencies that target specific ethnic populations and therefore have workers that are fluent in specific languages. This information is provided to the participants during the assessment so that the participants can make an informed choice about the provider they chose. Emergency Home Reponse System (EHRS) provider standards require providers to utilize translation services that are capable of communicating in 144+ languages. The State also has arranged for technical assistance for providers through The Coalition of Limited English Speaking Elderly (CLESE) to help them through the provider application process, billing and payment issues.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plan shall make all written materials distributed to English speaking enrollees, as appropriate, available in Spanish and other prevalent languages, as determined by the MA. Where there is a prevalent single -language minority within the low income households (5% or more such households) where a language other than English is spoken, the Plans' written materials must be available in that language as well as in English.

# **Appendix C: Participant Services**

# C-1: Summary of Services Covered (1 of 2)

**a.** Waiver Services Summary. List the services that are furnished under the waiver in the following table. If case management is not a service under the waiver, complete items C-1-b and C-1-c:

Service Type	Service		
Statutory Service	Adult Day Service		
Statutory Service	In-home Service		
Other Service	Automated Medication Dispenser (AMD)		
Other Service	Emergency Home Response Service		Г

Other Service	Emergency Home Response Service
<b>Appendix C: Participant</b>	Services
C-1/C-3: Service	e Specification
State laws, regulations and policies through the Medicaid agency or the <b>Service Type:</b>	referenced in the specification are readily available to CMS upon request e operating agency (if applicable).
Statutory Service	
Service:	
Adult Day Health	•
Alternate Service Title (if any):	
Adult Day Service	
<b>HCBS Taxonomy:</b>	
Category 1:	Sub-Category 1:
Category 2:	Sub-Category 2:
Category 3:	Sub-Category 3:
Category 4:	Sub-Category 4:
· ·	
Convice Definition (Coope):	
<b>Service Definition</b> (Scope):	

Adult Day Service is the direct care and supervision of adults aged 60 or over, in a community-based setting for the purpose of providing personal attention; and promoting social, physical and emotional well being in a structured setting. Required service components include:

Assessment of the participant's strengths and needs and development of an individual written plan of care for each participant that establishes specific goals for all service components to be provided or arranged by the service provider.

The individual plan of care is to be established by the adult day service team consisting of program coordinator/director and program nurse, and may include other staff at the option of the program

coordinator/director.

The individual plan of care shall address the needs identified by the Case Coordination Unit (CCU) as described in the Determination of Need (DON), Client Agreement-Plan of Care and approved by the client's physician/nurse practitioner/registered nurse/Christian science practitioner.

The individual plan of care shall address the need identified by the service provider's staff and participant/caregiver during the individualized plan of care process.

The participant, caregiver, and other service providers shall have the opportunity to contribute to the development, implementation, and evaluation of the individualized plan of care.

Reassessing the participant's needs and reevaluating the appropriateness of the individualized plan of care shall be done as needed, but at least semi-annually.

A balance of purposeful activities to meet the participant's interrelated needs and interests (social, intellectual, cultural, economic, emotional, physical, and spiritual) designed to improve or maintain the optimal functioning of the participant.

Activity programming shall take into consideration individual differences in age, health status, sensory deficits, lifestyle, ethnicity, religious affiliation, values, experiences, needs, interests and abilities by providing for a variety of types and levels of involvement.

Time for rest and relaxation shall be provided as needed or prescribed.

Activity opportunities shall be available whenever the service provider's facility is in operation and participants are in attendance.

A monthly calendar of activities of daily living shall be prepared and posted in a visible place.

Assistance with or supervision of activities of daily living (e.g., walking, eating, toileting, and personal care) as needed.

Provision of health-related services appropriate to the participants needs as identified in the provider assessment and/or physician's orders, including health monitoring, nursing intervention on a moderate or intermittent basis for medical conditions and functional limitations, medication monitoring, medication administration or supervision of self-administration, and coordination of health services.

A meal at mid-day meeting a minimum of one-third of the Dietary Reference Intakes (DRI) as established by the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academy of Sciences, 10th Revised Edition, 2006, no further amendments or editions included. Supplementary nutritious snacks and special diets shall also be provided as directed by the client's physician.

Agency provision or arrangement of transportation, with at least one vehicle physically accessible, to enable clients to receive adult day care service at the adult day care service provider's site and participate in sponsored outings. The adult day care transportation is billed as a separate service component.

Provision of emergency care as appropriate in accordance with established adult day care service providers' policies and IDoA rules.

Specify applicable (if any) limits on the amount, frequency, or duration of this service: Services are provided according to the plan of care within the service cost maximum

Service Delivery Metl	hod (check each that applies):	
Participant-	directed as specified in Appendix E	
Provider ma	ınaged	
Specify whether the s	ervice may be provided by (check each that applies):	
Legally Resp	ponsible Person	

Relative				
Legal Guardian				
Provider Specification	ns:			
<b>Provider Category</b>	Provider Type Title			
Agency	Adult Day Care			
	articipant Services -3: Provider Specifications for Service			
	tatutory Service Adult Day Service			
Provider Category:	•			
Agency				
Provider Type: Adult Day Care				
Provider Qualificati	ons			
License (specify	):			
Certificate (spec	eify):			
Other Standard				
89 Ill. Admin. C <b>Verification of Prov</b> i				
	ble for Verification:			
IDoA	100			
Frequency of V At time of enroll	erification: ment and every three years			
The time of emon	mont and every ance years			
Appendix C: Pa	rticipant Services			
C-1/C	-3: Service Specification			
State laws, regulations through the Medicaid Service Type:	and policies referenced in the specification are readily available to CMS upon request agency or the operating agency (if applicable).			
Statutory Service	•			
Service:				
Homemaker Alternate Service Tit	le (if any):			
In-home Service	ic (ii any).			
HCBS Taxonomy:				
Category 1:	Sub-Category 1:			
Category 2:	Sub-Category 2:			

Category 3:	Sub-Category 3:
	•
Category 4:	Sub-Category 4:
	•

#### **Service Definition** (Scope):

Services consisting of general household activities (meal preparation and routine household care) provided by a trained homecare aide, when the individual regularly responsible for these activities is temporarily absent or unable to manage the home and care for him or herself or others in the home. Homecare aides shall meet such standards of education and training as are established by the State for the provision of these activities.

In-home serivce is defined as general non-medical support by supervised homecare aides who receive specialized training in the provision of in-home services. The purpose of providing in-home services is to maintain, strengthen, and safeguard functioning of individuals in their own homes in accordance with the authorized plan.

Specific components of in-home services shall include the following:

Teaching/performing of meal planning and preparation; routine housekeeping skills/tasks (e.g. making and changing beds, dusting, washing dishes, vacuuming, cleaning and waxing floors, keeping the kitchen and bathroom clean and laundering the participant's linens and clothing); shopping skills/tasks; and home maintenance and repairs.

Assisting with self-administered medication which shall be limited to:

- · Reminding the participant to take his/her medications;
- · Reading instructions for utilization;
- · Uncapping medication containers; and,
- · Providing the proper liquid and utensil with which to take medications.

Performing/assisting with essential shopping errands may include handling the participant's money (proper accounting to the participant of money handled and provision of receipts are required). These tasks shall be:

- · Performed as specifically required by the plan of care; and,
- · Monitored by the in-home service supervisor.

Assisting with following a written special diet plan and reinforcement of diet maintenance (can only be provided under the direction of a physician and as required in the plan of care).

Observing client's functioning and reporting to the supervisor.

Performing/assisting with personal care tasks (e.g.: shaving, hair shampooing and combing; bathing and sponge bath, shower bath or tub bath; dressing; brushing and cleaning teeth or dentures and preparation of appropriate cleaning supplies; transferring participant; and assisting participant with range of motion.

Escort to medical facilities, errands, shopping and individual business as specified in the plan of care.

In-home services may include transportation to medical facilities, or for essential errands/shopping, or for essential participant business with or on behalf of the participant as specified in the plan of care. **Specify applicable (if any) limits on the amount, frequency, or duration of this service:** Service is limited by the service cost maximum, except for transport. There is a maximum of 100 hours hours a month.

**Service Delivery Method** (check each that applies):

	Participant-directed as specified in Appendix F
1	Provider managed

**Specify whether the service may be provided by** (check each that applies):

Legally Responsible Person	n
Relative	
Legal Guardian Provider Specifications:	
Provider Category Provider Type T	<del></del>
Agency Homemaker Age	ency
Appendix C: Participant Se	
C-1/C-3: Provide	r Specifications for Service
Service Type: Statutory Service Service Name: In-home Service	
Provider Category:	
Agency	
Provider Type: Homemaker Agency	
Provider Qualifications	
License (specify):	
Certificate (specify):	
Other Standard (specify):	
89 Ill Admin Code 240  Verification of Provider Qualification	ions
<b>Entity Responsible for Verifica</b>	
IDoA Frequency of Verification:	
At time of enrollment and every	three years
Appendix C: Participant Se	
C-1/C-3: Service S	Specification
State laws, regulations and policies ref	ferenced in the specification are readily available to CMS upon request
through the Medicaid agency or the op Service Type:	berating agency (if applicable).
Other Service	
	), the State requests the authority to provide the following additional
service not specified in statute.  Service Title:	
Automated Medication Dispenser (AM	MD)
HCBS Taxonomy:	
Category 1:	Sub-Category 1:
Service Definition (Scope):	

Category 2:	Sub-Category 2:
Category 3:	Sub-Category 3:
	•
Category 4:	Sub-Category 4:
	•

Automated Medication Dispenser service (AMD) is defined as a as "a portable, mechanical system for individual use that can be programmed to dispense or alert the participant to take non-liquid oral medications in the participant's residence or other temporary residence in Illinois through auditory, visual or voice reminders; to provide tracking and caregiver notification of a missed medication dose; and to provide 24 hour technical assistance to the participant and responsible party for the AMD service in the home. The service may provide additional medication specific directions, or prompts to take medications via other routes such as liquid medications or injections based on individual need.

The purpose of the service is to provide the participant with medication reminders when mild cognitive deficits or severe physical limitations prevent timely and safe administration of a complex medication schedule thereby promoting independence and safety of the participants in their own homes as well as potentially reducing the need for nursing home care.

The authorization of the service is determined by the Care Coordinator through a screening of the participant's medication, medical, cognitive and physical needs; potential to benefit; availability of a willing and reliable responsible party(s) to manage medications if the participant cannot manage his or her own medications; and commitment to using the system appropriately. The service must be authorized in the plan of care. This service does not include Department or AMD provider medication management, oversight or handling of the participant's medications. The participant or family/responsible party must be responsible for managing the acquisition of all prescribed medications, including assuring the medications are administered according to physician orders, and must manually fill the AMD working with the AMD provider to program the dispenser initially and subsequently with any changes in the medication schedule. In addition, the participant must have a

willing family member/responsible party to be the point of contact and to act on AMD provider notification of

missed medication doses and other system issues such as power outages.

The service is provided by a standalone medication dispenser base unit that is connected to and supported by a Department approved AMD provider through either the telephone line or wireless/cellular system. Electronic data on the following information is transmitted and maintained by the provider including, but not limited to: missed medication doses, notification of the responsible party when medication doses are missed, power outages or other system defaults are detected and disposition of notifications. The data will be available via electronic reports on an individual basis to the responsible party(ies) and care coordinators and in the individual or aggregate to the operating agency for the oversight of adherence to medication schedules and quality management improvement activities.

The state will offer this service through the Request for Certification to assure that any willing and qualified providers have the opportunity to provide this service. Through the Request for Information and informal contacts with providers of this service, we believe that the vast majority of providers also provide emergency home response (EHRS) services. Standards have been written to identify required automated medication dispenser service components, minimum equipment specifications and administrative requirements.

"The one-time installation is separate from the monthly rental and service cost. The one-time installation cost may be combined with installation of an emergency home response system if installed at the same time by the same provider. The installation rate covers the following: maintaining adequate local staffing levels of qualified personnel to service necessary administrative activities, installation, and in-home training. The monthly rental and service rate covers the following: maintaining administrative and technical support to program machines, provide 24 hour technical assistance, signal monitoring, troubleshooting, providing machine maintenance and repair requests, sending notifications on missed medication doses and providing reports."

Specify applicable (if any) limits on the amount, frequency, or duration of this service:

The amount, duration and scope of service is based on the determination of need assessment conducted by the care coordinator and the service cost maximum determined by the DON score

Service Delivery Method (check each that applies):
<ul> <li>□ Participant-directed as specified in Appendix E</li> <li>□ Provider managed</li> </ul>
Specify whether the service may be provided by (check each that applies):
<ul> <li>✓ Legally Responsible Person</li> <li>✓ Relative</li> <li>✓ Legal Guardian</li> <li>Provider Specifications:</li> </ul>
Provider Category Provider Type Title
Agency Automated Medication Dispenser Provider
Appendix C: Participant Services
C-1/C-3: Provider Specifications for Service
Country True Other Country
Service Type: Other Service Service Name: Automated Medication Dispenser (AMD)
Provider Type: Automated Medication Dispenser Provider Provider Qualifications License (specify): None Certificate (specify): None Other Standard (specify): As specified in the IDoA Community Care Program Standards for Automated Medication Dispenser Services. This document will be posted on the IDoA website.  Provider standards are in administrative rule. Providers must meet the standards as specified in Title 89 Section 240 or the Illinois Administrative Code.  Verification of Provider Qualifications Entity Responsible for Verification: IDoA Frequency of Verification: At time of enrollment, upon renewal every three years.
Appendix C: Participant Services C-1/C-3: Service Specification
State laws, regulations and policies referenced in the specification are readily available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).  Service Type:  Other Service  As provided in 42 CFR §440.180(b)(9), the State requests the authority to provide the following additional service not specified in statute.  Service Title:

Emerger	ncy Home Resp	oonse Service	
HCBS T	Гахопоту:		
Cat	tegory 1:		Sub-Category 1:
Cat	tegory 2:		Sub-Category 2:
Cat	tegory 3:		Sub-Category 3:
Cat	tegory 4:		Sub-Category 4:
C	<b>Definition</b> (Sco		•
needs an of a base participa center as participa	nd mobility limit and an act ant that will autossesses the situant. The purpos	tations. This service is provided be tivation device worn by the omatically link the participant to a ation and directs an appropriate rese of providing EHRS is to improve	home for participants based on health and safety y a two-way voice communication system consisting professionally staffed support center. The support sponse whenever this system is engaged by a e the independence and safety of participants in their e, and thereby help reduce the need for nursing home
Specify The amo	applicable (if a punt, duration a		ency, or duration of this service: the determination of need assessment conducted by the
Service	Delivery Meth	nod (check each that applies):	
✓	Participant-o	lirected as specified in Appendix	α E
Specify	whether the se	ervice may be provided by (check	c each that applies):
	Legally Resp Relative Legal Guard	onsible Person ian	
Provide	er Specification	is:	
	ency 1	Provider Type Title Emergency Home Response Service	
Apper	ndix C: Pai	rticipant Services	
	C-1/C-	3: Provider Specification	s for Service
	rvice Type: Ot rvice Name: E	her Service mergency Home Response Servi	ce

Provider Category:
Agency ·
Provider Type:
Emergency Home Response Service Provider Qualifications
License (specify):
Electific (specify).
Certificate (specify):
Other Standard (specify): As specified in IDoA Community Care Program Standards for Emergency Home Response Services. This document can be found at http://www.state.il.us/aging/1athome/awaq/EHRS_standards.pdf
Provider standards are in administrative rule. Providers must meet the standards as specified in Title 89 Section 240 of the Illinois Admin. Code.  Verification of Provider Qualifications  Entity Responsible for Verification:
IDoA Frequency of Verification:
At time of enrollment and annually
Appendix C: Participant Services  C-1: Summary of Services Covered (2 of 2)  b. Provision of Case Management Services to Waiver Participants. Indicate how case management is furnished to waiver participants (select one):  Not applicable - Case management is not furnished as a distinct activity to waiver participants.  Applicable - Case management is furnished as a distinct activity to waiver participants.  Check each that applies:  As a waiver service defined in Appendix C-3. Do not complete item C-1-c.  As a Medicaid State plan service under §1915(i) of the Act (HCBS as a State Plan Option). Complete item C-1-c.  As a Medicaid State plan service under §1915(g)(1) of the Act (Targeted Case Management). Complete item C-1-c.  As an administrative activity. Complete item C-1-c.
<b>c. Delivery of Case Management Services.</b> Specify the entity or entities that conduct case management functions on behalf of waiver participants:
Case Coordination Units (CCUs) contracted by IDoA provide care coordination services.
For participants enrolled in an MCO, case management will be the responsibility of the Plans.
Appendix C: Participant Services
C-2: General Service Specifications (1 of 3)
<ul> <li>a. Criminal History and/or Background Investigations. Specify the State's policies concerning the conduct of criminal history and/or background investigations of individuals who provide waiver services (select one):</li> <li>No. Criminal history and/or background investigations are not required.</li> </ul>

Yes. Criminal history and/or background investigations are required.

Specify: (a) the types of positions (e.g., personal assistants, attendants) for which such investigations must be conducted; (b) the scope of such investigations (e.g., state, national); and, (c) the process for ensuring that mandatory investigations have been conducted. State laws, regulations and policies referenced in this description are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid or the operating agency (if applicable):

All direct service workers including homecare aide and Adult Day Service employees, must have criminal background checks in accordance with the Health Care Worker Background Check Act.

Requests for a health care worker background check are statewide in scope and are processed by the Illinois State Police.

Providers are responsible to complete the background check, maintain information in the employee file, and enter verification in the training tracking database. IDoA audits for compliance with this requirement when completing quarterly management reports, during the annual provider audit, and the documentation is verified during the onsite reviews.

During routine monitoring HFS reviews agency policies and employee files for evidence that criminal background checks are conducted.

- **b. Abuse Registry Screening.** Specify whether the State requires the screening of individuals who provide waiver services through a State-maintained abuse registry (select one):
  - No. The State does not conduct abuse registry screening.
  - Yes. The State maintains an abuse registry and requires the screening of individuals through this registry.

Specify: (a) the entity (entities) responsible for maintaining the abuse registry; (b) the types of positions for which abuse registry screenings must be conducted; and, (c) the process for ensuring that mandatory screenings have been conducted. State laws, regulations and policies referenced in this description are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable):

# **Appendix C: Participant Services**

C-2: General Service Specifications (2 of 3)

- c. Services in Facilities Subject to §1616(e) of the Social Security Act. Select one:
  - No. Home and community-based services under this waiver are not provided in facilities subject to §1616(e) of the Act.
  - Yes. Home and community-based services are provided in facilities subject to §1616(e) of the Act. The standards that apply to each type of facility where waiver services are provided are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

## **Appendix C: Participant Services**

C-2: General Service Specifications (3 of 3)

d. Provision of Personal Care or Similar Services by Legally Responsible Individuals. A legally responsible individual is any person who has a duty under State law to care for another person and typically includes: (a) the parent (biological or adoptive) of a minor child or the guardian of a minor child who must provide care to the child or (b) a spouse of a waiver participant. Except at the option of the State and under extraordinary circumstances specified by the State, payment may not be made to a legally responsible individual for the provision of personal care or similar services that the legally responsible individual would ordinarily perform or be responsible to perform on behalf of a waiver participant. Select one:

Other policy.

Specify the controls that are employed to ensure that payments are made only for services rendered.

Specify:	
	A

**f. Open Enrollment of Providers.** Specify the processes that are employed to assure that all willing and qualified providers have the opportunity to enroll as waiver service providers as provided in 42 CFR §431.51:

In order for a waiver service provider to be qualified to enroll, the provider must be certified to provide Community Care Program (CCP) services. The Illinois Department on Aging (IDoA) certifies service providers through an application process. Applications may be submitted at any time. Provider applications are available on the IDoA website. Provider standards exist for each service type, which must be met before certification is approved. Certification includes an IDoA review of the service provider application for administrative requirements and applicable required documentation, a site review of the physical location, and completion of a required management-training course. Other factors which may influence the certification decision include: pending or current IDoA or other governmental entity sanctions; a history of non-compliance; legal notification of financial insolvency, criminal indictment or conviction; or other legal issues, which would make the award contrary to the best interests of the state or the program. Provider applicants may object to the certification decision by providing written notification to IDoA on or before the tenth calendar day from the date of the applicant's receipt of the written notification. IDoA notifies HFS as the SMA of any changes to the Purchase of Service Agreement template and HFS reviews and approves the changes.

For administrative purposes, IDoA awards Purchase of Service Agreements semi-annually. Provisions are made to expedite the application approval process when necessary to ensure access to needed services.

In the first year, Plans are required to contract with any willing and qualified providers currently approved to provide waiver services. Qualifications may be enhanced by the Plans.

The State will institute an "any willing provider" contractual clause that will require Plans to offer contracts to any willing provider that meets quality and credentialing standards. After the initial contracting period, Plans will be allowed to impose a known quality standard and to terminate contracts with underperforming providers. In addition to this any willing provider standard, Plans must continually meet the following network adequacy requirements throughout the term of their contracts.

For each of the following HCBS waiver services, Plans must contract, on a county-by-county basis, with a network of providers that are currently serving in aggregate at least 80 percent of current clients in the fee-for-service system. In counties where there is more than one service provider, Plans must contract with at least two providers, even if one provider serves more than 80% of current clients. In counties where there is no current service provider, Plans must contract with the providers in other counties who, in the aggregate, currently provide at least 80% of the services to clients in that county.

Adult Day Care Homemaker

The State determined the network adequacy requirements based on an analysis of the number of providers in each county and the percentage of current beneficiaries receiving services from each provider. The State determined that an 80 percent standard will require Plans to contract with the majority of providers in a region and ensures a network with more than adequate capacity to serve 100% of Plan enrollees. In addition, the State feels an 80 percent standard aligns with federal assumptions regarding the number of dual eligible beneficiaries who will opt out of the financial alignment demonstration. In the ICP program, the 80% standard far exceeds the percentage of waiver participants enrolled in ICP.

Personal Emergency Response System: Plans must contract with at least two providers in the region.

# **Appendix C: Participant Services**

# **Quality Improvement: Qualified Providers**

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

### a. Methods for Discovery: Qualified Providers

The state demonstrates that it has designed and implemented an adequate system for assuring that all waiver services are provided by qualified providers.

#### i. Sub-Assurances:

a. Sub-Assurance: The State verifies that providers initially and continually meet required licensure and/or certification standards and adhere to other standards prior to their furnishing waiver services.

#### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance, complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

#### **Performance Measure:**

13C: Each In-Home Service, ADS and AMD provider meets State requirements at the time of the initial contract approval and on an ongoing basis by demonstrating compliance with State regulation and contract provisions for providing services to participants in the Community Care Program.

**Data Source** (Select one): **Other** If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Certification Checklist

Certification Checklist		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>▼ 100% Review</b>
<b>Operating Agency</b>	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually	Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:

	Other Specify	:		
Data Aggregation and Analysis:				
Responsible Party for data Frequency		Frequency o analysis(chec		regation and t applies):
State Medicaid Agency	y	Weekly		
<b>Operating Agency</b>		Monthly	7	
Sub-State Entity		<b>Quarter</b>	·ly	
Other Specify:	2	Annuall	у	
		Continu	ously and	Ongoing

b. Sub-Assurance: The State monitors non-licensed/non-certified providers to assure adherence to waiver requirements.

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance, complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

Other Specify:

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

#### **Performance Measure:**

14C: Each CCU meets State requirements at the time of the initial contract approval and on an ongoing basis by demonstrating compliance with State regulation and contract provisions for providing care coordination to participants in the Community Care Program.

**Data Source** (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

**Annual Contract Review Checklist** 

Responsible Party for		Sampling Approach
data		(check each that applies):
	(check each that applies):	

collection/generation (check each that applies):		
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100% Review</b>
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b> Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Data Aggregation and Analysis:	
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	<b>✓</b> Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Sub-Assurance: The State implements its policies and procedures for verifying that provider training is conducted in accordance with state requirements and the approved waiver.

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance, complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

### **Performance Measure:**

Data Source (Select one):

15C: Provider staff complete all training requirements for the specific CCP services provided and as required as a condition of the provider contract with the State.

Other If 'Other' is selected, specify		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>V</b> 100% Review
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity  Other Specify: Provider Agency	Quarterly  Annually	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =  Stratified Describe
		Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Source** (Select one):

### Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

**IDoA Training Certification for CCUs** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>▼</b> 100% Review
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
<b>V</b> Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: Provider Agency	<b>Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify: **Certification Checklist** 

Certification Checklist			
Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):		
Weekly	<b>☑</b> 100% Review		
Monthly	Less than 100% Review		
Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =		
	collection/generation (check each that applies):  Weekly  Monthly		

Other Specify: Provider Agency	Annually	Stratified  Describe  Group:
	▼ Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

MCO Reports		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity  Other Specify: MCO	<b>V</b> Quarterly <b>V</b> Annually	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =  Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Responsible Party for da aggregation and analysis hat applies):			of data aggregation and ck each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agen	ıcy	Weekly		
Operating Agency		Monthly		
Sub-State Entity		<b>Quarterly</b>		
Other Specify:	-	✓ Annual	ly	
		Continu	ously and Ongoing	
		Other Specify		
			_	
6C:# and % of case man	agers who mo	eet waiver pr	ovider training requirem	
I: # of MCO case manage equirements. D: Total # of Data Source (Select one): Other f 'Other' is selected, specific MCO Reports	ers reviewed of MCO case	who meet wa managers re	iver provider training viewed.	
6C:# and % of case man : # of MCO case manage equirements. D: Total # of Data Source (Select one): Other f 'Other' is selected, specifor ACO Reports Responsible Party for data collection/generation	ers reviewed of MCO case  Ty:  Frequency of collection/go	who meet wa managers re	iver provider training	
6C:# and % of case man : # of MCO case manage equirements. D: Total # of Data Source (Select one): Other f 'Other' is selected, specifor ACO Reports Responsible Party for data collection/generation	ers reviewed of MCO case  Ty:  Frequency of collection/go	who meet wa managers re of data eneration that applies):	iver provider training viewed.  Sampling Approach	
6C:# and % of case man i: # of MCO case manage equirements. D: Total # of Data Source (Select one): Other if 'Other' is selected, specific MCO Reports Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):  State Medicaid	Frequency of collection/ge	who meet wa managers re of data eneration that applies):	iver provider training viewed.  Sampling Approach (check each that applies)	

**Annually** 

Stratified

Describe Group:

**Other** 

Specify: MCO

Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:			
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):		
<b>V</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly		
Operating Agency	Monthly		
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>		
Other Specify: MCO	✓ Annually		
	Continuously and Ongoing		
	Other Specify:		

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

Annually, IDoA completes a Contract Review Checklist at each CCU that includes items to assure compliance with the agency's contract such as operational information (hours of operation, holidays), address/location, and policies/procedures adhered to. Upon signing the checklist, each agency is certifying that they are complying with all rules, regulations, and policies of IDoA.

After conducting compliance reviews, IDoA summarizes information on each performance indicator in management reports targeting the following users: HFS, IDoA, CCUs, providers and care coordinators. HFS and IDoA review the statewide management reports during quarterly meetings. These reports help the two agencies identify potentially problematic trends and to track the effects of remediation efforts to improve performance. Similarly, detailed reports for each level of entity are shared quarterly. These reports provide the basis for trend identification and specific areas of problems, leading to remediation. When individual problems with existing provider qualifications and contract compliance are identified, there is an initial effort to resolve the situation. In the case of problems identified through the complaint system, the State requires resolution within fourteen days. For other types of compliance problems, the State makes an initial request for corrective action. This corrective action request is tracked until there is a successful resolution. If there is not successful resolution, the State may take contract action under Rule 240.1665. These actions include 1) suspension of new referrals; 2) fines; or 3) contract cancellation.

HFS annually conducts comprehensive focused onsite reviews and statewide randomly selected record reviews. Service plan implementation and satisfaction is monitored during comprehensive onsite reviews.

HFS submits findings reports from routine monitoring to IDoA for follow-up and correction.

IDoA and HFS meet quarterly to discuss statewide management reports that include statewide data and corrective action that has been taken by IDoA. This provides an opportunity for HFS and IDoA to identify trends and issues, and to discuss remediation steps.

The Medicaid agency, HFS, will conduct routine programmatic and fiscal monitoring for both the OA and the MCOs.

For those functions delegated to the OA and the MCOs, the MA is responsible for oversight and monitoring to assure compliance with federal assurances and performance measures. The MA monitors both compliance levels and timeliness of remediation by the MCOs.

The MA's sampling methodology is based on a statistically valid sampling methodology that pulls proportionate samples from the OA and the enrolled MCOs. The proportionate sampling methodology uses a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error. The MA will pull the sample annually and adjust the methodology as additional MCOs are enrolled to provide long term services and supports.

For those functions delegated to the MCO, the MA is responsible for discovery. MCOs are required to submit quarterly reports, using the format required by the MA, on specific Performance Measures (PMs), which are specified in HFS' contracts with Integrated Care Program MCOs that provide waiver services. Contracts specify numerators, denominators, sampling approaches, data sources, etc. Through its contract with the EQRO, the MA monitors both compliance of PMs and timeliness of remediation for those waiver participants enrolled in an MCO through consumer surveys and quarterly record reviews. Participants in MCOs are included in the representative sampling.

### b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

- Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.
   13C: New providers will be notified by the OA of lacking documentation. Receipt of respective provider licensure documentation or unable to enroll. Remediation within 30 days. Ongoing providers will be removed as a Medicaid provider in MMIS and the OA will request the respective provider documentation. Change of provider. Training for OA case managers. Remediation within 60 days.
  - 14C: The CCUs will complete care coordination in accordance with contract requirements. If determined as part of contract review that any requirement(s) are not met, remediation must be completed within 60 days. If not remediated within 60 days, the MA has the option to implement sanctions.
  - 15C: Completion of case manager training. Remediation within 60 days. Complete the training requirements. The OA/MCO submit a plan for how to assure training requirements are continually met. Remediation within 60 days.
  - 16C: The EQRO monitors each MCO to ensure that initial case manager/care coordinator qualifications and training are met, as well as the completion of annual training. Oversight of case manager/care coordinator caseloads are reviewed during the post implementation review and during the administrative compliance reviews.

### ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identification)

Responsible Party(check each that applies):

State Medicaid Agency

Operating Agency

Sub-State Entity

Weekly

Quarterly

		Frequency of data aggregation and analysis	
	<b>Responsible Party</b> (check each that applies):	(check each that applies):	İ
	Other Specify:	Annually	
		Continuously and Ongoing	
		Other	İ
		Specify:	İ
			1
method operation No No Pluido The sy	the State does not have all elements of the Quality is for discovery and remediation related to the associal.  Des  ease provide a detailed strategy for assuring Quality entified strategies, and the parties responsible for ne State has all components of the proposed quality stem to track training. The online training-tracking	y Improvement Strategy in place, provide timeline surance of Qualified Providers that are currently relified Providers, the specific timeline for implements operation.  ty management strategy for this area except for thing system is anticipated to be implemented during in records and IDoA will review paper records during	enting ne online g Year 2 of
	C-3: Waiver Services Specifications		
	ervice Specifications' is incorporated into Section	C-1 'Waiver Services'	
	•	Walver Bervices.	
	C: Participant Services C-4: Additional Limits on Amount o	of Waiver Services	
a. Additio		dicate whether the waiver employs any of the following	owing
	ot applicable- The State does not impose a limit oppendix C-3.	on the amount of waiver services except as provide	ded in
	pplicable - The State imposes additional limits or	the amount of waiver services.	
inc mo ho ex the	cluding its basis in historical expenditure/utilizatiethodologies that are used to determine the amouble the limit will be adjusted over the course of the ceptions to the limit based on participant health a	nt of the limit to which a participant's services are e waiver period; (d) provisions for adjusting or m and welfare needs or other factors specified by the f the limit is insufficient to meet a participant's ne	e subject; (c) aking e state; (e)
	<b>Limit(s) on Set(s) of Services.</b> There is a limi authorized for one or more sets of services off <i>Furnish the information specified above</i> .	t on the maximum dollar amount of waiver service ander the waiver.	es that is

**Prospective Individual Budget Amount.** There is a limit on the maximum dollar amount of waiver services authorized for each specific participant. Furnish the information specified above. Budget Limits by Level of Support. Based on an assessment process and/or other factors, participants are assigned to funding levels that are limits on the maximum dollar amount of waiver services. Furnish the information specified above. Program eligibility is based upon scoring of an assessment tool, the Determination of Need. A service cost maximum is the total amount of funding available for services and is derived from the assessment score. This funding covers services provided in a given month. The installation is not included in the monthly Service Cost maximum, however, the monthly rates are included. DON The DON is the assessment tool used to determine an individual's non-financial eligibility for CCP services based on the individual's impairment in the completion of the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Activities of Daily Living (ADLs), Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADLs) and the individual's need for supports not met by unpaid caregivers or other resources. This assessment is made to determine whether or not the individual is at imminent risk of institutionalization without services, and therefore eligible for placement in a nursing facility or services through the wavier. Service Cost Maximum The DON score corresponds to a specific service cost maximum that is the total amount of funding that may be expended on services for an eligible individual. Participants actively participate in plan development and are informed of the various service options that are available. Participants agree to and must sign the plan of care before services are provided. Participants and their providers are always given copies of complete plans of care. Other Type of Limit. The State employs another type of limit. Describe the limit and furnish the information specified above. **Appendix C: Participant Services** C-5: Home and Community-Based Settings Explain how residential and non-residential settings in this waiver comply with federal HCB Settings requirements at 42 CFR 441.301(c)(4)-(5) and associated CMS guidance. Include: 1. Description of the settings and how they meet federal HCB Settings requirements, at the time of submission and in the future. 2. Description of the means by which the state Medicaid agency ascertains that all waiver settings meet federal HCB Setting requirements, at the time of this submission and ongoing. Note instructions at Module 1, Attachment #2, HCB Settings Waiver Transition Plan for description of settings that do not meet requirements at the time of submission. Do not duplicate that information here.

Application for 1915(c) HCBS Waiver: IL.0143.R05.06 - Mar 01, 2014 (as of Mar 01, ... Page 83 of 200

# **Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery**

# D-1: Service Plan Development (1 of 8)

### **State Participant-Centered Service Plan Title:**

Plan of Care (POC)

a.	<b>Responsibility for Service Plan Development.</b> Per 42 CFR §441.301(b)(2), specify who is responsible for the
	development of the service plan and the qualifications of these individuals (select each that applies):
	Registered nurse, licensed to practice in the State
	Licensed practical or vocational nurse, acting within the scope of practice under State law
	Licensed physician (M.D. or D.O)
	Case Manager (qualifications specified in Appendix C-1/C-3)
	Case Manager (qualifications not specified in Appendix C-1/C-3).
	Specify qualifications:

Case manager certification and in-service requirements are found in Illinois Administrative Code 240.1440.

Minimum qualifications for care coordinators:

- 1) Be an R.N, or have a B.S.N, or have a B.A./B.S. degree in social science, social work or related field. One year of program experience, which is defined as assessment, provision, and/or authorization of formal services for the elderly, may replace one year of college education up to and including four years of experience replacing a baccalaureate degree; or,
- 2) Be a LPN with one year of program experience which is defined as assessment of a provision of formal services for the elderly and /or authorizing service provision; or
- 3) Be waived for persons hired/serving in this capacity prior to December 31, 1991. Provision of a waiver for care coordinators hired prior to December 31, 1991 was based on their years of experience. These care coordinators must maintain certification for a case manager and must also follow in-service requirements.

Care coordinators must also complete the following Department sponsored training:

- 1) Preliminary Community Care Program (CCP) training that must occur prior to conducting participant assessments;
- 2) CCP Certification training and successfully pass the required exam within six months of completing Preliminary training; and
- 3) Recertification training within each 18-month anniversary of each previous certification.

Care coordinators must also complete 18 hours of documented in-service training on aging related subjects within each calendar year. For partial years of employment, training shall be prorated to equal 1.5 hours for each full month of employment. Documented participation in in-house staff training and/or local, state, regional, or national conferences on aging related topics in addition to the Department sponsored Preliminary, Certification and Recertification training will qualify as in-service training on an hour-for-hour basis.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the care coordinators are responsible for service plan development. Qualifications for the care coordinators vary within each of the Plans, and are assigned based on individual need and identified risk. At minimum, qualifications include the following license or education level:

Registered Nurse (RN), Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW); Licensed Marriage and Family Therapist (LMFT); Licensed Clinical Professional Counselor (LCPC) Licensed Professional Counselor (LPC); PhD; Doctorate in Psychology (PsyD);

Bachelor or Masters prepared in human services related field;

Licensed Practical Nurse (LPN)

The MCO care coordinators are required to complete 20 hours of training, initially and annually, as specified in the MMAI contract. They are not required to complete the Department sponsored training; however, if they do

complete the Department sponsored training, it will be counted toward their total hours of required training.	
MCO care coordinators must be trained on topics specific to the type of HCBS Waiver Enrollee they are serving. For the Elderly Waiver, training must include Aging related subjects.  Social Worker	
Specify qualifications:	
	×
Other	
Specify the individuals and their qualifications:	
	*

# **Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery**

### D-1: Service Plan Development (2 of 8)

- **b.** Service Plan Development Safeguards. Select one:
  - Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development may not provide other direct waiver services to the participant.
  - Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development may provide other direct waiver services to the participant.

The State has established the following safeguards to ensure that service plan development is conducted in the best interests of the participant. *Specify:* 

Types of assessments conducted to support the service plan development process, including securing information about participant's needs, preferences and goals, and health status:

### OA Process:

IDOA uses a holistic approach to care coordination which encompasses the assessment of the participant's situation and circumstances related to all factors contributing to quality of life and their ability to live independently in the community. The CCP utilizes the Comprehensive Care Coordination (CCC) assessment tool for this holistic approach. The CCC tool includes a review of the participant's environment in the community, physical, cognitive, psychological, and social well-being. It also includes identification of existing support systems and the need for further evaluation(s) by other disciplines. The CCC tool covers eleven domains; participant demographics, physical health history, behavioral health, Determination of Need (DON) & Mini-Mental Status Exam (MMSE) evaluation, medications, nutrition, caregiver, transportation, environmental, financial and legal. Information collected in the CCC assessment is used to help the care coordinator and the participant form the POC. Risk factors, such as depression, alcohol and substance abuse, medications, caregivers, health, falls and behaviors, are identified and addressed throughout the domains of the CCC assessment tool. Care coordinators are trained to discuss potential risks with the client and work together to develop a POC that will minimize or eliminate the risk.

CCUs are required to afford participant's choice of providers for waiver services. To eliminate conflicts, CCUs cannot provide any other waiver services in the area they serve as the CCU. Care Coordinators are required to ask and document that participants were given a choice of provider agencies. If a participant has no preference of agencies then the OA requires that each CCU have a rotation list with all certified providers listed and the participant will be assigned the next provider on the list. Care Coordinators are trained to discuss choice with participants and to discuss whether or not any person is influencing their choices of service providers.

#### MCO Process:

The Plans have comprehensive assessment tools that contain components that are used to elicit comprehensive information from the participants to support service plan development. These components in the assessments include but are not limited to cognitive/emotional ADLS, IADLS, behavioral health, medication, living supports, environmental conditions, and health care information. The Plans also review the Determination of

Need, conducted by the OA. The assessment secures information including the member's strengths, needs, levels of functioning and risk. Care Coordinators will assess the need and potential benefit for the participant through a set of questions developed by the operating agency that identifies the participant's level of medication complexity and cognitive or physical deficit(s) that would potentially be mitigated through this service, and availability of a responsible party(ies) to manage the acquisition of all prescribed medications, including assuring the medications are administered according to the physician's orders, filling the dispenser and receiving and acting upon notices of missed medication doses and other system issues. The participants will be reviewed within 90 days of initial implementation of the service and reassessed as needed, but at least annually. All care coordinators are proficient on this tool and are trained to discuss potential risks with the client and work together to develop a POC that will minimize or eliminate risk. Through the assessment and care planning process, the participant's goals and the strengths and barriers to achieving these goals are identified.

# Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

## D-1: Service Plan Development (3 of 8)

**c. Supporting the Participant in Service Plan Development.** Specify: (a) the supports and information that are made available to the participant (and/or family or legal representative, as appropriate) to direct and be actively engaged in the service plan development process and (b) the participant's authority to determine who is included in the process.

#### OA Process:

Care coordinators are trained to conduct a comprehensive assessment of the participant's needs and goals for care. The care coordinator and the participant (and/or family or legal representative, as appropriate) work together to develop a Plan of Care (POC) that meets the participant's needs. This POC not only addresses waiver services but also addresses services provided through other funding sources. The participant's goals are the foundation in which the POC is developed. The care coordinator outlines the available services and choices and provides the participant with the necessary information that allows the participant to make an informed choice. The participant always has the right to determine who is included in the assessment process and in the service plan development. The participant has the right to request a change in the service plan at any time.

### MCO Process:

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plan care coordinator is the lead for waiver service planning. Participants will actively participate in their care plan development, including the selection of providers and services to receive or not receive and will be informed prior to the service planning meeting of their authority to determine who is included in the process.

Plans will implement a person centered process for the service plan, done in partnership with the participant, their representative, or other person(s) they choose to have present or participate. The participant is encouraged to involve people important to them in this process; including but not limited to family, friends, legal counsel, and community representatives.

Prior to the completion of the initial service plan, a thorough description of the waiver program and available service benefits through the waiver will be presented to the participant by Plan care management staff.

At each step of the service development process, the participant and/or their representative(s) will be engaged by the Plan case manager to direct, participate, and finalize the service plan, including selection of the type of service(s), the service provider(s), and the frequency of the service(s), and agreement with the plan. Participants will be provided supports such as a guide for managing providers and how to complete the necessary forms for participant directed providers. Information will also be provided regarding community resources. At each assessment and reassessment, and in between assessments if directed by the participant, the service plan can be changed or modified as the participant's needs change.

# **Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery**

### D-1: Service Plan Development (4 of 8)

**d. Service Plan Development Process.** In four pages or less, describe the process that is used to develop the participant-centered service plan, including: (a) who develops the plan, who participates in the process, and the timing of the plan; (b) the types of assessments that are conducted to support the service plan development process, including

securing information about participant needs, preferences and goals, and health status; (c) how the participant is informed of the services that are available under the waiver; (d) how the plan development process ensures that the service plan addresses participant goals, needs (including health care needs), and preferences; (e) how waiver and other services are coordinated; (f) how the plan development process provides for the assignment of responsibilities to implement and monitor the plan; and, (g) how and when the plan is updated, including when the participant's needs change. State laws, regulations, and policies cited that affect the service plan development process are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable):

The State is committed to participant-centered care plans. The care coordinators are trained to include the participant in every aspect of the assessment and service plans.

For the OA, the CCU contacts the participant or authorized representative, usually by phone, prior to the scheduling of the assessment. Assessments are generally conducted in the participant's residence except for redeterminations of Adult Day Service (ADS) participants, which may be conducted at the ADS site.

a)Development of plan, participation in process, and timing of the plan:

#### OA Process:

The care coordinators conduct a face-to-face comprehensive assessment of the participant. The assessment contains a "goals of care" section where participants express their goals for service and their expectations for care. Participants have an active role in choosing services, services providers and in developing their plans of care. Initial assessments, including eligibility determination, must be completed with participants within 30 calendar days of request for services unless client delay occurs. Reassessments must occur within 30 calendar days of participant request. Service providers have a maximum of 15 calendar days to begin providing services to the participant from the date of the written notice of eligibility to the participant. These timeframes are maximums, and in most cases the process is completed much sooner. For those participants that are in imminent risk of being placed in a nursing home, care coordinators can request that participant's receive interim services which require service providers to start services within 2 business days from the date of participant notice.

#### MCO Process:

The service plan will be developed by the Plans' case managers in collaboration with the waiver participant and/or their representative. At the time of the assessment and service planning process the participant is encouraged to include person(s) of their choosing to attend a face-to-face visit with their assigned case manager. The date and time of this face-to-face visit is collaborated on based on the participant's preference. The face-to-face assessment visits are conducted in the participant's residence as this is most convenient to the participant and leads to a more accurate assessment of the participant. Changes to location are to meet the participant's needs and not for convenience of Plan staff.

b) Types of assessments conducted to support the service plan development process, including securing information about participant's needs, preferences and goals, and health status:

### OA Process:

IDOA uses a holistic approach to care coordination which encompasses the assessment of the participant's situation and circumstances related to all factors contributing to quality of life and their ability to live independently in the community. The CCP utilizes the Comprehensive Care Coordination (CCC) assessment tool for this holistic approach. The CCC tool includes a review of the participant's environment in the community, physical, cognitive, psychological, and social well-being. It also includes identification of existing support systems and the need for further evaluation(s) by other disciplines. The CCC tool covers eleven domains; participant demographics, physical health history, behavioral health, Determination of Need (DON) & Mini-Mental Status Exam (MMSE) evaluation, medications, nutrition, caregiver, transportation, environmental, financial and legal. Information collected in the CCC assessment is used to help the care coordinator and the participant form the POC. Risk factors, such as depression, alcohol and substance abuse, medications, caregivers, health, falls and behaviors, are identified and addressed throughout the domains of the CCC assessment tool. Care coordinators are trained to discuss potential risks with the client and work together to develop a POC that will minimize or eliminate the risk.

### MCO Process:

The Plans have comprehensive assessment tools that contain components that are used to elicit comprehensive information from the participants to support service plan development. These components in the assessments include but are not limited to cognitive/emotional, ADLS, IADLS, behavioral health, medication, living supports, environmental conditions, and health care information. The Plans also review the Determination of Need, conducted by the OA. The assessment secures information including the member's strengths, needs, levels of functioning and

risk factors. Through the assessment and care planning process the participant's goals and the strengths and barriers to achieving these goals are identified. The MCO contract specifies expectations for waiver clients, including content of and purposes for Enrollee Care Plans and HCBS Waiver service plans (for enrollees receiving HCBS Waiver services). As part of its work on behalf of HFS, the EQRO reviews assessments as part of its pre-implementation record review, onsite post-implementation record review as well as in quarterly record reviews to make sure the assessments meet contractual requirements.

c) Informing customer of services available under the waiver:

#### OA Process:

After the care coordinator determines eligibility and completes the CCC assessment, they discuss with the participant the array of services, regardless of funding sources, which are available to them and what they are eligible for. It is the care coordinator's responsibility to explain all service options to the participant, including, but not limited to waiver services. Care coordinators are required to go through Case Management training that includes training on comprehensive care coordination. This training outlines services that are available through other state and federal agencies, local entities, and charitable organizations. IDOA utilizes local Area Agency on Aging (AAA) staff as co-trainers during these trainings to discuss available Older Adult Services (OAS) services and local resources in each area. The participants are required to sign the CCC assessment to ensure that it adequately represents their goals for care and that the care plan is designed as they want. Participants also sign a program consent form verifying that service options were explained to them and that they had freedom of choice in choosing their service and their service providers.

#### MCO Process:

The participant is informed by the Plan of the covered waiver services:

- At the initial face-to-face visit by the case manager; in conjunction with the review of the member handbook/inserts
- Annually when the Plan's case manager reviews the member handbook/inserts with the participant
- d) Explanation of how the plan development process ensures that the service plan addresses participant goals, needs (including health care needs), and preferences:

#### OA Process:

The CCC assessment identifies unmet needs in 11 domains. The tool includes a summary section at the end of each domain that summarizes the needs identified by the care coordinator and participant during the assessment. These summary sections are then identified on the participant's goals of care and service plan. The Determination of Need (DON) assessment identifies level of need and unmet need for care. The DON assesses 15 areas including; eating, bathing, grooming, dressing, transferring, continence, managing money, telephoning, preparing meals, laundry, housework, outside the home, routine health, special health and being alone. Any unmet needs on the DON have to be addressed on the POC. IDOA is in the process of computerizing the assessment form that will include edits that automatically include all unmet needs on the POC. This will reduce transposition errors by the care coordinators when they fail to include an unmet need on the POC. Participant's preferences are obtained throughout the entire assessment process including during the development of the service plans. Participants must sign the CCP consent form indicating that they were given a choice of services and a choice of provider agencies.

#### MCO Process:

Comprehensive assessments are developed by the MCOs. The MCO contract specifies expectations for waiver clients, including content of and purposes for Enrollee Care Plans and HCBS Waiver service plans (for enrollees receiving HCBS Waiver services).

After the comprehensive assessment has been completed by the MCO, and the array of services have been presented to and discussed with the participant, the Plan's case manager, the participant and/or their representative(s) formulate an individualized care plan that addresses their goals, strengths and barriers/risks in consideration of these goals, and the mutually agreed upon activities for achievement of these goals. As this is participant-centric, personal preferences are integral to the development of the service plan, such as cultural preferences and provider preferences for language and gender. The service plan includes the type, amount, frequency, and duration of waiver services, and may include services and supports not covered under the waiver, all related to the needs and preferences expressed by the participant.

As part of its work on behalf of HFS, the EQRO reviews assessments as part of its pre-implementation record

review, onsite post-implementation record review as well as in quarterly record reviews to make sure the assessments meet contractual requirements.

e) Explanation of how waiver and other services are coordinated:

### OA Process:

The CCC is completed at the initial assessment and at least annually thereafter. This tool ensures that no duplication of services exists. The POC includes all other services the participant is receiving, regardless of funding source. The POC is then sent to each waiver provider on the POC so that the providers are aware of additional services or assistance in the home. Providers are trained to report any changes in the participant situation to the CCU including a disruption of other, non-waiver services. Identifying all agencies in the home on the POC assists the provider agencies to know who should be in the home and during what times, providing an additional level of quality assurance.

#### MCO Process:

Services are coordinated by the participant's assigned Plan case manager, who is responsible for the identification, authorization, and assignment to the responsible service provider in coordination with and direction from the participant and/or their representative.

f) Explanation of how the plan development process provides for the assignment of responsibilities to implement and monitor the plan:

#### OA Process:

IDOA mandates that upon initial assessment and every assessment thereafter, the care coordinator must provide the rights and responsibilities brochure to the participant. This brochure outlines the responsibility of the participant in receiving their services. Included in these responsibilities of the participant is the responsibility to notify the care coordinator/CCU of any changes in their status, i.e., hospitalizations, changes in needs, changes in financial status, etc. The Department mandates that this brochure not only be given, but also explained and reviewed with the participant. Documentation in the participant's case record must support that this mandate was met. Provider agencies are also mandated to notify the care coordinator/CCU of changes in the participant's status. Department policies and training outline the responsibilities of the care coordinator. These responsibilities include development of the POC and continually monitoring of the service plans.

#### MCO Process:

The Plan case manager is responsible for the execution of the service plan, which includes monitoring the provision of waiver services and risk mitigation strategies. The participant's role is clearly defined in the care plan, and the participant is responsible for actively participating and providing feedback.

g) Explanation of how and when the plan is updated, including when the participant's needs change:

#### OA Process:

Department administrative rules require that participants receive a new assessment at least annually if there is significant change and upon participant request, within 30 calendar days of participant request or within 15 days following discharge from a hospital or other institution. Participant's' Plans of Care are reviewed and adjusted during each assessment. Participants can request a change to the POC at any time.

#### MCO Process:

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plan care coordinator is the lead for waiver service planning. The participant's service plan development begins with a comprehensive in-person assessment of the participant's health and supports and services needs, and their preferences and goals. Based on the assessment, the care coordinator works with the participant to develop a service plan that reflects needs and choices. The participant's family or legal representative may be involved in every step of the assessment and planning process, as the participant chooses.

After each comprehensive assessment is completed, in which the member's current status and needs are identified; a new service plan will be completed. During the assessment, and as needed in-between assessments, the Plan's case manager educates the participant to call the case manager to request a change in the plan if the participant's situation or needs change in-between assessments. The participant is educated to notify the case manager any time there is a change in their living or medical situation that may affect their need for services. Service plans can be created or adjusted in-between assessments to meet the member's immediate needs. Whenever there is a significant change in level of service needs or functioning (for example, hospitalization significantly impacting the participant's level of functioning), a new assessment will be completed and additional services provided as needed.

The participant is in the center of the care/service planning process. The Plan case management staff will complete a comprehensive assessment to identify the participant's strengths, needs, formal and informal supports based on information provided by the participant or representative. The participants have an active role in choosing the types of services and service providers to meet those needs. The case manager will obtain the waiver participant's signature of agreement on the service plan and will offer the waiver participant a choice of providers to fulfill the services

The Plan's case manager is responsible for providing clear direction to the participant regarding appeal rights whenever a reduction, termination, or suspension in service(s) occurs. The appeal rights are summarized in the service plan that the participant signs at the initial assessment, and each reassessment thereafter. If the member appeals, the services will remain intact until the appeal process is exhausted, including the State Fair Hearing. The member handbook/inserts that are provided to and reviewed with the participant also provide information on appeal rights and processes.

### MCO Process:

Comprehensive assessments are developed by the MCOs. The MCO contract specifies expectations for waiver clients, including content of and purposes for Enrollee Care Plans and HCBS Waiver service plans (for enrollees receiving HCBS Waiver services).

# **Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery**

## D-1: Service Plan Development (5 of 8)

**e. Risk Assessment and Mitigation.** Specify how potential risks to the participant are assessed during the service plan development process and how strategies to mitigate risk are incorporated into the service plan, subject to participant needs and preferences. In addition, describe how the service plan development process addresses backup plans and the arrangements that are used for backup.

#### OA Process:

Risk factors, such as depression, alcohol and substance abuse, medications, caregivers, health, falls and behaviors, are identified and addressed throughout the domains of the CCC assessment tool. Care coordinators are trained to discuss potential risks with the client and work together to develop a POC that will minimize or eliminate the risk.

The CCC assessment develops a comprehensive care plan including back-up plans for care. The back-up arrangement is specific to the participant's needs and may include family, assistive technology devices, other social service agencies, etc. Together the Care Coordinator and the participant discuss possibilities of both formal and informal options in the event that the services arranged for in the plan of care are not provided.

The Care Coordinator is available to provide assistance in arranging a back-up plan when needed. Additionally, per CCP rule [240.1510 q], provider agencies are responsible to have a policy for an all hazards disaster operations plan including but not limited to medical emergencies, home or site-related emergencies, participant-related emergencies, weather-related emergencies and vehicle/transportation emergencies. For example, in-home service agencies train their home care aides to make additional meals for storage and reheating during times of inclement weather just in case a home care aide cannot access a participant due to inclement weather.

#### MCO Process:

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plan care coordinator is the lead for waiver service planning. The assessment for potential risk is included in the service plan development process. The care coordinator will incorporate into the service plan, strategies to mitigate risks identified, including the backup plan and arrangements for back-up.

The Plan's case manager completes a comprehensive assessment and care planning process for every participant. This process includes identification of the participant's cognitive/emotional functioning, behavioral health, medication, living supports, environmental conditions, ADLS, IADLS and health information. This process identifies risks that may increase and serve as barriers to the members' ability to live as safely and independently as possible. Risks may include, but are not limited to, substance abuse, non-adherence to treatment, and environmental safety concerns. All risks are identified and discussed in the service planning process. Through service planning interventions, identified risk(s) are mitigated and barriers are addressed with interventions which are mutually agreed upon by the participant and the Plan.

Additionally, a backup plan is formulated for every participant who lives independently in the community and receives waiver services. The backup plan addresses the services currently in place, the urgency for receiving backup services should the current service be interrupted, and specific written instructions for addressing the gap. This includes names and telephone numbers of persons or agencies who are available to immediately assist in a backup arrangement. The list may consist of family, friends, community supports, or provider agencies.

# **Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery**

# **D-1: Service Plan Development (6 of 8)**

**f. Informed Choice of Providers.** Describe how participants are assisted in obtaining information about and selecting from among qualified providers of the waiver services in the service plan.

#### OA Process:

Care coordinators are notified of all certified contractual providers that provide services in their areas. The State requires that freedom of choice be afforded to every participant in the CCP. The care coordinators meet with the participants to discuss the goals of care and develop the POC. It is the care coordinator's role to provide information about the available service providers to each participant and to answer any questions that arise. If the participant has no preference of a provider agency then the care coordinators are required to utilize a rotating service provider list. This list includes all service providers and is maintained at each local CCU office. Participants must sign a consent form that indicates that they were afforded freedom of choice or that they requested a provider agency be assigned to them from the rotation list. Information of available providers is available on the IDoA's website also for participants and their families to review. IDoA is committed to increasing the amount of information that is available via the Internet on service providers. Each service provider is also encouraged to have its own brochures and advertising material available upon participant or care coordinator request.

#### MCO Process:

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plan care coordinator is the lead for waiver service planning. The care coordinator assists the participant in obtaining information about and selecting from among qualified providers of the waiver services in the service plan.

It is the Plan's case manager's role to provide information about the available services and service providers to each participant, and to answer any questions that arise. The Plan will assist the participant through the complex provider network supplying provider information relevant to the services selected by the member on their service plan and available in the member's service area. Participants always have first choice on the providers they select to meet their needs. Plan case management staff will support the participant in selecting a provider to meet their needs if the participant does not have a preferred provider identified. The Plan maintains a current list of qualified and contracted service providers which is made available to participants upon request. The participant is also educated that the Plan's provider list is available on the Plan's website.

# Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

# **D-1: Service Plan Development (7 of 8)**

g. Process for Making Service Plan Subject to the Approval of the Medicaid Agency. Describe the process by which the service plan is made subject to the approval of the Medicaid agency in accordance with 42 CFR §441.301(b)(1)(i):

Service plans are subject to the approval of the MA. The OA and the MCOs have day-to-day responsibility for completion and approval of service plans; however, the MA, through its Quality Improvement System, reviews service plans through a sample process as described below.

For the OA, HFS reviews a sample of service plans when monitoring IDoA. During these reviews, plans of care are reviewed for compliance with state and federal regulations. Reports of findings are shared with IDoA and recommendations for improvement are made. The operating agency responds to the HFS reports both on an individual and systemic basis. Information is shared during quarterly meetings between HFS and IDoA.

For the MCOs, the MA selects a statistically valid sample for conducting onsite record reviews to assure compliance with federal assurances. The MA uses a proportionate sampling methodology with a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error for both the OA and the MCOs. The methodology will be adjusted when new MCOs are enrolled to ensure proportionate sampling across all operating entities.

Once the MA selects the sample, it is provided to the MA's External Quality Review Organization (EQRO), the

entity responsible for monitoring the MCOs. The OA and the EQRO determine a review schedule, based on the sample and performs onsite record reviews to assess compliance with the service plan performance measures. For the MCOs, the EQRO sends a report of findings to the MA and the MCOs. The MCOs are required to remediate findings within required timelines, and report remediation activities to the MA, at least quarterly. The MCOs report on both individual and systemic remediation.

# **Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery**

# **D-1: Service Plan Development (8 of 8)**

h.	<b>Service Plan Review and Update.</b> The service plan is subject to at least annual periodic review and update to assess the appropriateness and adequacy of the services as participant needs change. Specify the minimum schedule for the review and update of the service plan:		
	Every three months or more frequently when necessary		
	Every six months or more frequently when necessary		
	Every twelve months or more frequently when necessary		
	Other schedule		
	Specify the other schedule:		
i.	Maintenance of Service Plan Forms. Written copies or electronic facsimiles of service plans are maintained for a minimum period of 3 years as required by 45 CFR §92.42. Service plans are maintained by the following (check each that applies):  Medicaid agency		
	Operating agency		
	Case manager		
	<b> ⊘</b> Other		
	Specify:		
	For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plan is responsible for maintenance of service plan forms.		

# **Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery**

# **D-2: Service Plan Implementation and Monitoring**

**a. Service Plan Implementation and Monitoring.** Specify: (a) the entity (entities) responsible for monitoring the implementation of the service plan and participant health and welfare; (b) the monitoring and follow-up method(s) that are used; and, (c) the frequency with which monitoring is performed.

The CCU/care coordinator is responsible for monitoring the implementation of the service plan and the participant's health and welfare.

a) Care coordinators and participants develop the POC together during the initial assessment and at each reassessment the POC is reviewed and adjusted as needed. Department administrative rules require that participants receive a new assessment at least annually, when there is significant change, within 30 days of participant request and within 15 days of discharge from a hospital or institution.

The CCC assessment addresses all aspects of participant function and supports. The care coordinator identifies services needed and makes the appropriate referrals, as agreed upon by the participant and the care coordinator during the CCC care plan process. Referrals are made to a variety of services including those outside the services offered in the elderly waiver. Care Coordinators are trained to utilize local and regional funded services in addition to waiver services whenever appropriate. Examples of additional services include home delivered meals, medication management, flexible senior services, respite care, transportation, and medical and home health services.

b) The CCUs/care coordinators monitor the provision of services through participant contact, intensive case monitoring as applicable, and satisfaction surveys.

The participant, authorized representative, provider agency or care coordinator can request a follow-up by the care coordinator. When problems are detected, service plans can then be revised or new a service plan can be implemented. For those clients with complex care plans requiring more intensive follow-up to ensure that the additional referrals are in place and working properly, the program allows care coordinators to provide Intensive Case Work and Intensive Monitoring.

The CCC assessment triggers the need for more intensive case monitoring. For example, a participant that requires a complex care plan utilizing service providers both within the waiver and outside the waiver would be appropriate for Intensive Case Work. This allows the care coordinator to devote more time to making the appropriate referrals within the community and making sure that the participant has a complete care plan that will meet their needs. Intensive Monitoring is authorized for up to three months to allow the Care Coordinator time to ensure that the care plan is working and is meeting the participant's needs.

c) Care coordinators are required to meet face to face with waiver participants at least annually, and more often as needed. Intensive monitoring is available for participants that require more frequent management.

It is the participant's responsibility to notify the CCU of any change in status or to request a change to the POC. Participants can request a change to the POC at any time. Provider agencies are mandated to notify the care coordinator or CCU of changes in the participant's status. Department policies and training outline the responsibilities of the care coordinator, for development of the POC and continually monitoring of the service plans. Care coordinators can also authorize the Intensive Case work or Intensive monitoring for participants that require more frequent management. Intensive Monitoring requires a face-to-face meeting at least once in each month that it is billed.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plan care coordinator is responsible for monitoring service plan implementation, including whether services and supports meet the participants' needs and back up plans are adequate.

For the Plans, the primary avenue to monitoring the participant's needs and service planning is the completion of the comprehensive assessments with the participant. The Plan case manager and the participant work collaboratively during the initial assessment and at each subsequent reassessment on the service plan process. The Plan case manager is responsible for monitoring the implementation of the service plan, the availability and effectiveness of identified services and supports, and the participant's overall health and welfare.

The case manager works with the participant to identify the agreed upon services to include in the service plan and coordinates the service delivery process based on the participant's needs. Case managers also identify services, supports, or activity outside of the waiver benefit that may support the participant's plan of care. In addition to being completed at the initial assessment and reassessment visits, the service plan is also reviewed in-between assessments if there is a change in service needs.

Service provision and participant satisfaction are continually monitored at each assessment. During each reassessment visit, the case manager reviews the service plan to ensure that services are furnished in accordance with the service plan and that the services provided by the service provider are meeting the needs of the participant. A new service plan will be created at each reassessment to capture members review and agreement with the service plan even if needs or services have not changed. The need for any additional non-waiver based services is also discussed. The case manager provides on-going education to the participant about reporting any issues with the provision of services and their service providers. The participants are encouraged to call the case manager to assist in resolving issues identified by the participant.

The case manager also reviews the backup plan to ensure it is still in effect and if the backup plan was utilized, it is discussed with the participant to ensure its effectiveness. The service plan, service providers, backup plan or referrals to non-waiver services may be made or modified to ensure the member's needs are adequately met based on these discussions.

The Plans have a process to implement a method of monitoring its case managers to include, but not be limited to conducting quarterly case file audits and quarterly reviews checking that service plans are completed with each assessment or in between assessments if members needs have changed, service listed on the service plan address members need identified in the assessment, back-up plans are created for members receiving in-home services and are comprehensive. The Plans have a process to compile reports of these monitoring activities to include an analysis of the data and a description of the continuous improvement strategies the case manager has taken to resolve identified issues. The Plans will provide the state the results of their discovery, remediation and any systems

improvement activities during quarterly quality improvement meetings. Remediation will occur both on an individual and systemic basis.

Through its contract with the EQRO, the MA assures that the Plans are complying with contract requirements and the waiver assurances for monitoring service plans. Participants enrolled in the plan will be included in the overall representative sampling methodology used for evidentiary reporting of assurances. The Plans will be required to report event and other data to the MA where sampling methodology is 100%. MA oversight will include onsite or desk audit validation in these areas.

The MA selects a statistically valid sample for conducting onsite record reviews to assure compliance with federal assurances. The MA uses a proportionate sampling methodology with a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error for both the OA and the MCOs. The methodology will be adjusted when new MCOs are enrolled to ensure proportionate sampling across all operating entities.

b.	<b>Monitoring</b>	Safeguards.	Select	one.

0	Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility to monitor service plan implementation and
	participant health and welfare may not provide other direct waiver services to the participant.

En	tities and/or individuals that have respon	sibility to monitor ser	vice plan implementation and
pai	ticipant health and welfare may provide	other direct waiver se	rvices to the participant.

The State has established the following safeguards to ensure that monitoring is conducted in the best interests of the participant. *Specify:* 

# Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

**Quality Improvement: Service Plan** 

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Service Plan Assurance/Sub-assurances

The state demonstrates it has designed and implemented an effective system for reviewing the adequacy of service plans for waiver participants.

### i. Sub-Assurances:

a. Sub-assurance: Service plans address all participants' assessed needs (including health and safety risk factors) and personal goals, either by the provision of waiver services or through other means.

#### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

### **Performance Measure:**

17D: Each participant will have a written plan in place that identifies all needs, as indicated by a completed DON.

**Data Source** (Select one): **Other** If 'Other' is selected, specify: **eCCPIS** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100% Review</b>
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
<b>V</b> Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	
Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specify MCO Event Reports; EQ		

MCO Event Reports; EQRO Reviews		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = +/- 5%
<b>Other</b>	Annually	

Specify: MCO/EQRO			Stratified
MCO/EQRO			Describe Group:
	<ul><li>✓ Contin</li><li>Ongoin</li></ul>	uously and	Other
	Ongon	<b></b> 6	Specify:
	Other		
	Specify	<b>/</b> :	ļ
esponsible Party for d gregation and analys	ata		of data aggregation and ck each that applies):
esponsible Party for d gregation and analys	ata is (check each		ck each that applies):
esponsible Party for degregation and analystate applies):  State Medicaid Age	ata is (check each	analysis(che	ck each that applies):
esponsible Party for degregation and analystat applies):  State Medicaid Age	ata is (check each	analysis(che	ck each that applies): y
esponsible Party for degregation and analystate applies):  State Medicaid Age Operating Agency Sub-State Entity Other	ata is (check each	analysis(che Weekly Monthl	ck each that applies): y rly
esponsible Party for degregation and analys at applies):  State Medicaid Age Operating Agency Sub-State Entity	ata is (check each	analysis(che Weekly Monthl	ck each that applies): y rly
esponsible Party for degregation and analystat applies):  State Medicaid Age Operating Agency Sub-State Entity Other Specify:	ata is (check each	analysis(che Weekly Monthl Quarter Annual	ck each that applies): y rly
esponsible Party for degregation and analystat applies):  State Medicaid Age Operating Agency Sub-State Entity Other Specify:	ata is (check each	analysis(che Weekly Monthl Quarter Annual	ck each that applies):  y rly
esponsible Party for degregation and analystat applies):  State Medicaid Age Operating Agency Sub-State Entity Other Specify:	ata is (check each	analysis(che Weekly Monthl Quarter Annual	y rly ly uously and Ongoing
Operating Agency Sub-State Entity Other Specify:	ata is (check each	analysis(che Weekly Monthl Quarter Annual Continu	y rly ly uously and Ongoing
esponsible Party for degregation and analys at applies):  State Medicaid Age Operating Agency Sub-State Entity Other Specify: MCO	ata is (check each ency	analysis(che Weekly Monthly Quarter Annual Continu Other Specify	y rly ly uously and Ongoing

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify: **POSM** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review

Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b>✓</b> Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

MCO Reports; POSM Survey			
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review	
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review	
Sub-State Entity	<b>✓</b> Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =	
Other Specify: MCO	<b> Annually</b>	Stratified Describe Group:	
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other	

			Specify:	
			-	
	Other Specify	:		
Data Aggregation and An Responsible Party for dat	ta		of data aggregation and	
aggregation and analysis that applies):	(check each	analysis(che	ck each that applies):	
<b>State Medicaid Agen</b>	ıcy	Weekly		
<b>Operating Agency</b>		Monthl	y	
Sub-State Entity		<b>Quarter</b>	rly	
Other Specify: MCO		<b>✓</b> Annually		
		Continu	ously and Ongoing	
		Other Specify:		
Performance Measure: 19D: Participants indicate about personal relationshi  Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specif POSM	ips.	esponse (avera	age of 4 on scale) when asl	
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of collection/go (check each		Sampling Approach (check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly		<b>№ 100% Review</b>	
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>		Less than 100% Review	
<b>V</b> Sub-State Entity	Quarte	rly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =	

Other Specify:	✓ Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

MCO Reports; POSM Survey

Responsible Party for	Frequency of data	Sampling Approach
data	collection/generation	(check each that applies):
	(check each that applies):	

<b>collection/generation</b> (check each that applies):		
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>№ 100% Review</b>
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: MCO	<b> Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe  Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Data Aggregation and Analysis:	
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>
Other Specify: MCO	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

**Performance Measure:** 

20D:# and % of MCO participants' service plans that address all personal goals identified by the assessment. N: # of MCO service plans reviewed that address all personal goals identified by the assessment. D: Total # of MCO service plans reviewed.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	collection/ge (check each	eneration		g Approach ch that applies
State Medicaid		Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):		
Agency	Weekly	,	100 9	% Review
Operating Agency	Monthl	y	V Less	than 100% iew
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarte</b>	rly	<b>V</b> Repr Sam	resentative ple Confidence Interval = +/-5%
Other Specify: EQRO/MCO	Annual	ly	Stra	tified Describe Group:
	Continu Ongoin	uously and	Othe	er Specify:
	Other Specify			
Data Aggregation and Ar	nalysis:			
Responsible Party for da	ita	Frequency o analysis(chec		regation and at applies):
aggregation and analysis that applies):	t teneer each			
aggregation and analysis		Weekly		

Quarterly

Annually

**Sub-State Entity** 

Other

Specify:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
MCO	
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other
	Specify:

### **Performance Measure:**

21D:# and % of MCO participants' service plans that address risks identified in the assessment. N: # of MCO service plans reviewed that address risks indentified in the assessment. D: Total # of MCO service plans reviewed.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

MCO Reports: FORO Reviews

MCO Reports; EQRO Reviews			
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review	
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review	
Sub-State Entity  Other Specify: EQRO/MCO	<ul><li>✓ Quarterly</li><li>✓ Annually</li></ul>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = +/-5%  Stratified Describe Group:	
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:	
	Other Specify:		

Data Aggregation and Anal Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (a that applies):	Frequency	of data aggregation and neck each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	y Week	ly	
Operating Agency	Mont	nly	]
Sub-State Entity	<b></b> Quar	erly	]
Other Specify: MCO	✓ Annu	ally	
	Conti	nuously and Ongoing	
	Other		1
	Specia	y:	
Performance Measures For each performance measures Sub-assurance), complete the For each performance measures To analyze and assess progres To the method by which each Themes are identified or conclusive propriate.  Performance Measure: 22D: Upon contract initiation	following. Where possible, provide information as toward the performant source of data is analyz busions drawn, and how ton, at time of complian	on the aggregated data that yes measure. In this section preed statistically/deductively or recommendations are formulate reviews and at contract	ninator.  will enable the State  rovide information  rinductively, how  lated, where
renewal, CCUs submit the care to IDOA for review.  Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specify: Annual Contract Review C		edures for developing plans	of
Responsible Party for data	Frequency of data collection/generation check each that applies	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>№ 100% Review</b>	1
<b>▽</b> Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review	1
Sub-State Entity	Ouarterly	<u> </u>	1

Representative Sample

		Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b>Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	✓ Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

### **Performance Measure:**

23D: # and % of MCO participants' service plans that were signed and dated by the waiver participant and the case manager within required timeframes. N: # of MCO service plans that were signed by the waiver participant and the case manager. D: Total # of MCO service plans reviewed.

Data Source (Select one):
Other
If 'Other' is selected, specify:
MCO Reports; EQRO Reviews

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = +/-5%
Other Specify: EQRO/MCO	Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>
Other Specify: MCO	✓ Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

**Performance Measure:** 

24D:# and % of MCO participants who received annual contact by their case manager in an effort to monitor service provision and to address potential gaps in service delivery. N: # of MCO participants reviewed who received annual contact by their case manager. D: Total # of MCO participants reviewed.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies)
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = +/-5%
Other Specify: EQRO/MCO	Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	
Data Aggregation and An Responsible Party for da aggregation and analysis	ta Frequency o	of data aggregation and ck each that applies):

Weekly

Monthly

Quarterly

Annually

**State Medicaid Agency** 

**Operating Agency** 

**Sub-State Entity** 

Other

Specify:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
MCO	
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other
	Specify:
	м.
	-

c. Sub-assurance: Service plans are updated/revised at least annually or when warranted by changes in the waiver participant's needs.

#### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

#### **Performance Measure:**

25D: All service plans are updated by the annual review date or when there is a change of status as indicated by a new DON.

**Data Source** (Select one): **Other** If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>№ 100% Review</b>
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
<b>Sub-State Entity</b>	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:

	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	
Data Source (Select one): Record reviews, on-site If 'Other' is selected, specif	y:	
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = +/-5%
Other Specify: MCO	<b>Annually</b>	Describe Group:
	Continuously and	Other

**Ongoing** 

Other Specify: Specify:

Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specify: **MCO Reports; EQRO Reviews** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = +/-5%
Other Specify: MCO/EQRO	<b>Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>
Other Specify: MCO	<b>✓</b> Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

d. Sub-assurance: Services are delivered in accordance with the service plan, including the type, scope, amount, duration and frequency specified in the service plan.

#### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

#### **Performance Measure:**

26D: Services are initiated within 15 days of a determination of eligibility, unless delayed by the applicant and/or their authorized representative.

**Data Source** (Select one): **Other** If 'Other' is selected, specify: **eCCPIS** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
<b>V</b> Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: MCO	<b>Annually</b>	Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specify: MCO Reports; EQRO Reviews **Responsible Party for** Frequency of data **Sampling Approach** data collection/generation (check each that applies): collection/generation (check each that applies): (check each that applies): **State Medicaid** Weekly 100% Review Agency Less than 100% **Operating Agency** Monthly Review **Sub-State Entity** Quarterly Representative Sample Confidence Interval = +/- 5% **Other Annually** Stratified Specify: MCO/EQRO Describe Group: Continuously and Other

**Ongoing** 

Other Specify: Specify:

Data Aggregation and Analysis:	
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b> ▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>
Other Specify: MCO	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):		Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):		
Performance Measure: 27D: The ratio of utilized lower than 80% percent.	services to th	e amount of	services a	uthorized is not
<b>Data Source</b> (Select one): <b>Other</b> If 'Other' is selected, specife <b>eCCPIS</b>	y:			
Responsible Party for	Frequency			g Approach
data collection/generation (check each that applies):	collection/generation (check each that applies):		(спеск еа	ch that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	7	<b>V</b> 100% Review	
Operating Agency	Monthly		Less	than 100% iew
<b>Sub-State Entity</b>	Quarte	rly	Rep. Sam	resentative  ple Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: Provider Agency (claims submittal)	Annual	lly	Stra	tified Describe Group:
	Contin Ongoir	uously and	Oth	er Specify:
	Other Specify	:		
Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specif MCO Reports; Encounter				
Responsible Party for data	Frequency collection/g			g Approach ch that applies):

collection/generation (check each that applies):		
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = +/-5%
Other Specify: MCO	Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b> ✓</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: MCO	<b>Annually</b>
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

**Performance Measure:** 

28D: CCUs have documentation of monthly contacts for  $100\,\%$  of cases in which there is intensive monitoring as defined by rules.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specif Case note tracking system				
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):		Sampling Approach (check each that applies)	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	7	<b>₩</b> 100% Review	
Operating Agency	Month!	ly	Less Rev	than 100% iew
<b>V</b> Sub-State Entity	Quarterly		Rep.	resentative aple Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually		Stra	tified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing		Otho	er Specify:
	Other Specify	/: -		
Data Aggregation and An Responsible Party for da aggregation and analysis that applies):	ta	Frequency o		gregation and at applies):
State Medicaid Agency		Weekly		
Operating Agency			Monthly	
Other	Sub-State Entity		Quarterly Annually	
Specify:				

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other
	Specify:

**Performance Measure:** 

29D: Participants indicate a positive response (average score of at least 4) regarding their relationship with workers.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

POSM		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>№ 100% Review</b>
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b>✓</b> Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Source (Select one):

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Other

**MCO Reports; POSM Survey Responsible Party for** Frequency of data **Sampling Approach** data collection/generation (check each that applies): collection/generation (check each that applies): (check each that applies): **State Medicaid** Weekly **▼ 100% Review** Agency **Operating Agency** Monthly Less than 100% Review **Sub-State Entity** Quarterly Representative Sample Confidence Interval = Other Annually Stratified Specify: Describe **MCO** Group: Continuously and Other **Ongoing** Specify: Other Specify: Data Aggregation and Analysis: Responsible Party for data Frequency of data aggregation and aggregation and analysis (check each **analysis**(check each that applies): that applies): Weekly **State Medicaid Agency Operating Agency Monthly Sub-State Entity** Quarterly

Annually

**Continuously and Ongoing** 

**✓** Other

Specify: MCO

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
	Other Specify:
Performance Measure:	

30D: Participants indicate a positive response (average score of at least 4) when asked about availability of paid care and supports

**Data Source** (Select one): **Other** If 'Other' is selected, specify:

n Other is selected, spec

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>▼</b> 100% Review
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
<b>V</b> Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b></b> Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

**MCO Reports; POSM Survey** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100% Review</b>
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: MCO	<b>✓</b> Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: MCO	✓ Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

### **Performance Measure:**

31D:# and % MCO participants who received services in the type, scope, amount, duration, and frequency as specified in the service plan. N: # of MCO participants reviewed who received services as specified in the service plan. D: Total # of MCO participants reviewed.

**Data Source** (Select one): **Other** 

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

MCO Reports: EORO Reviews

MCO Reports; EQRO Re	eviews	
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity  Other Specify:	✓ Quarterly Annually	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = +/-5%  Stratified
EQRO/MCO		Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>✓</b> Annually

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
Specify: MCO	
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

e. Sub-assurance: Participants are afforded choice: Between waiver services and institutional care; and between/among waiver services and providers.

#### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

#### **Performance Measure:**

32D: 100% of waiver participants have a completed and signed freedom of choice section of the CCP Consent form specifying that choice was offered between institutional care and waiver service and choice of waiver providers.

**Data Source** (Select one): **Other** If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>№ 100% Review</b>
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
<b>V</b> Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b>Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe Group:

a w		e e
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	
Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specif MCO Reports; EQRO Re		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = +/-5%
Other Specify: EQRO/MCO	Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>V</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>
Other Specify: MCO	✓ Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

**ii.** If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

IDoA and its CCUs monitor, identify problems, and take corrective action related to the service plans. IDoA is responsible to oversee and report to HFS about findings associated with performance in this area, which occurs during each quarterly IDoA and HFS meeting.

Waiver services are delivered in accordance to services authorized by CCU on the service plan, including amount, scope, duration and frequency. There are times when the full monthly service plan cannot be fulfilled, which can occur for a number of reasons. For example, during the month the participant may have been out of state with family, hospitalized or may have declined services. The OA monitors the utilization amount of participant services on a quarterly basis, looking for trends to see if utilization decreases are explainable and at the request of the participant and to determine whether policy changes are needed to address systematic issues. During QI reviews, the OA also reviews the utilization of services. The provider agency must supply documentation of deviations when the service plan is not followed. Providers which do not have adequate documentation are given a corrective action to implement changes.

Care coordinators enter case notes directly into the case note tracking system. If a case note does not exist for the date of the casework or monitoring, IDoA will not reimburse the provider for that service.

eCCPIS is an information system that tracks information related to the level of care, unmet needs, and service plans. CCU managers supervising the work of individual care coordinators use eCCPIS to monitor that service planning and service initiation are timely and appropriate; CCU managers have ready access to individual participant files to compare against problems identified through the eCCPIS. In addition, all CCP Event Reports (which include critical incidents and complaints) are reviewed and acted upon by the CCU.

IDoA implemented a participant survey process, beginning October 1, 2009, that includes domains assessing participants' perception about the adequacy of their service plan. This effort uses the Participant Outcome and Satisfaction Measures (POSM), a statistically reliable and valid tool developed by the University of Michigan. Individual care coordinators administer this survey during completion of assessment and reassessment (at least annually). All survey data is entered into the State's database. IDoA is responsible in aggregating the data and incorporating results into the quarterly management reports.

IDoA tracks the performance of CCUs and care coordinators in management reports using data generated from the eCCPIS, the CCP event report system, participant survey information, and comparisons of paid services (claims/payment system) with authorized service levels. These management reports address the level at which CCUs and individual care coordinators are meeting the performance indicators in the State's quality improvement plan. One purpose of these quarterly reports is to assist CCUs in improving performance. The reports additionally inform the CCUs and care coordinators how their performance compares to other CCUs.

Areas in which there is a pervasive problem across CCUs may indicate systemic problems to be collectively addressed by IDoA, HFS, and CCUs.

IDoA performs an annual desk audit for each CCU. The desk audit includes a review of individual CCU policies and procedures, the past year's management report information, and additional information supplied by the CCU at the request of the State. At least every three years the State performs an on-site review.

IDOA reviews all Department policy and procedures on an ongoing basis and sends to the appropriate agencies within the CCP network. These policies are reviewed by OA staff before a contract is awarded and then again at the time of complaince reviews and at contract renewal. Contracts are on a six-year renewal cycle.

The Medicaid agency, HFS, will conduct routine programmatic and fiscal monitoring for both the OA and the MCOs. For those functions delegated to the OA and the MCOs, the MA is responsible for oversight and monitoring to assure compliance with federal assurances and performance measures. The MA monitors both compliance levels and timeliness of remediation by the MCOs. The MA's sampling methodology is based on a statistically valid sampling methodology that pulls proportionate samples from the OA and the enrolled MCOs. The proportionate sampling methodology uses a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error. The MA will pull the sample annually and adjust the methodology as additional MCOs are enrolled to provide long term services and supports.

For the OA, HFS conducts routine program and fiscal monitoring of the waiver program from a selected sample of participants claims. HFS annually conducts focused onsite reviews and statewide randomly selected record reviews. This includes a review of service plan development and implementation assurances.

For those functions delegated to the MCO, the MA is responsible for discovery. MCOs are required to submit quarterly reports, using the format required by the MA, on specific Performance Measures (PMs), which are specified in HFS' contracts with Integrated Care Program MCOs that provide waiver services. Contracts specify numerators, denominators, sampling approaches, data sources, etc. Through its contract with the EQRO, the MA monitors both compliance of PMs and timeliness of remediation for those waiver participants enrolled in an MCO. During its record reviews, the EQRO will examine enrollee records and service plans to identify if the participants received services in the type, scope, duration, and frequency as specified in the service plan. Those enrollees who did not receive services in the type, scope, duration, and frequency as specified will be identified and remediation will follow.

#### b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.
 Remediation is described below. In general the State requires a 30-day remediation time frame for issues impacting health, safety and welfare of participants. A 60-day remediation is generally required for those issues that do not.

17D: If plans do not address required items, the OA/MA will require plans be corrected and will provide training of case managers. Remediation must be completed within 60 days.

18D: The OA and MCO case managers will follow up on non-favorable surveys. Resolution or remediation will be based on the nature of the concern. Anonymous survey responses will be used to identify need for system improvement.

19D: The OA and MCO case managers will follow up on non-favorable surveys. Resolution or remediation will be based on the nature of the concern. Anonymous survey responses will be used to identify the need for system improvement.

20D: If plans do not address required items, the MA will require plans be corrected and will provide training of case managers. Remediation must be completed within 60 days.

21D: If plans do not address required items, the MA will require the plans be corrected and will provide training of case managers. Remediation must be completed within 60 days.

22D: If plans do not address required items, the MA will require that the plans be corrected and provide

training of case managers. Remediation must be completed within 60 days.

- 23D: If plans are not signed by appropriate parties within required timeframes, the MA will require the plans be corrected. The MCO may also provide training in both cases. Remediation must be completed within 60 days.
- 24D: If participants do not receive annual contact by case manager, the MA will require the participant be contacted and provide training of case managers. Remediation must be completed within 60 days.
- 25D: If service plans are untimely, the OA/MA will require completion for overdue service plans and justification from the case manager. If service plans are not updated when there is documentation that a participant's needs changed, the OA/MCO will require an update. In both cases the OA/MCO may also provide training of case managers. Remediation within 60 days.
- 26D: If services are initiated untimely, the OA/MA will require the plan be initiated and justification from the case manager. The OA/MCO may also provide training of case managers. Remediation within 60 days.
- 27D: If utilized services are less than 80% of authorized, the OA/MA will require the CM to review the SP with the participant to ensure all needs are being met. The OA/MCO may also provide training of case managers. Remediation within 60 days.
- 28D: If monthly contact is not completed for a participant which the CCU has billed for intensive monitoring, the OA will void the federal claim. The OA will determine whether the service was indicated. If not, the OA will revise customer service plan. Remediation must be completed within 30 days.
- 29D: The OA and MCO case managers will follow up on non-favorable surveys. Resolution or remediation will be based on the nature of the concern. Anonymous survey responses will be used to identify need for system improvement.
- 30D:The OA and MCO case managers will follow up on non-favorable surveys. Resolution or remediation will be based on the nature of the concern. Anonymous survey responses will be used to identify need for system improvement.
- 31D: If a participant does not receive services as specified in the service plan, the OA/MCO will determine if a correction or adjustment of service plan, services authorized, or services vouchered is needed. If not, services will be implemented as authorized. The OA/MCO may also provide training to case managers. If the issue appears to be fraudulent, it will be reported by the OA/MA to fraud control. Remediation must be completed within 60 days.
- 32D: The OA/MCO will assure that all waiver participants completed and signed freedom of choice section of the CCP Consent Form. The OA/MCO may also provide training to case managers. Remediation must be completed within 60 days.

#### ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and A	nalysis (including trend identification)
<b>Responsible Party</b> (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: MCO	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):

#### c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Service Plans that are currently non-operational.

- O No
- Yes

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Service Plans, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.

The components of assuring service plans is in place with the exception of three items: 1) the use of the annual participant survey mentioned (POSM) and 2) the addition of a data field in eCCPIS to indicate that the informed choice document has been signed, and 3) an automated case note tracking system. It is expected that all three of these items will be implemented during Year 1 of the waiver renewal.

# **Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services**

**Applicability** (from Application Section 3, Components of the Waiver Request):

- Yes. This waiver provides participant direction opportunities. Complete the remainder of the Appendix.
- No. This waiver does not provide participant direction opportunities. Do not complete the remainder of the Appendix.

CMS urges states to afford all waiver participants the opportunity to direct their services. Participant direction of services includes the participant exercising decision-making authority over workers who provide services, a participant-managed budget or both. CMS will confer the Independence Plus designation when the waiver evidences a strong commitment to participant direction.

**Indicate whether Independence Plus designation is requested** (select one):

- **○** Yes. The State requests that this waiver be considered for Independence Plus designation.
- No. Independence Plus designation is not requested.

## **Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services**

**E-1:** Overview (1 of 13)

Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.

**Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services** 

**E-1: Overview** (2 of 13)

Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.

**Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services** 

**E-1: Overview (3 of 13)** 

Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.

Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (4 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services E-1: Overview (5 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (6 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (7 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (8 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (9 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (10 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (11 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (12 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.
Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services
E-1: Overview (13 of 13)
Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.

# **Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services**

E-2: Opportunities for Participant Direction (1 of 6)

Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.

## **Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services**

E-2: Opportunities for Participant-Direction (2 of 6)

Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.

## **Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services**

**E-2: Opportunities for Participant-Direction (3 of 6)** 

Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.

## **Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services**

E-2: Opportunities for Participant-Direction (4 of 6)

Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.

# **Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services**

**E-2: Opportunities for Participant-Direction (5 of 6)** 

Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.

## **Appendix E: Participant Direction of Services**

E-2: Opportunities for Participant-Direction (6 of 6)

Answers provided in Appendix E-0 indicate that you do not need to submit Appendix E.

## **Appendix F: Participant Rights**

# **Appendix F-1: Opportunity to Request a Fair Hearing**

The State provides an opportunity to request a Fair Hearing under 42 CFR Part 431, Subpart E to individuals: (a) who are not given the choice of home and community-based services as an alternative to the institutional care specified in Item 1-F of the request; (b) are denied the service(s) of their choice or the provider(s) of their choice; or, (c) whose services are denied, suspended, reduced or terminated. The State provides notice of action as required in 42 CFR §431.210.

**Procedures for Offering Opportunity to Request a Fair Hearing.** Describe how the individual (or his/her legal representative) is informed of the opportunity to request a fair hearing under 42 CFR Part 431, Subpart E. Specify the notice (s) that are used to offer individuals the opportunity to request a Fair Hearing. State laws, regulations, policies and notices referenced in the description are available to CMS upon request through the operating or Medicaid agency.

Any individual who applies for or receives waiver services has the right to appeal a decision, action or inaction of IDoA, a Case Coordination Unit (CCU) or a provider. The individual is notified of his/her right to appeal by the CCU any time that action is taken regarding services or eligibility. In addition, the individual is given an explanation of the right to appeal at the time of the initial home visit and upon request.

A copy of the rights and responsibilities of a CCP applicant/participant (including an explanation of the right to appeal) is provided in written format to all individuals during the initial home visit for eligibility determination and upon request. Individuals can file an appeal by contacting the Senior HelpLine and then must complete and return to IDoA an official written Notice of Appeal form. IDoA then conducts an informal appeal review within 60 days of receipt of the form. If the appeal is denied and the individual does not withdraw the appeal, the appeal automatically proceeds to a formal hearing. A hearing officer with the Department of Healthcare and Family Services conducts the formal hearing. At the formal hearing,

the individual is allowed to present evidence on his/her behalf to dispute the adverse action. The individual may choose to be represented by legal counsel or another person the individual appoints. The decision of the formal hearing is final and can only be appealed through the circuit court system.

Participants are notified that services will continue during the Fair Hearing Process. Fair hearing documents, including notices of adverse actions and the opportunity to request a Fair Hearing, are maintained by IDoA.

Participants enrolled in an MCO may file for an internal appeal with the MCO and also have the right to request a fair hearing with final decision being made by the Medicaid Agency. The Medicaid Agency's fair hearings process is the same for all participants, including those enrolled with MCOs. The Medicaid Agency is the final level of appeal.

MCOs are required to have a formally structured Appeal system that complies with Section 45 of the Managed Care Reform and Patient Rights Act and 42 C.F.R. 438 to handle all Appeals subject to the provisions of such sections of the Act and C.F.R. (including, without limitation, procedures to ensure expedited decision making when an Enrollee's health so necessitates and procedures allowing for an external independent review of Appeals that are denied by the Plan). The State reviews/approves the MCO's appeal process guidelines.

MCOs inform Enrollees about the Medicaid agency's fair hearing process in the member handbook distributed at the time of enrollment. Information about the fair hearing process is also on the MCOs website on an ongoing basis and is provided whenever an Enrollee requests the information. An Enrollee may appoint a guardian, caretaker relative, Primary Care Provider, Women's Health Care Provider, or other Physician treating the Enrollee to represent the Enrollee throughout the Appeal process.

An Enrollee or an authorized representative with the Enrollees written consent may file for the internal appeal or a fair hearing. MCOs are required to provide assistance to Enrollees in filing an internal appeal or in accessing the fair hearing process including assistance in completing forms and completing other procedural steps. This includes providing interpreter services, translation assistance, assistance to the hearing impaired (including toll-free numbers that have adequate TTY/TTD) and assisting those with limited English proficiency. The MCO must make oral interpretation services available free of charge in all languages to all Enrollees who need assistance.

At the time of the initial decision by the MCO to deny a requested non-participating provider, deny a requested service or reduce, suspend or terminate a previously authorized service, a notice of action is provided by the MCOs in writing to the Enrollee and authorized representative, if applicable. In addition, the MCOs provide an appeal resolution letter, which is also a notice of action, to the Enrollee at the time of the internal grievance or appeal resolution. If the resolution is not wholly in favor of the Enrollee, the Enrollee may elect to request a fair hearing from the Medicaid agency. The appeal resolution letter includes the description of the process for requesting a Fair Hearing.

Each MCO submits a monthly Grievances and Appeals detail report and a quarterly Grievance and Appeals summary report to the MA. The format of each report is dictated by the MA. The monthly reports provide a record of appeals requests in detail, including a description of each Grievance and Appeal, outcome, incident summary, resolution summary, and dates. The quarterly summary report of Grievances and Appeals filed by Enrollees, is organized by categories of medical necessity reviews, access to care, quality of care, transportation, pharmacy, LTSS services and other issues. It includes the total grievance and appeals per 1,000 Enrollees for their entire MMAI population. Additionally, it includes a summary count of any such Appeals received during the reporting period including those that go through fair hearings and external independent reviews. Finally, these reports include Appeals outcomes- whether the appeals were upheld or overturned. Appeals are reported separately for each Waiver. HFS reviews and analyzes the grievance and appeals reports. HFS compares the reports among plans over time and across plans to analyze trends, outliers among plans and to assure that the plans are addressing areas of concern. Records of adverse actions and requests for appeals are maintained by the MCOs for a period of six (6) years.

The State ensures that managed care enrollees are informed by the MCO about their Fair Hearing Process by reviewing and prior approving the Enrollee Handbook, Notice of Action, and any appeal letters which must contain the enrollees' rights to a Fair Hearing and how to request such. The States EQRO also reviews such documents through a desk review and determines if the MCO is compliant during on-site visits. The State reviews/approves the MCO's appeal process guidelines.

The Plan informs the enrollee about their appeal and fair hearing rights verbally and in writing at the initial face-to-face visit with the enrollee, at least annually, and as needed. Participants may appeal if services are denied, reduced, suspended, or terminated. In addition, appeals may be made any time the Plan takes an action to deny the service(s) of the enrollee's choice or the provider(s) of their choice; The appeal process is described in writing in the Plan's member handbook which is reviewed with the participant by the Plan's case manager.

When services are denied, reduced, suspended, terminated, or choice is denied, the member is informed via a Notice of Action Letter. This notice includes (a) A statement of what action the Plan intends to take; (b) The reasons for the intended action; (c) The guidelines or criteria used in making the decision.

The Notice of Action also contains information on appealing the determination and how services can continue during the period while the participant's appeal is under consideration.

FFS participants are notified that services will continue through the appeal process via the IDOA appeal action notice, which states that the level of service is being continued until the appeal is complete.

The Plans have a separate appeal process that occurs prior to the Fair Hearing process. If an appeal is upheld by the Plan the Plan sends an Appeal decision letter. This letter contains instructions/information on the Fair Hearing process.

Copies of the Notice of Action documents, including notices of adverse actions and the opportunity to request a Fair Hearing, are maintained by the Plan in a database.

## **Appendix F: Participant-Rights**

# **Appendix F-2: Additional Dispute Resolution Process**

- **a. Availability of Additional Dispute Resolution Process.** Indicate whether the State operates another dispute resolution process that offers participants the opportunity to appeal decisions that adversely affect their services while preserving their right to a Fair Hearing. *Select one:* 
  - No. This Appendix does not apply
  - Yes. The State operates an additional dispute resolution process
- **b.** Description of Additional Dispute Resolution Process. Describe the additional dispute resolution process, including: (a) the State agency that operates the process; (b) the nature of the process (i.e., procedures and timeframes), including the types of disputes addressed through the process; and, (c) how the right to a Medicaid Fair Hearing is preserved when a participant elects to make use of the process: State laws, regulations, and policies referenced in the description are available to CMS upon request through the operating or Medicaid agency.

## **Appendix F: Participant-Rights**

# Appendix F-3: State Grievance/Complaint System

- a. Operation of Grievance/Complaint System. Select one:
  - No. This Appendix does not apply
  - Yes. The State operates a grievance/complaint system that affords participants the opportunity to register grievances or complaints concerning the provision of services under this waiver
- **b. Operational Responsibility.** Specify the State agency that is responsible for the operation of the grievance/complaint system:

IDoA operates the grievance and complaint system in cooperation with its contracted CCUs. Participants are informed that filing a grievance or complaint is not a prerequisite or substitute for a Fair Hearing.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plans shall establish and maintain a procedure for reviewing Grievances registered by Enrollees.

Each MCO is required to establish and maintain a procedure for reviewing grievances (any expression of dissatisfaction about any matter other than an action) registered by Enrollees. All Grievances are registered initially with the MCO and may later be appealed to the Department through the Fair Hearing process. Enrollees must exhaust the MCO's Grievance process before requesting a Fair Hearing.

**c. Description of System.** Describe the grievance/complaint system, including: (a) the types of grievances/complaints that participants may register; (b) the process and timelines for addressing grievances/complaints; and, (c) the mechanisms that are used to resolve grievances/complaints. State laws, regulations, and policies referenced in the description are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

Any participant or interested person may communicate a complaint through the State's Senior Helpline, a CCU, a care coordinator, or a provider. The State has defined complaints as any oral or written communication by the participant or other interested party expressing dissatisfaction with the operation or provision of service, service quality, service staff, or a failure to provide or offer services.

The information from a complaint is recorded as a CCP Event, using the Event report form. The data are placed into a statewide database. The lodged complaint is sent to the CCU in the area where the individual participant resides. The CCU is required to review the complaint and to resolve the issue within fourteen days, unless there are documented circumstances that preclude resolution within that timeframe. Mechanisms to resolve the complaint include the CCU working with the individual, their family, and provider agencies to address the problem.

In cases where the CCU is either the subject of the complaint or a complaint cannot be resolved, IDoA reviews. IDoA reviews the information and determines the appropriate action to be taken. If the complaint involves a provider or CCU agency failure, IDoA has authority to issue a corrective action plan, to suspend new referrals, apply fines, or cancel a contract. (Refer to Administrative Rules Section 240.1665)

Complaints are tracked in the Event reporting system. IDoA includes information about complaints in its quarterly management reports shared with HFS, CCUs, care coordinators, and provider agencies.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, all grievances shall be registered initially with the Plan and may later be appealed to the MA. The Plan's procedures must: (i) be submitted to the MA in writing and approved in writing by the MA;(ii) provide for prompt resolution, and (iii) assure the participation of individuals with authority to require corrective action. The Plan must have a Grievance Committee for reviewing grievances registered by its enrollees, and enrollees must be represented on the Grievance Committee. At a minimum, the following elements must be included in the Grievance process:

- An informal system, available internally, to attempt to resolve all grievances;
- A formally structured Grievance system that is compliant with Section 45 of the Managed Care Reform and Patient Rights Act and 42 C.F.R. Part 438 Subpart F to handle all Grievances subject to the provisions of such sections of the Act and regulations (including, without limitation, procedures to ensure expedited decision making when an Enrollee's health so necessitates);
- A formally structured Grievance Committee that is available for Enrollees whose Grievances cannot be handled informally and are not appropriate for the procedures set up under the Managed Care Reform and Patient Rights Act. All Enrollees must be informed that such a process exists. Grievances at this stage must be in writing and sent to the Grievance Committee for review;
- The Grievance Committee must have at least one (1) enrollee on the Committee. The MA may require that one (1) member of the Grievance Committee be a representative of the MA;
- Final decisions under the Managed Care Reform and Patient Rights Act procedures and those of the Grievance Committee may be appealed by the enrollee to the MA under its Fair Hearings system;
- A summary of all Grievances heard by the Grievance Committee and by independent external reviewers and the responses and disposition of those matters must be submitted to the MA quarterly; and
- An enrollee may appoint a guardian, caretaker relative, PCP, WHCP, or other Physician treating the enrollee to represent the Enrollee throughout the Grievance process.

The state has provided that individuals must first avail themselves of the internal grievance and appeals process before accessing the Fair Hearings process. Enrollees are notified of this through the Enrollee Handbook, the Notice of Action, and any appeal letters. Plans also discuss the grievance and appeals process with the Enrollee during the service planning process.

# **Appendix G: Participant Safeguards**

## **Appendix G-1: Response to Critical Events or Incidents**

**a.** Critical Event or Incident Reporting and Management Process. Indicate whether the State operates Critical Event or Incident Reporting and Management Process that enables the State to collect information on sentinel events occurring in the waiver program. Select one:

Yes. The State operates a Critical Event or Incident Reporting and Management Process (complete It through e)	ems t
No. This Appendix does not apply (do not complete Items b through e) If the State does not operate a Critical Event or Incident Reporting and Management Process, describe the process that the State uses to elicit information on the health and welfare of individuals served through the program.	
	*
	w

b. State Critical Event or Incident Reporting Requirements. Specify the types of critical events or incidents (including alleged abuse, neglect and exploitation) that the State requires to be reported for review and follow-up action by an appropriate authority, the individuals and/or entities that are required to report such events and incidents and the timelines for reporting. State laws, regulations, and policies that are referenced are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

The State uses a set of definitions for critical incidents covering abuse, neglect, exploitation and other events that can place an individual at risk. These definitions can be found at https://www.aging.state.il.us/waiver/.

State regulations covering elder rights, mandated reporting, and timelines are contained in 89 Illinois Administrative Code (ILAC), Part 270. Timelines and remedial actions involving a Community Care Program (CCP) provider are contained in 89 ILAC Part 240.1665. The website

is: http://www.ilga.gov/commission/jcar/admincode/089/08900270sections.html

IDoA is developing an electronic reporting system for critical incidents and events reporting. The Event Reporting System will be a function of the eCCPIS, and will be able to be accessed by Care Coordination Units (CCU), CCP providers, Abuse Neglect or Exploitation (ANE) agencies. Three types of events will be entered in this system: critical incidents, complaints, and requests for change of status reviews. Examples of critical incidents include, but are not limited to, participant: death, unanticipated hospitalization, nursing home placement, serious injury, abuse, neglect, exploitation, and missing person. Depending on the type of critical incident, the Event Reporting System will automatically generate notification to the appropriate entity for follow-up. For example, if a provider identifies possible exploitation of a participant, the ANE agency for that area will be notified. Incidents will be classified into one of four categories, which dictate the required timeline for initiation of follow-up. Additionally, based on the type of incident, the system will identify whether follow-up is mandatory or at the discretion of the care coordinator. For example, a CCP provider may or may not need to be notified of possible exploitation of a participant.

The CCU and provider must document on the system follow-up activities completed on behalf of the participant. Once follow-up is completed, the CCU and provider will flag that the follow-up is ready for IDoA to review. IDoA staff will determine whether the follow-up documentation is thorough and complete, or more information is required.

The IDoA Office of Elder Rights administers the Elder Abuse, Neglect and Financial Exploitation Program (ANE), which responds to alleged abuse, neglect or financial exploitation of persons 60 years of age and older who reside in the community. The program provides investigation, intervention and follow-up services to victims. It is locally coordinated through 43 agencies designated by the Area Agencies on Aging (AAA) and IDoA. Contracts and training are separate from IDoA contractual agreements for CCU services and CCP training. However, many of the CCUs are also designated Elder Abuse agencies. The Elder Abuse Agencies conduct investigations and work with older adults in resolving abusive situations. Persons can report suspected abuse, neglect or exploitation to IDoA by utilizing the Elder Abuse Hotline number at 1-866-800-1409, available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, or to the Senior HelpLine number, 1-800-252-8966, during regular business hours. After-hour and weekend calls are automatically transferred to the Elder Abuse Hotline number.

The Illinois Elder Abuse and Neglect Act (320 ILCS 20/1) requires personnel of the IDoA and its subsidiary AAA and provider agencies to be mandated reporters in cases where the senior is unable to self-report. IDoA policy specifically states that if a direct service worker witnesses or identifies a case of possible abuse or neglect, they are mandated to personally report the allegations to the designated Elder Abuse Provider Agency or to IDoAs Hotline numbers. IDoAs Office of Elder Rights maintains a tracking system of ANE investigations and statistical reports are generated annually.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plans will have processes and procedures in place to receive reports of

critical incidents. The Plans will comply with the Illinois Elder Abuse and Neglect Act (320 ILCS 20/1). The Plans shall have a formal process for reporting incidents that may indicate abuse, neglect or exploitation of an Enrollee.

The Plans must comply with the Operating Agency's critical incident reporting requirements. At a minimum abuse, neglect and exploitation must be reported. Other examples of critical events may include but are not limited to:

- Death
- Suspicious death
- Falls
- Serious physical injury
- Hospital admission
- Misuse of funds
- Medication error
- Unauthorized use of restraint, seclusion or restrictive physical or chemical restraints
- Elopement or missing person
- Fires
- Severe natural disaster
- Possession of firearms (participant or staff)
- Possession of illegal substances (participant or staff)
- Criminal victimization
- Financial exploitation
- Suicide or attempted suicide

For these types of incidents, if there is a perceived immediate threat to a member's life or safety, the Plan will follow emergency procedures which may include calling 911.

All incidents will be reported to the compliance officer or designee and entered in to the Plans Critical Incidents report database. Based on situation, the members age and placement reports will also be made to the appropriate State of Illinois investigative agencies.

The Plans will continue to provide the participants, their family or representatives information about their rights and protections, including how they can safely report an event and receive the necessary intervention or support.

Also, the Plans will assure that HCBS waiver agencies, vendors and workers (including case managers) are well informed of their responsibilities to identify and report all critical incidents. Responsibilities are also reinforced through periodic training.

**c. Participant Training and Education.** Describe how training and/or information is provided to participants (and/or families or legal representatives, as appropriate) concerning protections from abuse, neglect, and exploitation, including how participants (and/or families or legal representatives, as appropriate) can notify appropriate authorities or entities when the participant may have experienced abuse, neglect or exploitation.

Individuals and families are provided information from the CCU at the time of the initial assessment and the annual reassessment. The State also requires the care coordinator to address the issues of privacy, safety, and respect during administration of the State's consumer survey. This survey occurs during the assessment/reassessment process.

The need for general public awareness has been addressed through campaigns, "Break the Silence" and B\*SAFE (Banks and Seniors Against Financial Exploitation). These public awareness campaigns, facilitated through ANE, provide information and training about how to prevent and to recognize situations involving abuse, neglect, and exploitation of seniors.

Care coordinators receive training as part of the IDoA required training for all care coordinators on critical incident reporting and follow up. Direct care staff are provided training through their employer and new state provider standards have enhanced requirements for staff training about abuse, neglect, exploitation, and mandated reporting requirements. CCU and direct care staff are mandated reporters for abuse, neglect, and exploitation.

IDoA has enhanced its Senior Helpline, including adding a specific hotline number available to report abuse, neglect, or exploitation.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plan shall train all of Plan's employees, Affiliated Providers, Affiliates and subcontractors to recognize potential concerns related to Abuse and Neglect, and on their responsibility to report suspected or alleged Abuse or Neglect. The Plan's employees who, in good faith, report suspicious or alleged Abuse

or Neglect shall not be subjected to any adverse action from the Plan, its Affiliated Providers, Affiliates or subcontractors.

Providers, Enrollees and Enrollees' family members will be trained about the signs of Abuse and Neglect, what to do if they suspect Abuse or Neglect, and the Plan's responsibilities. Training sessions will be customized to the target audience. Training will include general indicators of Abuse and Neglect and the timeframe requirements for reporting suspected Abuse, Neglect and exploitation.

**d. Responsibility for Review of and Response to Critical Events or Incidents.** Specify the entity (or entities) that receives reports of critical events or incidents specified in item G-1-a, the methods that are employed to evaluate such reports, and the processes and time-frames for responding to critical events or incidents, including conducting investigations.

During year one of the waiver, IDoA will implement a web-enabled system to receive all reports of critical incidents as part of its Event Reporting system. Providers and care coordinators will enter incidents directly into the secured website. Participants, family members and others may call the State's Senior Helpline. In this case, Helpline staff will enter the information into the web-enabled system.

IDoA, the CCU and the care coordinator are notified of incidents in all cases. Depending on the nature of the incident the Abuse and Neglect and Exploitation (ANE), the participant and/or family members, and providers may be notified. The State has set criteria regarding when notifications are mandatory or are at the discretion of the care coordinator.

IDoA has established classifications for critical incidents (i.e., Priority I, II, III, or IV) depending upon the nature and urgency of the event. This classification determines whether an investigation needs to occur in the timeframe for conducting that investigation. The definitions and time frames of these levels are located at https://www.aging.state.il.us/waiver/.

Responding to Reports – Depending on the nature and seriousness of the allegations, a trained caseworker makes a face-to-face contact with the alleged victim with the following time frames:

- •Priority One Reports of abuse or neglect where the alleged victim is reported to be in imminent danger of death or serious physical harm. The caseworker must make a face-to-face visit within 24 hours.
- •Priority Two Reports that an alleged victim is being abused, neglected, or financially exploited and the report taker has reason to believe that the health and safety consequences to the alleged victim are less serious that priority one reports. The caseworker must make a face-to-face visit within 72 hours.
- •Priority Three Reports that an alleged victim is being emotionally abused or the alleged victim's financial resources are being misused or withheld and the report taker has reason to believe that there is no immediate or serious threat of harm to the alleged victim. The caseworker must make a face-to-face visit within 7 calendar days of the receipt of the report.

The State requires that all Priority I incidents be at least temporarily corrected within 24 hours and a permanent correction must occur within 60 days. All other events must be corrected within 60 days. The State's Office of Elder Rights regulations also require certain response timelines by the ANE agency. These are located at 89 ILAC Part 270.

The Event Reporting system also tracks the status of any investigation and follow-up actions taken. The State has established criteria regarding when the CCU must conduct a review, when an on-site visit must occur, and when the change of status assessment must occur.

The CCU is responsible to ensure the health and welfare of the participant and may authorize additional services, such as intensive care coordination, to protect the welfare of the individual. Critical incidents may also result in a review of participant needs to determine whether a change in the service or level of service is needed.

For instances of alleged provider or CCU action/inaction leading to reported death or injury (but not due to suspected abuse or neglect), a verbal report must be submitted within twenty-four (24) hours to the Department, Division of Home and Community Services, Office of Community Care Services. The Provider or CCU must immediately follow-up on any such allegation and provide a written report to the Division of Home and Community

Services, within five (5) work days of the incident. When a participant death or injury resulting in the need for medical care occurs during the provision of CCP services, the Provider must notify the CCU and the Division of Home and Community Services within 5 work days of the incident. Upon notification from the Provider of an incident, the CCU must complete follow-up phone calls to the participant/authorized representative. The CCU must document these follow-up contacts and submit documentation to the Division of Home and Community Services within 10 work days of the incident. Upon receipt of injury and/or death reports from the Provider and CCU, Division of Home and Community Services staff will maintain follow-up communication with both agencies as long as pertinent activity either exists or is required.

When a Provider or CCU receives a complaint and/or problematic issue, they are to mutually attempt resolution. Complaints and/or problematic issues that are not able to be resolved may be documented on the Service Improvement Program Reporting Form (SIP) and faxed or mailed to the Department's Senior HelpLine within two (2) calendar days. SIPs are to be either resolved or a plan for resolution must be developed within fifteen (15) calendar days from the date of the SIP report. Both the CCU and provider agency must provide the Department with a completed SIP report/response form within twenty (20) calendar days of the report to the Senior HelpLine. Department Division of Home and Community Services staff review SIP responses to assure appropriate resolutions have occurred.

Anyone may make a report of alleged abuse, neglect or financial exploitation by calling the DHS Office of Inspector General 24-hour hotline: 1-800-368-1463 (voice and TTY)

State requirements for reporting of abuse, neglect or financial exploitation of participants age 60 years and older are as follows:

The Illinois Department on Aging (ID0A) Office of Elder Rights administers the Elder Abuse, Neglect and Financial Exploitation Program (ANE), which responds to alleged abuse, neglect or financial exploitation of persons 60 years of age and older who reside in the community. The program provides investigation, intervention and follow-up services to victims. It is locally coordinated through 43 agencies designated by the Area Agencies on Aging (AAA) and IDoA. The Elder Abuse Agencies conduct investigations and work with older adults in resolving abusive situations.

Elder Abuse Hotline Numbers:

866-800-1409 (voice): available 24 hours a day, seven days a week 800-544-5304 (TTY)

Senior HelpLine number, 1-800-252-8966, during regular business hours. After-hour and weekend calls are automatically transferred to the Elder Abuse Hotline number.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plans will have similar processes and procedures in place to receive reports of critical incidents. Critical events and incidents must be reported and identified issues routed to the appropriate department within the Plan and when indicated to the investigating authority described above. The procedures will include processes for ensuring participant safety while the State authority conducts its investigation.

**e.** Responsibility for Oversight of Critical Incidents and Events. Identify the State agency (or agencies) responsible for overseeing the reporting of and response to critical incidents or events that affect waiver participants, how this oversight is conducted, and how frequently.

For the OA, IDoA oversees the reporting and response of all critical incidents and complaints. IDoA tracks this through its CCP Event Report system. IDoA reviews information about all critical event reports and activities at least quarterly. For some individual circumstances, the IDoA may be working with ANE or the CCU to resolve the issue

All critical event data are integrated into the quarterly management reports used by IDoA and HFS to monitor system performance and remediate problems. CCUs and care coordinators will receive information in their quarterly performance reports about critical events involving CCP recipients for whom they are responsible. IDoA and the CCUs also review statewide and regional performance at quarterly meetings.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plans will maintain an internal reporting system for tracking the reporting and response to critical incidents, and analysis of the event to determine whether individual or systemic changes are needed. Critical incident reporting will be included in the reporting requirements to the MA. The MA monitors both

compliance of performance measures and timeliness of remediation for those waiver participants enrolled in an MCO. Participants in MCOs are included in the representative sampling.

## **Appendix G: Participant Safeguards**

# **Appendix G-2: Safeguards Concerning Restraints and Restrictive Interventions** (1 of 3)

- **a.** Use of Restraints. (Select one): (For waiver actions submitted before March 2014, responses in Appendix G-2-a will display information for both restraints and seclusion. For most waiver actions submitted after March 2014, responses regarding seclusion appear in Appendix G-2-c.)
  - The State does not permit or prohibits the use of restraints

Specify the State agency (or agencies) responsible for detecting the unauthorized use of restraints and how this oversight is conducted and its frequency:

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plans are responsible to detect the unauthorized use of restraint or seclusion. Events involving the use of restraint or seclusion would be reported to the Plan as a reportable incident, and reported to the investigating authority as indicated.

- The use of restraints is permitted during the course of the delivery of waiver services. Complete Items G-2-a-i and G-2-a-ii.
  - i. Safeguards Concerning the Use of Restraints. Specify the safeguards that the State has established concerning the use of each type of restraint (i.e., personal restraints, drugs used as restraints, mechanical restraints). State laws, regulations, and policies that are referenced are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

ii.	<b>State Oversight Responsibility.</b> Specify the State agency (or agencies) responsible for overseeing the use of restraints and ensuring that State safeguards concerning their use are followed and how such oversight is conducted and its frequency:	e

# **Appendix G: Participant Safeguards**

**Appendix G-2: Safeguards Concerning Restraints and Restrictive Interventions** (2 of 3)

- **b.** Use of Restrictive Interventions. (Select one):
  - The State does not permit or prohibits the use of restrictive interventions

Specify the State agency (or agencies) responsible for detecting the unauthorized use of restrictive interventions and how this oversight is conducted and its frequency:

IDoA and CCU agencies are responsible for detecting the unauthorized use of restrictive interventions. Their oversight includes:

- 1.CCU and care coordinator reviews of all CCP Event Reports involving the use of restrictive interventions.
- 2.IDoA will review instances of restrictive interventions reported through event reports that are outside the restraint and seclusion policy.
- 3.During Quality Improvement reviews, IDoA will review documentation of instances of restraint and seclusion to verify that restrictive interventions were not used.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Plans are responsible to detect the unauthorized use of restrictive interventions. Events involving the use of restrictive interventions would be reported to the Plan as a reportable incident, and reported to the investigating authority as indicated.

The MCOs and OA will detect unauthorized use of restraints and/or seclusion through face-to-face visits, routine contacts with the participants, and possibly through complaint or incident reporting. The case managers will be responsible for the overseeing the waiver participants and assuring their health, safety, and welfare.

	will be	e responsible for the overseeing the waiver participants and assuring their health, safety, and welfare.
	The us	se of restrictive interventions is permitted during the course of the delivery of waiver services
	Compl	lete Items G-2-b-i and G-2-b-ii.
	i.	<b>Safeguards Concerning the Use of Restrictive Interventions.</b> Specify the safeguards that the State has in effect concerning the use of interventions that restrict participant movement, participant access to other individuals, locations or activities, restrict participant rights or employ aversive methods (not including restraints or seclusion) to modify behavior. State laws, regulations, and policies referenced in the specification are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency.
	ii.	State Oversight Responsibility. Specify the State agency (or agencies) responsible for monitoring and overseeing the use of restrictive interventions and how this oversight is conducted and its frequency:
haaad	: C.	Doution ont Cofoguands
Append		Participant Safeguards
	(3 of	pendix G-2: Safeguards Concerning Restraints and Restrictive Interventions
	(0 01	
WN		<b>usion.</b> (Select one): (This section will be blank for waivers submitted before Appendix G-2-c was added to arch 2014, and responses for seclusion will display in Appendix G-2-a combined with information on
	The St	tate does not permit or prohibits the use of seclusion
		y the State agency (or agencies) responsible for detecting the unauthorized use of seclusion and how this ght is conducted and its frequency:
		se of seclusion is permitted during the course of the delivery of waiver services. Complete Items G-2-c-G-2-c-ii.
	i.	<b>Safeguards Concerning the Use of Seclusion.</b> Specify the safeguards that the State has established concerning the use of each type of seclusion. State laws, regulations, and policies that are referenced are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).
	ii.	State Oversight Responsibility. Specify the State agency (or agencies) responsible for overseeing the use of seclusion and ensuring that State safeguards concerning their use are followed and how such oversight is conducted and its frequency:

# **Appendix G: Participant Safeguards**

# **Appendix G-3: Medication Management and Administration (1 of 2)**

This Appendix must be completed when waiver services are furnished to participants who are served in licensed or unlicensed living arrangements where a provider has round-the-clock responsibility for the health and welfare of residents. The Appendix does not need to be completed when waiver participants are served exclusively in their own personal residences or in the home of a family member.

residences or	in the home of a family member.
a. Applio	cability. Select one:
	o. This Appendix is not applicable (do not complete the remaining items)
○ Y	es. This Appendix applies (complete the remaining items)
b. Medic	ation Management and Follow-Up
i.	<b>Responsibility.</b> Specify the entity (or entities) that have ongoing responsibility for monitoring participant medication regimens, the methods for conducting monitoring, and the frequency of monitoring.
ii.	Methods of State Oversight and Follow-Up. Describe: (a) the method(s) that the State uses to ensure that participant medications are managed appropriately, including: (a) the identification of potentially harmful practices (e.g., the concurrent use of contraindicated medications); (b) the method(s) for following up on potentially harmful practices; and, (c) the State agency (or agencies) that is responsible for follow-up and oversight.
Appendix	G: Participant Safeguards
	Appendix G-3: Medication Management and Administration (2 of 2)
c. Medic	eation Administration by Waiver Providers
	nswers provided in G-3-a indicate you do not need to complete this section
<del></del>	Provider Administration of Medications. Select one:
	Not applicable. (do not complete the remaining items)
	Waiver providers are responsible for the administration of medications to waiver participants who cannot self-administer and/or have responsibility to oversee participant self-administration of medications. (complete the remaining items)
ii.	<b>State Policy.</b> Summarize the State policies that apply to the administration of medications by waiver provide or waiver provider responsibilities when participants self-administer medications, including (if applicable) policies concerning medication administration by non-medical waiver provider personnel. State laws, regulations, and policies referenced in the specification are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).
iii.	Medication Error Reporting. Select one of the following:

Providers that are responsible for medication administration are required to both record and

report medication errors to a State agency (or agencies).

Complete the following three items:

(a) Specify State agency (or agencies) to which errors are reported:

(b) Spe	cify the types of medication errors that providers are required to record:
(c) Spe	cify the types of medication errors that providers must <i>report</i> to the State:
make i	ers responsible for medication administration are required to record medication error information about medication errors available only when requested by the State.
make i	nformation about medication errors available only when requested by the State.

# **Appendix G: Participant Safeguards**

iv.

## **Quality Improvement: Health and Welfare**

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

#### a. Methods for Discovery: Health and Welfare

The state demonstrates it has designed and implemented an effective system for assuring waiver participant health and welfare. (For waiver actions submitted before June 1, 2014, this assurance read "The State, on an ongoing basis, identifies, addresses, and seeks to prevent the occurrence of abuse, neglect and exploitation.")

- i. Sub-Assurances:
  - a. Sub-assurance: The state demonstrates on an ongoing basis that it identifies, addresses and seeks to prevent instances of abuse, neglect, exploitation and unexplained death. (Performance measures in this sub-assurance include all Appendix G performance measures for waiver actions submitted before June 1, 2014.)

#### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

#### **Performance Measure:**

33G: 100% of CCP participants having a reported abuse or neglect report will receive a CCU or MCO review within State required timelines as a way to assure the health, safety, and welfare of the participant.

**Data Source** (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specify: **CCP Event Report Responsible Party for** Frequency of data **Sampling Approach** data collection/generation (check each that applies): collection/generation (check each that applies): (check each that applies): **State Medicaid** Weekly **▼ 100% Review** Agency **Operating Agency** Monthly Less than 100% Review **Sub-State Entity** Quarterly Representative Sample Confidence Interval = Other Annually Stratified Specify: Describe Group: Continuously and Other **Ongoing** Specify: Other Specify: Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specify: **MCO Reports; EQRO Reviews Responsible Party for** Frequency of data Sampling Approach data collection/generation (check each that applies): collection/generation (check each that applies): (check each that applies):

Weekly

Monthly

**V** 100% Review

Review

Less than 100%

State Medicaid

**Operating Agency** 

Agency

Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: MCO/EQRO	Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	✓ Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b> ▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: MCO	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

**Performance Measure:** 

34G: Complaints reported using the CCP Event Document or the MCO critical events reporting system are resolved by the care coordinator within the required fourteen day period unless the CCU or MCO provides rationale for why remediation will take longer.

**Data Source** (Select one): **Record reviews, on-site** If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>V</b> 100% Review
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
<b>Sub-State Entity</b>	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b>Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	
Data Source (Select one): Other If 'Other' is selected, specify: MCO Reports		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>V</b> 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =

Other Specify: MCO	<b>Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:		
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):	
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	
<b>Operating Agency</b>	<b>Monthly</b>	
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>	
<b>Other</b>	Annually	
Specify: MCO		
	Continuously and Ongoing	
	Other	
	Specify:	

**Performance Measure:** 

35G: Providers comply with background check requirements for all direct service employees.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Staff training tracking system

stan training tracking system		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly	

		Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):	
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly	
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>	
Other Specify:	<b>Annually</b>	
	Continuously and Ongoing	
	Other Specify:	

**Performance Measure:** 

 $36G\colon Participants$  indicate a positive response (average score of at least 4) when asked about security.

Data Source (Select one):

### Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

## **POSM**

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100% Review</b>
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
<b>V</b> Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b> Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

MCO Reports: POSM Surv

MCO Reports; POSM Survey		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>▼ 100% Review</b>
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =

Other Specify: MCO	<b>Annually</b>	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b> ✓</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>
Other Specify: MCO	<b>Annually</b>
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

**Performance Measure:** 

37G: Participants indicate a positive response (average score of at least 4) when asked about privacy.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

**POSM** 

data	collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
	Weekly	<b>₩</b> 100% Review

State Medicaid Agency Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100%
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Review  Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b>✓</b> Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

MCO Reports/POSM Survey		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: MCO	✓ Annually	Stratified Describe Group:

Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
Weekly
Monthly
<b>Quarterly</b>
Annually
Continuously and Ongoing
Other Specify:

**Performance Measure:** 

38G: Participants indicate a positive response (average score of at least 4) when asked about being treated with dignity and respect.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, on-site

If 'Other' is selected specify

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
<b>V</b> Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample

		Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b></b> Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

MCO Reports/POSM Survey

MCO Reports/POSM Sur	vey	
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b>V</b> Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: MCO	✓ Annually	Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:

	Specify		
'			•
Data Aggregation and Ana	alvsis:		
Responsible Party for dat aggregation and analysis (that applies):	a		f data aggregation and ck each that applies):
<b> ✓</b> State Medicaid Agend	cy	Weekly	
<b>Operating Agency</b>		Monthly	y
Sub-State Entity		Quarter	·ly
<b>Other</b>		Annual	ly
Specify: MCO			
		Continu	ously and Ongoing
		Other	
		Specify:	: 
			-
39G: # and % of participa how and to whom to repor assessment/reassessment. I participant received inforr report A/N/E at the time o participant records review	t abuse, negl N: # of partion nation from f assessment	lect, exploitat cipant records the MCO abo	ion at the time of s reviewed where the out how and to whom to
Data Source (Select one): Other			
If 'Other' is selected, specify MCO Reports; EQRO Re			
Responsible Party for data	Frequency of collection/go		<b>Sampling Approach</b> (check each that applies):
collection/generation (check each that applies):	0	that applies):	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	,	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthl	y	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarte</b>	rly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = +/-5%
Other Specify:	Annual	ly	Stratified

EQRO/MCO		Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Data Aggregation and Analysis:		
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):	
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	
Operating Agency	Monthly	
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>	
Other	✓ Annually	
Specify: MCO		
	Continuously and Ongoing	
	Other	
	Specify:	

### **Performance Measure:**

40G:# and % of participants' deaths as a result of substantiated case of abuse, neglect or exploitation where appropriate follow-up actions were implemented by the MCO. N:# of deaths as a result of a substantiated case of A/N/E where appropriate follow-up actions were implemented by the MCO. D:Total # of MCO deaths as a result of a substantiated case of A/N/E.

**Data Source** (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

**MCO Reports** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>▼ 100% Review</b>
Operating Agency	Monthly	

		Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: MCO	Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	▼ Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>V</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: MCO	<b>Annually</b>
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

#### **Performance Measure:**

41G: # and % of restraint applications, seclusion, or other restrictive interventions where appropriate intervention by the MCO occurred. N:# of restraint applications, seclusion, or other restrictive interventions where appropriate intervention by the MCO occurred. D:Total # of MCO restraint applications, seclusion, or other restrictive intervention.

Data Source (Select one):

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Other

**MCO Reports Responsible Party for** Frequency of data **Sampling Approach** data collection/generation (check each that applies): collection/generation (check each that applies): (check each that applies): **State Medicaid 7 7 7 7 7 100 % Review** Weekly Agency **Operating Agency** Monthly Less than 100% Review **Sub-State Entity** Quarterly Representative Sample Confidence Interval = Other **Annually** Stratified Specify: Describe **MCO** Group: Continuously and Other **Ongoing** Specify: Other Specify: **Data Aggregation and Analysis:** Responsible Party for data Frequency of data aggregation and aggregation and analysis (check each **analysis**(check each that applies): that applies): Weekly **State Medicaid Agency Operating Agency Monthly Sub-State Entity** Quarterly

Annually

**Continuously and Ongoing** 

**✓** Other

Specify: MCO

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
	Other Specify:

### **Performance Measure:**

42G: # and % of participants for whom identified critical incidents other than A/N/E were reviewed and corrective measures were appropriately taken by the MCO. N:# of participants for whom identified critical incidents other than A/N/E were reviewed and corrective measures were appropriately taken by the MCO. D:Total # of MCO participants for whom identified critical incidents were reviewed.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>V</b> 100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: MCO	Annually	Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:	
Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>
Other Specify:	<b>Annually</b>
MCO	
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other
	Specify:

b. Sub-assurance: The state demonstrates that an incident management system is in place that effectively resolves those incidents and prevents further similar incidents to the extent possible.

#### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

c. Sub-assurance: The state policies and procedures for the use or prohibition of restrictive interventions (including restraints and seclusion) are followed.

#### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

d. Sub-assurance: The state establishes overall health care standards and monitors those standards based on the responsibility of the service provider as stated in the approved waiver.

#### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

IDoA has a three-prong approach to address health and welfare issues.

First, the state obtains a direct report of potential issues affecting health and safety from the participants. For individual waiver participants, the care coordinator completes an initial and annual assessment to determine needs of the participant (see Service Planning). This process includes using the DON to identify unmet needs and administering the POSM, a participant survey tool. Some of the POSM questions pertain to the individual's perceptions of safety, privacy, and respectful treatment. The care coordinator addresses problems identified during the assessment process or survey administration either as a service planning issue or a CCP event report. IDoA tracks information from the assessment and event reports through its eCCPIS and CCP Event Report systems. Care coordinators are required to follow up with any participants reporting that they did not feel safe, that their privacy is not respected, or they are not treated with respect. Care coordinators supervisors monitor the care coordinators performance in these areas. IDoA also monitors the performance of the CCUs.

Second, it screens out individuals with criminal backgrounds who seek employment with providers. Provider staff, in addition to meeting educational and training requirements for a job, must undergo a background check as part of the conditions of employment. Providers are responsible to complete the background check, maintain information in the employee file, and enter verification in the training tracking database. IDoA audits for compliance with this requirement when completing quarterly management reports, during the annual provider audit, and the documentation is verified during the onsite reviews.

Finally, it maintains a system to intervene and remediate reported incidents and complaints. IDoA maintains a CCP Event Report system to deal with critical incidents or complaints involving CCP participants. A critical incident includes a range of defined events that negatively impact the health and welfare of a waiver participant. These events are classified within one of four levels of intensity, depending on the nature of the incident and the level of risk posed. A complaint includes any oral or written communication by the participant or other interested party expressing dissatisfaction with the operation or provision of service, service quality, service staff, or a failure to provide/offer services. Definitions used for the CCP Event Reports can be found at https://www.aging.state.il.us/waiver/.

Any person can report a critical incident or make a complaint by contacting the State's Senior Helpline, a CCU, or a provider. The State uses a CCP Event system to record information. Providers, CCUs and the Senior Helpline can enter data. After a CCP event is reported, the CCU receives notice and is responsible to review each incident or complaint. If the report includes suspected abuse, neglect, or exploitation, The State's Abuse Neglect and Exploitation agency (ANE) is immediately notified so that it may begin its investigation as required by Illinois Elder Rights regulations.

The State has developed a protocol to deal with CCP reports of critical incidents and complaints. The protocol defines timelines, notification requirements, referrals, and follow up steps. All critical incidents and complaints must be resolved within State set timelines, unless there are documented circumstances that preclude a resolution within this timeline. If resolution is not immediately forthcoming, the CCU is responsible to continue to ensure the health and welfare of the individual during this time.

The Medicaid agency, HFS, will conduct routine programmatic and fiscal monitoring for both the OA and the MCOs.

For those functions delegated to the OA and the MCOs, the MA is responsible for oversight and monitoring

to assure compliance with federal assurances and performance measures. The MA monitors both compliance levels and timeliness of remediation by the OA and MCOs.

The MA's sampling methodology is based on a statistically valid sampling methodology that pulls proportionate samples from the OA and the enrolled MCOs. The proportionate sampling methodology uses a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error. The MA will pull the sample annually and adjust the methodology as additional MCOs are enrolled to provide long term services and supports. For critical incidents, the MCOs are required to report 100% of the findings and remediation. These reports will be summarized by the Plans and reported at least quarterly to the MA.

For the OA, reviews include compliance with employee background checks. Prior to and during onsite provider reviews, HFS reviews related critical event reports.

For those functions delegated to the MCO, the MA is responsible for discovery. MCOs are required to submit quarterly reports, using the format required by the MA, on specific Performance Measures (PMs), which are specified in HFS' contracts with Integrated Care Program MCOs that provide waiver services. Contracts specify numerators, denominators, sampling approaches, data sources, etc. Through its contract with the EQRO, the MA monitors both compliance of PMs and timeliness of remediation for those waiver participants enrolled in an MCO through consumer surveys and quarterly record reviews. Participants in MCOs are included in the representative sampling.

#### b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

- i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.
  - 33G: The Case Manager to perform a review on all participants having a A/N/E report which did not receive a review within State required timelines. The OA/MCO may also provide training to case managers. Remediation must be completed within 30 days.
  - 34G: OA Care Coordinator/MCO Case Manager to provide rationale for complaints not resolved in 14 days. Remediation will be completed within 30 days.
  - 35G: Remove as a Medicaid provider in MMIS and request the respective provider background check. Change of provider; Training for OA case managers. Remediation within 60 days.
  - 36G: The OA and MCO case managers will follow up on non-favorable surveys. Resolution or remediation will be based on the nature of the concern. Anonymous survey responses will be used to identify need for system improvement.
  - 37G: The OA and MCO case managers will follow up on non-favorable surveys. Resolution or remediation will be based on the nature of the concern. Anonymous survey responses will be used to identify need for system improvement.
  - 38G: The OA and MCO case managers will follow up on non-favorable surveys. Resolution or remediation will be based on the nature of the concern. Anonymous survey responses will be used to identify need for system improvement.
  - 39G: The MCO will assure that customers know how to report abuse, neglect or exploitation. This will be demonstrated by correction of case work documentation reflecting customers awareness, including evidence of steps taken to educate the customer. Remediation must be completed within 30 days.
  - 40G: The cause of death/circumstances would be reviewed by the MCO and need for training or other remediation; including sanction or termination of provider, would be determined based on circumstances and identified trends and patterns. Resolution or remediation timeframe would be case-specific.
  - 41G: Restraint applications, seclusion, or other restrictive interventions will be reviewed by the MCO. The need for training or other remediation; including sanction or termination of provider, would be determined based on circumstances and identified trends and patterns. Resolution or remediation timeframe would be case-specific.
  - 42G: The MCO will follow up on identified critical incidents, other than A/N/E, to ensure information was

reviewed and corrective measures were appropriately taken. Resolution or remediation will be based on the nature of the concern. Survey responses will be used to identify need for system improvement.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

<b>Responsible Party</b> (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: MCO	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Damadiation related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trand identification)

#### c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Health and Welfare that are currently non-operational.

<b>3</b> . T
No

Yes

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Health and Welfare, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.

IDoA will be implementing the POSM during Year 1 of the waiver renewal. Care coordinators will administer the POSM during assessment and reassessment visits with individual participants. Survey data will be entered into a new statewide database and information will be reviewed quarterly by IDoA.

During Year 2 of the waiver renewal, IDoA will be implementing the Staff Training Tracking system. This system is a web based system in which information about individual staff, including verification of background checks, will be entered. IDoA will use this database to create regular management reports and monitor compliance with standards and regulations.

Finally, IDoA is updating its critical incident and complaint reporting system. This is now called the Event Report system. This updated system will be implemented during Year 1 of the waiver renewal.

### **Appendix H: Quality Improvement Strategy (1 of 2)**

Under §1915(c) of the Social Security Act and 42 CFR §441.302, the approval of an HCBS waiver requires that CMS determine that the State has made satisfactory assurances concerning the protection of participant health and welfare, financial accountability and other elements of waiver operations. Renewal of an existing waiver is contingent upon review by CMS and a finding by CMS that the assurances have been met. By completing the HCBS waiver application, the State specifies how it has designed the waiver's critical processes, structures and operational features in order to meet these assurances.

Quality Improvement is a critical operational feature that an organization employs to continually determine whether it operates in accordance with the approved design of its program, meets statutory and regulatory assurances and requirements, achieves desired outcomes, and identifies opportunities for improvement.

CMS recognizes that a state's waiver Quality Improvement Strategy may vary depending on the nature of the waiver target population, the services offered, and the waiver's relationship to other public programs, and will extend beyond regulatory

requirements. However, for the purpose of this application, the State is expected to have, at the minimum, systems in place to measure and improve its own performance in meeting six specific waiver assurances and requirements.

It may be more efficient and effective for a Quality Improvement Strategy to span multiple waivers and other long-term care services. CMS recognizes the value of this approach and will ask the state to identify other waiver programs and long-term care services that are addressed in the Quality Improvement Strategy.

#### **Quality Improvement Strategy: Minimum Components**

The Quality Improvement Strategy that will be in effect during the period of the approved waiver is described throughout the waiver in the appendices corresponding to the statutory assurances and sub-assurances. Other documents cited must be available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if appropriate).

In the QIS discovery and remediation sections throughout the application (located in Appendices A, B, C, D, G, and I), a state spells out:

- The evidence based discovery activities that will be conducted for each of the six major waiver assurances;
- The *remediation* activities followed to correct individual problems identified in the implementation of each of the assurances;

In Appendix H of the application, a State describes (1) the *system improvement* activities followed in response to aggregated, analyzed discovery and remediation information collected on each of the assurances; (2) the correspondent *roles/responsibilities* of those conducting assessing and prioritizing improving system corrections and improvements; and (3) the processes the state will follow to continuously *assess the effectiveness of the OIS* and revise it as necessary and appropriate.

If the State's Quality Improvement Strategy is not fully developed at the time the waiver application is submitted, the state may provide a work plan to fully develop its Quality Improvement Strategy, including the specific tasks the State plans to undertake during the period the waiver is in effect, the major milestones associated with these tasks, and the entity (or entities) responsible for the completion of these tasks.

When the Quality Improvement Strategy spans more than one waiver and/or other types of long-term care services under the Medicaid State plan, specify the control numbers for the other waiver programs and/or identify the other long-term services that are addressed in the Quality Improvement Strategy. In instances when the QIS spans more than one waiver, the State must be able to stratify information that is related to each approved waiver program. Unless the State has requested and received approval from CMS for the consolidation of multiple waivers for the purpose of reporting, then the State must stratify information that is related to each approved waiver program, i.e., employ a representative sample for each waiver.

## **Appendix H: Quality Improvement Strategy (2 of 2)**

### H-1: Systems Improvement

#### a. System Improvements

**i.** Describe the process(es) for trending, prioritizing, and implementing system improvements (i.e., design changes) prompted as a result of an analysis of discovery and remediation information.

The Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services, as the Single State Medicaid Agency (MA), and the Illinois Department on Aging, as the Operating Agency (OA), and the contracted Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) will work in partnership to evaluate the waiver Quality Management System (QMS) and to analyze the information derived from discovery and remediation activities for each of the federal assurances.

For the OA, the IDoA and HFS management reports track changes in performance measures over time. This includes tracking changes across the entire state as well as by region and provider type. This helps to identify problematic areas and potential best practices. IDoA aggregates information and generates these reports on a quarterly basis. IDoA is being held accountable for the performance measures that were approved with the waiver renewal effective 10/01/09. While the MCOs, will also be responsible for the 10/01/09 performance measures, HFS will require MCO compliance with additional measures, as indicated throughout the waiver.

For the OA, the state takes a multi-phased and multilevel approach to using management reports to improve the overall system. Because changes in the performance indicator may be explained by an external factor that

would not require remediation (e.g., better targeting of individuals with greater impairment than may have an adverse impact on some of the performance indicators), the first step is to investigate to try to determine if an actual problem exists. The second step is to formulate potential interventions that may remediate the problem. The third step is to roll out those interventions, possibly on a pilot basis. The final step is to track changes using the original performance indicators to assess the impact of intervention.

Because the state's system between HFS and the OA is hierarchical in which HFS oversees IDoA, which oversees the individual CCUs, which oversee the individual care coordinators, the process described above must be multilevel. Thus, the state's quality management system includes regular and structured oversight meetings to facilitate communication, investigation, and problem solving across the levels. Each CCU is required to have at least monthly quality management meetings with their individual care coordinators. IDoA is meeting with the CCUs as a whole on a quarterly basis, as well as, reviewing the performance of individual CCUs on a quarterly basis and meeting with them on at least an annual basis (and more often if performance is problematic). IDoA and HFS meet on at least a quarterly basis.

The OA and MCO's are responsible for the majority of the data collection to address the Quality Management System discovery and remediation activities. The OA is solely responsible for eligibility and authorizing qualified providers. Therefore, there are distinct performance measures for these functions under the OA. Both the OA and the MCOs are accountable for all other measures. The MA is accountable for the measures in the Administrative Authority appendix. Additional measures have been added under the Administrative Authority appendix that are specific to oversight of the MCOs. The State's system improvement activities are in response to aggregated and analyzed discovery and remediation data collected on each of the waiver performance measures.

On a quarterly basis, the MA will conduct separate Quality Management Committee (QMC) meetings with the OA and the MCOs to review data collected from the previous quarter and for the year to date. Data to be collected semi-annually or annually will be reported as indicated by the performance measure in the waiver. All reports will be provided to MA for review prior to the quarterly meetings. Annual reports will be produced identifying trends based on the representative sample and/or 100% review of data.

Data will be reported by individual performance measures. Data to be reported will include: level of compliance and timeliness of remediation based on immediate, 30, 60, 90 day increments and any outstanding remediation. The MCOs may report in a slightly different format than the OA as there will be additional performance measures. The reason for this is because, as stated above, the OA is being held to the approved measures from the 2009 waiver renewal.

During quarterly meetings, the MA and the OA or MCO will identify trends based on scope, severity, changes and patterns of compliance by reviewing both the levels of compliance with the performance measures and remediation activities conducted by the OA and the MCOs. Identified trends will be discussed and analyzed regarding cause, contributing factors and opportunities for system improvement. Systems improvement will be prioritized based on the overall impact to the participants and the program. Systems improvements may be prioritized based on factors such as: the impact on the health and welfare of waiver participants, legislative considerations and fiscal considerations. The OA and the MCOs will maintain separate QMC Systems Improvement Logs. Recommendations for system improvements will be added to the log(s) for tracking purposes. The OA and the MCOs will document the systems improvement implementation activities on its respective log. The MA will assure that the recommendations are followed through to completion. Decisions and time lines for system improvement will be made based on consensus of priority and specific steps needed to accomplish change. These decisions will be documented on the systems improvement log and will be communicated through the sharing of the quarterly meeting summary and the systems improvement log.

ii. System Improvement Activities

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of Monitoring and Analysis(check each that applies):
<b> ✓</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	<b>Monthly</b>
<b>V</b> Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of Monitoring and Analysis(check each that applies):
<b>Quality Improvement Committee</b>	<b>✓</b> Annually
Other Specify:	Other Specify:

#### b. System Design Changes

i. Describe the process for monitoring and analyzing the effectiveness of system design changes. Include a description of the various roles and responsibilities involved in the processes for monitoring & assessing system design changes. If applicable, include the State's targeted standards for systems improvement.

For the OA, the state will use the same mechanisms that it uses to identify potential issues to monitor the effectiveness of any interventions. Thus, the state will track changes in the performance indicators using the management reports.

In the OA section above, the roles of the Medicaid agency, IDoA, the individual CCUs, and the care coordinators are described. For both the OA and MCOs, participant input also plays a central role in the quality management system as follows: 1) Participants perception of the quality of their services using constructs that are meaningful to participants (e.g., integration in the community, dignity, respect, etc.) as gathered through the POSM are used as a central performance indicators. This provides IDoA and the MCOs with the direct feedback loop about the effect of potential interventions on the quality of life for individual participants; and 2) care coordinators share reports with participants and their representatives about how their experience compares to that of other consumers across the state.

An example of how this system will work is as follows: IDoA, the MCOs and HFS will monitor trends in participants reports of opportunities for community integration using data gathered from the POSM. The entities may notice the very low scores for many providers, but high scores for a few providers. This will lead IDoA to query the individual care coordinators about provider practices that may explain this discrepancy. The entities could then use best practices that are identified as a core component of training for poor performing providers. IDoA may even collaborate with providers who appear to be performing well on this training. IDoA will then track the performance of providers who receive this training to assess the efficacy of the intervention.

In the OA waiver quality plan, the State is implementing a number of new efforts to address its ability to improve quality. These include:

- 1) Updated performance measures in each of the waiver areas,
- 2) Redesigned management reports to be used on a quarterly basis,
- 3) Updated CCP Event Report system and clearer delineation of critical incident definitions and follow up procedures,
- 4) Implementation of training tracking system and a new case note system, and
- 5) Implementation of two participant survey processes, both of which have been tested for validity and reliability.

IDoA meets with the Community Care Program Advisory Committee (CCPAC) six times a year to present information about the waiver and receive input from providers and participant representatives. The CCPAC has several work groups, including a quality committee.

The processes Illinois follows to continuously evaluate, as appropriate, effectiveness of the QMS are the same as the processes to evaluate the information derived from discovery and remediation activities. The Waiver Quality Management Committee (QMC) System Improvement Log is a dynamic product that is discussed quarterly by key staff of the MA and the OA or MCO regarding progress, updates and evaluation of effectiveness. Effectiveness is measured by impact on performance based on ongoing data collection over time, feedback from participant/guardian interviews, satisfaction surveys, and service providers. Multiple years of data collection will allow the State to evaluate the effectiveness of system improvements over time.

System design changes may be specific to the OA, the MCOs, or both. Meeting with all parties annually will provide an arena to see the system holistically and determine how well the system design changes are working and what areas need further improvement. Decisions that are made as a result of these meetings will be tracked on the QMC Systems Improvement Log.

ii. Describe the process to periodically evaluate, as appropriate, the Quality Improvement Strategy.

During the quarterly meetings with the OA, IDoA and HFS will review waiver services, management reports, and the Quality Improvement Strategy. Staff will discuss updates that both Departments will need to address in the future. IDOA will also seek input from advisory groups on improvements and/or changes to the Quality Improvement Strategy. IDOA will continually address issues as they arise and will implement changes to performance indicators as needs are identified.

One QMC meeting a year will be a combined meeting where the MA, the OA, and the MCOs will meet and discuss statewide issues impacting the waiver. During this annual meeting, the OA and the MCOs will provide an overview of the previous year's activities and a discussion of whether changes are needed to the Quality Management Strategy. There will be five primary focus areas: These areas are described below. 1)Structure of the QMC: The group will review the structure of the QMC to determine if it is effective. 2)Trend Analysis: The group will evaluate the processes for identifying trends and patterns to assure that issues are being identified.

- 3)Systems Improvement Log: The group will review the QMC Systems Improvement Log to assure that all recommendations have been implemented in accordance with agreed upon time lines, and if not, whether there is justification.
- 4)System Improvement Priorities: The methods for determining system improvement priorities will be evaluated to determine its effectiveness.
- 5)Performance Measures: The entities will determine whether to make changes in existing performance measures, add measures, or discontinue measures. Other elements of performance measures will also be reviewed for effectiveness, including: the frequency of data collection, source of data, sampling methodology, and remediation.

The State will continually strive to increase the compliance rate of each performance measure. While the target compliance rate for each performance measure is 100%, the State realizes that it may take multiple system changes over several years to reach the goal of 100% compliance.

## **Appendix I: Financial Accountability**

### I-1: Financial Integrity and Accountability

**Financial Integrity.** Describe the methods that are employed to ensure the integrity of payments that have been made for waiver services, including: (a) requirements concerning the independent audit of provider agencies; (b) the financial audit program that the state conducts to ensure the integrity of provider billings for Medicaid payment of waiver services, including the methods, scope and frequency of audits; and, (c) the agency (or agencies) responsible for conducting the financial audit program. State laws, regulations, and policies referenced in the description are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

(a) Requirements concerning the independent audit of provider agencies;

Independent audits of in-home service provider agencies are required by rule 240.1525(b)(1)-(2). The audits must be conducted annually by an independent Certified Public Accountant and submitted to IDoA for review. Staff in the Bureau of Business Services review the audits and ensure each agency required to complete an audit have done so. Any deficiencies or lack of submitted audit(s) are reported to the Office of Community Care Services who initiate corrective and/or contract action on the provider agency until such time as the deficiency is corrected.

- 30 ILCS 5/3 specifies the jurisdiction of the Auditor General and section 3-2 identifies the mandatory post audits. In conjunction with HFS' portion of the Statewide Single Audit, a sample of provider billings for Medicaid payments, that may include billings for Medicaid payments for waiver services, are reviewed. The Illinois Office of the Auditor General is responsible for conducting the financial audit program.
- (b) The financial audit program that the state conducts to ensure the integrity of provider billings for Medicaid payment of waiver services, including the methods, scope and frequency of audits;

IDoA and HFS work cooperatively to review rates and provider claims. HFS implements procedures that provide

assurance that claims will be coded and paid in accordance with the reimbursement methodology specified in the waiver.

IDoA also has mechanisms in place to ensure that provider agency and CCU billings are coded and reimbursed accurately. The process begins after the Level of Care (LOC) determination is completed. The CCU enters information collected from the assessment into CMIS, a relational computerized database. Numerous edits are performed in CMIS that will not allow a CCU to approve a participant to receive CCP services without eligibility criteria being complete and accurate. CMIS will not allow the CCU to process information when the participant's date of birth indicates the participant is under 60 years of age, and thus not eligible for services through the waiver. A participant cannot be authorized to receive services if the participant has not scored the minimum 29 points on the DON. Additionally, the CCU cannot authorize more CCP services than that allowed by the service maximum related to the DON score. The data collected in CMIS creates a Case Authorization Transaction (CAT) that is transmitted to IDoA by the CCU.

Once the CAT is sent to IDoA, further edits of the data are performed. CATs can be rejected by IDoA's computer system for a multitude of reasons. The contract numbers for both the CCU and any provider agency authorized are checked against IDoA's file of contract information. If any of the contract numbers are incorrect or not valid for the time period, the CAT will be rejected. IDoA Information Technology and Business Services staff review and update the contract number tables frequently to assure the information is correct.

Edits are also performed based on the type of CAT assessment the CCU has generated. For example, certain information is required for data when the individual will be a CCP participant that is different than a CAT generated when an applicant was denied CCP services. This ensures that all information required to pay a CCP provider is accurate and complete.

Other edits that ensure appropriate billing is submitted by the provider agency include that the CCU cannot authorize CCP services prior to the application date, prior to the date the CCU determined the participant eligible for CCP, or prior to the initial service date of which the provider agency informs the CCU.

Once the CAT has been accepted in IDoA's system for a CCP participant, only the provider agency on the CAT will be authorized to bill beginning after the initial service date. Extensive edits are also conducted at the time of the provider agency's billing. An agency cannot bill for any services that were not authorized by the CCU on the CAT. For example, an ADS cannot bill for transportation if it was not authorized on the CAT; nor can the ADS bill for transportation in a month in which ADS services were not provided.

Provider agencies submit billing to IDoA by either uploading a file from their local computer or entering the data directly on the eCCPIS Internet web pages. If uploading a file from the computer, billing claims will reject for a number of reasons including: if the participant information is not in IDoA's system, the provider contract number is not accurate or current or for an invalid provider service code.

Another safeguard for all provider billings is that the payment will be rejected if the billing was previously submitted. Once a CCU bills for a particular assessment, that assessment will no longer appear as viable to be billed. Provider agencies cannot bill over the authorized number of units for a month of service. Additionally, if one provider agency has already billed for a given month and the participant has switched providers during that month, and the second provider agency attempts to bill for service that exceeds their portion of the month, the eCCPIS will verify that the second provider agency is authorized, and will also reject the billing if that agency bills more than is allowable based on the DON score and the billing by the first agency.

The Medicaid Agency has implemented oversight procedures that provide increased assurance that claims are coded and paid in accordance with the reimbursement methodology specified in the waiver. These processes enable staff to monitor the financial aspects of the waiver for Persons who are Elderly from a global perspective, rather than review a sample of paid claims. The Medicaid Agency determined that reviewing a sample of paid claims was of limited effectiveness and would not likely disclose problematic billings, patterns and/or trends.

The Medicaid Agency staff utilizes its Data Warehouse query capability to analyze the entire dataset of paid waiver claims. The Medicaid Agency utilizes an exception report and review format as a component of the agency's financial accountability activity. Agency staff have constructed database queries that encompass waiver eligibility, coding and payment criteria. Based on these criteria, twice a year the Medicaid Agency conducts analysis of all paid claims and only the claims that were not paid in accordance with set parameters are identified and extracted. The identified exceptions are printed out with all relevant service data. Current exception reports identify paid claims for waiver services to participants who were in a nursing home or who are deceased. In addition to the exception reviews of waiver claims, Medicaid Agency staff conduct targeted reviews of individual waiver services, utilization of waiver

services by individual recipient and billing trends and patterns of providers. These reviews are usually conducted on an impromptu basis.

The results of all financial reviews are presented to IDoA personnel under cover memos with supporting claim detail. IDoA advises the Medicaid Agency of corrective actions taken, including adjustments, for all service claims identified by the reviews that were not paid in accordance with defined parameters.

In addition to the HFS post-review and the IDoA edits described above, IDoA also has staff review Hours of Service Calendars (HOSCs) for a sample of participants during Quality Improvement reviews of ADS and homemaker provider agencies. HOSCs are checked for accuracy of completion including: signatures of the participant, worker, and supervisor and accurate total number of units. IDoA staff also compare the number of units on the HOSC to the amount of units billed by the provider agency for that month.

IDoA continuously implements enhancements to the eCCPIS in order to assist CCUs and provider agencies with billing processes. The IDoA seeks input from provider agencies, CCU users of eCCPIS and AAAs on functional improvements to the system. Several reports have been added to the eCCPIS as a result. IDoA is developing software that will allow IDoA to collect all the information on the CCC assessment and maintain it in a database in IDoA's computer system. This information will allow IDoA to generate a multitude of reports and analyze many additional facts and data gathered during the assessment process.

IDoA contracts with Shawnee Information Systems Development (SISD) to maintain and update CMIS and provide technical assistance to all CCU users. SISD conducts periodic trainings for CCU users on how to enter data and utilize reports available in CMIS.

c) The agencies responsible for conducting the financial audit program:

HFS, IDoA and the Auditor General are responsible for conducting the financial audit program.

For participants enrolled in an MCO, the Medical agency's internal and external auditing procedures will ensure that payments are made to a managed care entity only for eligible persons who have been properly enrolled in the waiver.

The Plans are responsible for reviewing payments made directly to providers for waiver services as part of the MCO contract. The Plans must have an internal process to validate payments to waiver providers. This includes the claims processing system verifying an individual's waiver eligibility prior to paying claims. This will be reviewed in the Readiness Review.

Post-payment plans of care and financial reviews are also conducted. Additionally, the Plans will implement call-in checks for some waiver services to verify a provider was on-site as required during the specified time(s) and post-service verification forms for participants to validate they received services.

### **Appendix I: Financial Accountability**

## **Quality Improvement: Financial Accountability**

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Financial Accountability

State financial oversight exists to assure that claims are coded and paid for in accordance with the reimbursement methodology specified in the approved waiver. (For waiver actions submitted before June 1, 2014, this assurance read "State financial oversight exists to assure that claims are coded and paid for in accordance with the reimbursement methodology specified in the approved waiver.")

#### i. Sub-Assurances:

a. Sub-assurance: The State provides evidence that claims are coded and paid for in accordance with the reimbursement methodology specified in the approved waiver and only for services rendered. (Performance measures in this sub-assurance include all Appendix I performance measures for waiver actions submitted before June 1, 2014.)

#### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

#### **Performance Measure:**

431: Eligibility is verified and services are authorized prior to payments being made for services through the IDoA management information system.

**Data Source** (Select one): **Other** If 'Other' is selected, specify: **eCCPIS** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
<b>Operating Agency</b>	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually	Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
<b>Operating Agency</b>	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

**Performance Measure:** 

44I: The IDoA management information system ensures that paid claims do not exceed the authorized limits on services for each individual.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

eCCPIS		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
<b>Operating Agency</b>	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually	Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:

	Other Specify	:	
Oata Aggregation and An		In	
Responsible Party for dat aggregation and analysis hat applies):			f data aggregation and ck each that applies):
State Medicaid Agen	ıcy	Weekly	
<b>Operating Agency</b>		Monthly	у
Sub-State Entity		Quarter	·ly
Other Specify:		Annuall	y
		Continu	ously and Ongoing
		Other Specify:	
Performance Measure: 51: 100% of waiver particate of service that waiver Data Source (Select one): Other f 'Other' is selected, specifits HFS Data Warehouse Qu	r claims are p	oaid.	l enrolled in the waiver on
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency collection/ge (check each		Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	7	<b>V</b> 100% Review
Operating Agency	Month!	ly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarte	rly	Representative

Sample

Confidence Interval =

Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify: semi-annually	

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

<b>Encounter Data</b>		
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>100%</b> Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	<b>Annually</b>	Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis: Responsible Party for data** Frequency of data aggregation and aggregation and analysis (check each **analysis**(check each that applies): that applies): Weekly **State Medicaid Agency** Monthly **Operating Agency Sub-State Entity** Quarterly **Other Annually** Specify: MCO **Continuously and Ongoing Other** Specify: semi-annually

**Performance Measure:** 

461: The administrative claim costs for the operating agency are reviewed in compliance with the approved DoA Public Assistance Cost Allocation Plan.

**Data Source** (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

**Approved IDoA Public Assistance Cost Allocation Plan** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	<b>▼</b> 100% Review
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b> Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:

	Other Specify	:		
Data Aggregation and Ana	alysis:			
Responsible Party for dat aggregation and analysis that applies):		Frequency o analysis(chec		regation and at applies):
<b>▼</b> State Medicaid Agen	cy	Weekly		
Operating Agency		Monthly	y	
Sub-State Entity		Quarter	·ly	
Other Specify:		Annual	ly .	
		Continu	ously and	Ongoing
		Other Specify:		
Performance Measure: 47I: # and % of payments waiver application. N: # of specified in the waiver app  Data Source (Select one): Other	OA and MO	CO payments	using the	correct rate as
If 'Other' is selected, specify <b>Encounter Data</b>	y:			
Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of collection/g			Approach ch that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly		<b>100%</b>	6 Review
Operating Agency	Month!	y	Less Revi	than 100% ew
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarte</b>	rly	Sam	resentative ple Confidence Interval =

the

		n u
Other Specify:	<b>✓</b> Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
1		
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
<b> ✓</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	<b>Monthly</b>
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: MCO	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify: Semi-annually

#### **Performance Measure:**

48I: # and % of payments there were paid for services that were specified in the participant's service plan. N: # of payments made to the MCO that are specified in the participant's service plan. D: Total # of MCO payments.

Data Source (Select one):

Other

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

**MCO Reports** 

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review

Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	<b>Quarterly</b>	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify: MCO	<b>✓</b> Annually	Stratified  Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Specify: Non- Representative Sample
	Other Specify:	

**Data Aggregation and Analysis:** 

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: MCO	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify: Semi-Annually

b. Sub-assurance: The state provides evidence that rates remain consistent with the approved rate methodology throughout the five year waiver cycle.

### **Performance Measures**

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

For those functions delegated to the OA and the MCOs, the MA is responsible for oversight and monitoring to assure compliance with federal assurances and performance measures. The MA monitors both compliance levels and timeliness of remediation by the OA and MCOs through fiscal monitoring and ongoing reporting by the OA and MCO.

The MA's sampling methodology is based on a statistically valid sampling methodology that pulls proportionate samples from the OA and the enrolled MCOs. The proportionate sampling methodology uses a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error. The MA will pull the sample annually and adjust the methodology as additional MCOs are enrolled to provide long term services and supports.

For the administrative claims review, the MA reviews the entire DoA claim related to Medicaid administrative costs.

For the waiver claims review, the Medicaid Agency staff utilize the Data Warehouse query capability to analyze the entire dataset of paid waiver claims. The Medicaid Agency utilizes an exception report and review format as a component of the agency's financial accountability activity. Agency staff have constructed database queries that encompass waiver eligibility, coding and payment criteria. Based on these criteria, twice a year the Medicaid Agency conducts analysis of all paid claims and only the claims that were not paid in accordance with set parameters are identified and extracted. This review will include capitation payments made to MCOs and encounter claims submitted by MCOs.

For those functions delegated to the MCO, the MA is responsible for discovery. MCOs are required to submit quarterly reports, using the format required by the MA, on specific Performance Measures (PMs), which are specified in HFS' contracts with Integrated Care Program MCOs that provide waiver services. Contracts specify numerators, denominators, sampling approaches, data sources, etc. Through its contract with the EQRO, the MA monitors both compliance of PMs and timeliness of remediation for those waiver participants enrolled in an MCO through consumer surveys and quarterly record reviews. Participants in MCOs are included in the representative sampling.

Additionally, the Plans will implement call-in checks for some waiver services to verify a provider was onsite as required during the specified time(s) and post-service verification forms for participants to validate they received services.

#### b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.
43I:HFS will require the OA to void the federal claim for services provided prior to the customers' waiver enrollment. Remediation must be completed within 30 days.

44I:If claims are paid which exceed the authorized limits, the OA will initiate the claims adjustment within 60 days of discovery.

45I: HFS will require the OA to void the federal claim for services provided prior to the customers' waiver enrollment. Remediation must be completed within 30 days. HFS will adjust the federal claim for services provided by the MCO prior to the customers' waiver enrollment. Remediation must be completed within 30 days.

46I: If administrative claim costs are out of compliance with approved DHS Public Assistance Cost Allocation Plan, the OA will work with the MA to regain compliance. Remediation must be completed

within 30 days.

47I:HFS will require the MCO to recoup the overpayment or repay at correct rate. Remediation must be completed within 30 days.

48I:The MCO will determine whether the service was authorized. If authorized, the MCO will revise customer service plan; If not authorized, the MA will void the federal claims that were not consistent with service plans. Remediation must be completed within 30 days.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
<b>▽</b> State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify: MCO	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify: Semi-annually

c. Timel

When the State d	oes not have all elements of the Quality	/ Improvement Strategy in	place, provide timelines to design
methods for disco	very and remediation related to the ass	surance of Financial Accou	intability that are currently non-
operational.			

0	No
	Yes

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Financial Accountability, the specific timeline for implementing
identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.

## **Appendix I: Financial Accountability**

### I-2: Rates, Billing and Claims (1 of 3)

a. Rate Determination Methods. In two pages or less, describe the methods that are employed to establish provider payment rates for waiver services and the entity or entities that are responsible for rate determination. Indicate any opportunity for public comment in the process. If different methods are employed for various types of services, the description may group services for which the same method is employed. State laws, regulations, and policies referenced in the description are available upon request to CMS through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable).

Rate methodologies are developed by the Community Care Program within the Operating Agency (OA) with consultation and final approval by the Medicaid agency (MA). All waiver rates must be reviewed and approved by the MA, and are subject to public comment. The MA solicits public comments when changes in methods and standards for establishing payment rates under the waiver are proposed. The notice is published in accordance with the Federal requirements in 42 CFR 447.205, which prescribes the content and publication criteria for the notice. Copies of the rate notices are on file with HFS. The rates are available to the public through the OA's website.

Rates of payment for program services since the initial 1915c waiver was approved have been established as described below:

In-home services: In-home services rates are fixed unit rates. To establish the initial rate in the original joint 1982 Aging and Disability waiver, IDoA employed an RFP process where applicants indicated their costs for providing the service and the size of the population each applicant projected it could serve. The rate was then established at one standard deviation above the mean of the weighted costs received. Subsequent rates added COLA to the previous rate or through rates agreed upon between the State and SEIU. Effective 07/01/08, a three-year agreement raised the in-home services rate to coincide with the three-step increase in the federal Fair Minimum Wage Act of 2007.

The in-home service rates include administrative costs and direct care staff wages. Rule, 89 Illinois Administrative Code, Part 240-Section 2040, provides that in-home services agencies must expend a minimum of 77% of the total revenues on the direct service worker. The rates are not geographically based and do not include room and board. Effective 07/01/08, the rate was enhanced to cover health insurance costs under PA 95-713. Total revenue does not include any amount received as an enhanced rate for health insurance costs by a provider.

In-home services rates are reviewed annually and adjustments are made to conform to the Community Care Program's (CCP) appropriation and to program service requirements and Federal and State changes in statutes and rules affecting the CCP. In establishing fixed unit rates of reimbursement, IDoA takes into consideration the following: 1) service utilization and cost information; 2) current market conditions and trend analyses; and 3) CCP budgetary cost assumptions and enacted appropriation. In-home services rates are formalized by the GA in IDoA's enabling legislation (20 ILCS 105/4.02).), and through the annual appropriations budget process.

Adult Care Day Service (ADS) rates are based on a fee-for-service reimbursement rate structure that consists of two fixed unit rates, one for ADS and another for transportation. The initial unit rate for ADS was established as five direct client contact hours per day (excluding transportation). In April 1996, IDoA changed the definition of an ADS unit to allow less than five hours of ADS to be authorized. The ADS rates include both administrative and direct care costs. They are not geographically based and do not include room and board.

The fixed unit rates are reviewed annually and adjustments are made to conform to the CCP appropriation and to program service requirements and Federal and State changes in statutes and rules affecting the CCP. In establishing fixed unit rates of reimbursement, IDoA takes into consideration the following: 1) service utilization and cost information; 2) current market conditions and trend analyses; and 3) CCP budgetary cost assumptions and enacted appropriation.

Subsequent rates are determined by adding negotiated COLA increases to the previous rates, which have been formalized by the General Assembly in IDoA's enabling legislation (20 ILCS 105/4.02).), and through the annual appropriations budget process.

Emergency Home Response (EHR) rates are based on fixed unit rates that were established in 2007, pursuant to an RFI process. Payment includes a one-time installation fee and a separate monthly rate for ongoing EHR services. The rate covers the following: maintaining adequate local staffing levels of personnel, installation, training, signal monitoring, technical support and repairs. Rates are not geographically based and do not include room and board. In establishing fixed unit rates of reimbursement, IDoA takes into consideration the following: 1) service utilization and cost information; 2) current market conditions and trend analyses; and 3) CCP budgetary cost assumptions and enacted appropriation.

The fixed unit rates are reviewed and adjustments are made when negotiated and approved as part of the annual CCP appropriation process; and to conform to applicable Federal and State changes in statutes and rules affecting the

Capitated rates for waiver services implemented through MCOs were developed by the State's contracted actuary by analyzing historical waiver data information including: enrollment, utilization and paid claims. This information was converted to a Per Participant Per Month (PPPM) basis and stratified by waiver service. The capitated rate for MCOs is a flat monthly rate, and is in compliance with 42 CFR 438.6.

Automated Medication Dispenser (AMD): The AMD fixed unit rates were established in 2013, pursuant to a Request for Information (RFI) process followed by establishing a rate methodology for an average fee-for service reimbursement rate to ensure adequate provider participation and participant choice. The payment consists of a one-time installation fee and separate monthly rate for ongoing rental and technical support of the AMD. The installation rate covers the following: maintaining adequate local staffing levels of qualified personnel to service necessary administrative activities, installation, and in-home training. The monthly rental rate covers the following: maintaining administrative and technical support to program machines, providing 24 hour technical assistance,

signal monitoring, troubleshooting, providing machine maintenance and repair requests in a timely manner, sending notifications on missed doses and providing reports as requested by IDoA. Rates are not geographically based and do not include room and board. In establishing fixed unit rates of reimbursement, IDoA takes into consideration the following:

- 1) Cost information provided by service providers;
- 2) Current market conditions and trend analyses; and
- 3) Community Care Program budgetary cost assumptions and enacted appropriation.

The fixed unit rates are reviewed annually, at a minimum, and adjustments are made to conform to the Community Care Program's appropriation and to program service requirements and Federal and State changes in statutes and rules affecting the Community Care Program. The rates are available to the Public through the IDoA website at http://www.state.il.us/aging/.

**b. Flow of Billings.** Describe the flow of billings for waiver services, specifying whether provider billings flow directly from providers to the State's claims payment system or whether billings are routed through other intermediary entities. If billings flow through other intermediary entities, specify the entities:

The CCUs enter information collected from the assessment into the CMIS, a relational computerized database. The data collected in CMIS creates a Case Authorization Transaction (CAT) that is transmitted to IDOA by the CCU via internet (eCCPIS). CAT information includes authorization for service by contract number, amount of authorized units, and initiation date for each authorized service. Provider agencies submit billing to IDoA by either uploading a file from their local computer or entering the data directly on the eCCPIS Internet web pages. IDoA verifies the provider billing against the CAT service record. The provider billing must pass through numerous edits before fiscal processing begins. Once the provider billing is approved, IDoA prepares a voucher for payment. IDoA then submits claims to HFS for Medicaid waiver claiming for all eligible participants.

#### Medicaid agency claims processing

IDoA waiver claiming data are transmitted to the Medicaid agency via computer tape exchange. The waiver subsection of the MMIS matches the individual against the recipient eligibility file to ensure Medicaid eligibility on the date of service and matches the provider against the provider enrollment file to ensure that the provider is enrolled as a waiver provider with the Medicaid agency. The waiver MMIS includes edits for waiver claims that conflict with other waivers, hospitals, nursing home, hospice facilities, or ICF/MR claims and rejects waiver claims that are duplicative or incompatible.

The Medicaid agency pays the Managed Care Organizations (Plans) a monthly capitated rate for waiver services.

This payment is generated from MMIS based on participants' eligibility in the database system for waiver services. Waiver providers receive payment for services by billing the Plans. The Plans issue payments based on claims received and verification of individual participant waiver eligibility. These claims paid by the MCO are then submitted through the State's MMIS system as encounter data.

## **Appendix I: Financial Accountability**

### I-2: Rates, Billing and Claims (2 of 3)

c.	Certifying .	Public	Expenditures	(se	lect	one)	<b>)</b> :
----	--------------	--------	--------------	-----	------	------	------------

No. State or local government agencies do not certify expenditures for waiver services.
Yes. State or local government agencies directly expend funds for part or all of the cost of waiver
services and certify their State government expenditures (CPE) in lieu of billing that amount to
Medicaid.

### Select at least one:

Certified Public Expenditures (CPE) of State Public Agencies.

Specify: (a) the State government agency or agencies that certify public expenditures for waiver services; (b) how it is assured that the CPE is based on the total computable costs for waiver services; and, (c) how the State verifies that the certified public expenditures are eligible for Federal financial participation in accordance with 42 CFR §433.51(b).(Indicate source of revenue for CPEs in Item I-4-a.)

Certified Public Expenditures (CPE) of Local Govern	ment Agencies.
Specify: (a) the local government agencies that incur cert	
how it is assured that the CPE is based on total computable verifies that the certified public expenditures are eligible with 42 CFR §433.51(b). (Indicate source of revenue for	for Federal financial participation in accordance
verifies that the certified public expenditures are eligible	for Federal financial participation in accord

## **Appendix I: Financial Accountability**

## I-2: Rates, Billing and Claims (3 of 3)

**d. Billing Validation Process.** Describe the process for validating provider billings to produce the claim for federal financial participation, including the mechanism(s) to assure that all claims for payment are made only: (a) when the individual was eligible for Medicaid waiver payment on the date of service; (b) when the service was included in the participant's approved service plan; and, (c) the services were provided:

Provider billings are validated by IDoA to verify the effective date of the participant's authorization for services as included in an approved plan of care. Paid Claims are passed through to HFS and MMIS processing edits are initiated for Medicaid and waiver eligibility. Lastly, HFS performs post-payment plan of care and financial reviews.

Monthly capitated rates are paid by the Medicaid Agency to the Managed Care Organizations (Plans). This payment is generated by the MMIS based on participants''eligibility for waiver services as identified in the database system. The Plans only receive payment for individuals eligible for waiver services. The MCO payment process is automated to generate a monthly capitation to the health plans based on the rate cell of each enrollee each month. The MA reviews to ensure the accurate rate is entered into the system, and also spot checks payment reports to ensure payments are made correctly. In addition, the MCOs are required to review their monthly payment and report to the Department any discrepancies.

In general, the rate cells for the Medicaid Component are stratified by age (21-64 and 65+), geographic service area (Greater Chicago and Central Illinois), and setting-of-care.

Capitation Rate updates will take place on January 1st of each calendar year. MCOs will be provided a rate report, to be signed by MA and MCO, on an annual basis for the upcoming calendar year.

The State has a monthly capitation program that reads the State's Recipient Database to determine who is enrolled with a particular MCO. The program includes logic that uses the enrollee's eligibility criteria to determine the appropriate rate cell to be used in generating the payment. As a result of this process, a file is created of MCO schedules which are then sent on to the Comptroller for payment. Once the payment has been made by the Comptroller, a file is sent back to HFS by the Comptroller that includes a warrant number and date. HFS then creates a HIPAA 820 files for each MCO. The 820 file contains the detailed payment information on each of the MCO's enrollees.

The Plans are required to have internal processes to validate payments to waiver providers. The Plans' claims processing system must verify an individual's waiver eligibility prior to paying claims.

Post-payment plans of care and financial reviews are also conducted, to ensure that plans of care are consistent with needs identified in individuals' assessments. Additionally, the Plans will implement call-in checks for some waiver services to verify a provider was on-site as required during the specified time(s) and post-service verification forms for participants to validate they received services.

The MCO payment process is automated to generate a monthly capitation to the health plans based on the rate cell of each enrollee each month. The State reviews to ensure the accurate rate is entered into the system, and also spot checks payment reports to ensure payments are made correctly. In addition the MCOs are required to review their monthly payment and report to the MA for discrepancies.

**e. Billing and Claims Record Maintenance Requirement.** Records documenting the audit trail of adjudicated claims (including supporting documentation) are maintained by the Medicaid agency, the operating agency (if applicable), and providers of waiver services for a minimum period of 3 years as required in 45 CFR §92.42.

Ap	pendix	<b>I</b> :	<b>Financial</b>	Accountability

v .
I-3: Payment (1 of 7)
a. Method of payments MMIS (select one):
<ul> <li>Payments for all waiver services are made through an approved Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS).</li> </ul>
Payments for some, but not all, waiver services are made through an approved MMIS.
Specify: (a) the waiver services that are not paid through an approved MMIS; (b) the process for making such payments and the entity that processes payments; (c) and how an audit trail is maintained for all state and federal funds expended outside the MMIS; and, (d) the basis for the draw of federal funds and claiming of these expenditures on the CMS-64:
IDoA makes payments from a central computer system and submits to the comptroller's office for payment. Claims are then sent to HFS for further editing and for Medicaid claiming. The audit trail is established through state agency approved rates, service plan authorizations, documentation of service delivery, and computerized payment and claiming systems cross-matched with the MMIS.
Monthly capitated rates are paid by the Medicaid Agency to the Managed Care Organizations (Plans). This payment is generated by the MMIS based on participants'eligibility for waiver services as identified in the database system. The Plans only receive payment for individuals eligible for waiver services.
Payments for waiver services are not made through an approved MMIS.
Specify: (a) the process by which payments are made and the entity that processes payments; (b) how and through which system(s) the payments are processed; (c) how an audit trail is maintained for all state and federal funds expended outside the MMIS; and, (d) the basis for the draw of federal funds and claiming of these expenditures on the CMS-64:
Payments for waiver services are made by a managed care entity or entities. The managed care entity is paid a monthly capitated payment per eligible enrollee through an approved MMIS.
Describe how payments are made to the managed care entity or entities:
pendix I: Financial Accountability
I-3: Payment (2 of 7)
<ul> <li><b>b. Direct payment.</b> In addition to providing that the Medicaid agency makes payments directly to providers of waiver services, payments for waiver services are made utilizing one or more of the following arrangements (<i>select at least one</i>):</li> </ul>
The Medicaid agency makes payments directly and does not use a fiscal agent (comprehensive or limited) or a managed care entity or entities.

The Medicaid agency pays providers through the same fiscal agent used for the rest of the Medicaid

The Medicaid agency pays providers of some or all waiver services through the use of a limited fiscal

agent.

Specify the limited fiscal agent, the waiver services for which the limited fiscal agent makes payment, the functions that the limited fiscal agent performs in paying waiver claims, and the methods by which the Medicaid agency oversees the operations of the limited fiscal agent:

The limited fiscal agent is a function of the operating agency IDoA.

The provider signs the three-party Medicaid provider agreement that allows voluntary reassignment of pay. The operating agency makes payments directly to providers of waiver services and certifies those expenditures to the Medicaid agency.

The operating agency explains to providers that the waiver agreement voluntarily reassigns payment responsibility to the operating agency and that they have the option to bill HFS, directly, if they choose.

The Operating Agency passes the detail expenditure data once a month via an electronic tape to HFS, the Single Statewide Medicaid claiming agency for the State of Illinois. The data is fed into the Medical Management Information System (MMIS) and is subject to edits to ensure the information provided is accurate and that the services/providers are eligible for federal match under Title XIX. Should any claims have inaccurate information those claims are rejected by the system and a file of the rejected claims is passed back to the Operating agency for their review. Claims that pass through the system without error pass into the Management Administrative Reporting System (MARS) reporting unit. The MARS unit is responsible for generating the reports to the Bureau of Federal Finance (BFF) who use the reports to claim Medicaid expenditure data quarterly on the CMS 64. MARS also has a series of edits and codes that are used to filter data to ensure accuracy and to determine to what program the expenditure should be reported. The BFF reports the expenditures on the CMS 64 on a quarterly basis 30 days after the quarter's end.

In accordance with the Cash Management Improvement Act (CMIA), the BFF draws down federal monies from the Title XIX grant for the waiver on a weekly basis and deposits the funds into the General Revenue Fund (GRF). The amount to be drawn is an estimate derived by using historical expenditure data. Once the CMS 64 is completed at the quarter's end, the BFF reconciles the estimated cash draw to the actual expenditures reported on the CMS 64. The reconciling expenditure amount is either added to or subtracted from the grant award depending on whether or not the adjustment is over or under the original estimated amount.

Providers are paid by a managed care entity or entities for services that are included in the State's contract with the entity.

Specify how providers are paid for the services (if any) not included in the State's contract with managed care entities.

Not applicable.

## **Appendix I: Financial Accountability**

## **I-3: Payment (3 of 7)**

c.	Supplemental or Enhanced Payments. Section 1902(a)(30) requires that payments for services be consistent with
	efficiency, economy, and quality of care. Section 1903(a)(1) provides for Federal financial participation to States for
	expenditures for services under an approved State plan/waiver. Specify whether supplemental or enhanced payments
	are made. Select one:

No. The State does not make supplemental or enhance	ed payments for waive	er services.

Yes. The State makes supplemental or enhanced payments for waiver services.

Describe: (a) the nature of the supplemental or enhanced payments that are made and the waiver services for which these payments are made; (b) the types of providers to which such payments are made; (c) the source of the non-Federal share of the supplemental or enhanced payment; and, (d) whether providers eligible to receive the supplemental or enhanced payment retain 100% of the total computable expenditure claimed by the State to CMS. Upon request, the State will furnish CMS with detailed information about the total amount of supplemental or enhanced payments to each provider type in the waiver.

A	ppendix	I:	<b>Financial</b>	<b>Accountability</b>
---	---------	----	------------------	-----------------------

	<b>1-3: Payment</b> (4 of 7)		
	yments to State or Local Government Providers. Specify whether State or local government providers receive ment for the provision of waiver services.		
@	No. State or local government providers do not receive payment for waiver services. Do not complete Item 3-3-e.		
	es. State or local government providers receive payment for waiver services. Complete Item I-3-e.		
	Specify the types of State or local government providers that receive payment for waiver services and the services that the State or local government providers furnish:		
Append	ix I: Financial Accountability		
	I-3: Payment (5 of 7)		
Spe pay	nount of Payment to State or Local Government Providers.  Secify whether any State or local government provider receives payments (including regular and any supplemental ments) that in the aggregate exceed its reasonable costs of providing waiver services and, if so, whether and how State recoups the excess and returns the Federal share of the excess to CMS on the quarterly expenditure report.		
	ect one:		
An	swers provided in Appendix I-3-d indicate that you do not need to complete this section.		
	The amount paid to State or local government providers is the same as the amount paid to private providers of the same service.		
	The amount paid to State or local government providers differs from the amount paid to private providers of the same service. No public provider receives payments that in the aggregate exceed its reasonable costs of providing waiver services.		
	The amount paid to State or local government providers differs from the amount paid to private providers of the same service. When a State or local government provider receives payments (including regular and any supplemental payments) that in the aggregate exceed the cost of waiver services, the State recoups the excess and returns the federal share of the excess to CMS on the quarterly expenditure report.		
	Describe the recoupment process:		

# **Appendix I: Financial Accountability**

**I-3: Payment** (6 of 7)

- f. Provider Retention of Payments. Section 1903(a)(1) provides that Federal matching funds are only available for expenditures made by states for services under the approved waiver. Select one:
  - Providers receive and retain 100 percent of the amount claimed to CMS for waiver services.
  - Providers are paid by a managed care entity (or entities) that is paid a monthly capitated payment.

Specify whether the monthly capitated payment to managed care entities is reduced or returned in part to the State.

Application for	1915(c) HCBS Waiver: IL.0143.R05.06 - Mar 01, 2014 (as of Mar 0 Page 180 of 200
	Financial Accountability
1-3:	Payment (7 of 7)
g. Additional	Payment Arrangements
i. Vol	untary Reassignment of Payments to a Governmental Agency. Select one:
	No. The State does not provide that providers may voluntarily reassign their right to direct payments to a governmental agency.
	Yes. Providers may voluntarily reassign their right to direct payments to a governmental agency as provided in 42 CFR §447.10(e).
	Specify the governmental agency (or agencies) to which reassignment may be made.
	Illinois Department on Aging
ii. Org	ganized Health Care Delivery System. Select one:
	No. The State does not employ Organized Health Care Delivery System (OHCDS) arrangements under the provisions of 42 CFR §447.10.
	Yes. The waiver provides for the use of Organized Health Care Delivery System arrangements under the provisions of 42 CFR §447.10.
	Specify the following: (a) the entities that are designated as an OHCDS and how these entities qualify for designation as an OHCDS; (b) the procedures for direct provider enrollment when a provider does not voluntarily agree to contract with a designated OHCDS; (c) the method(s) for assuring that participants have free choice of qualified providers when an OHCDS arrangement is employed, including the selection of providers not affiliated with the OHCDS; (d) the method(s) for assuring that providers that furnish services under contract with an OHCDS meet applicable provider qualifications under the waiver (e) how it is assured that OHCDS contracts with providers meet applicable requirements; and, (f) how financial accountability is assured when an OHCDS arrangement is used:
iii. Cor	ntracts with MCOs, PIHPs or PAHPs. Select one:
	The State does not contract with MCOs, PIHPs or PAHPs for the provision of waiver services. The State contracts with a Managed Care Organization(s) (MCOs) and/or prepaid inpatient health plan(s) (PIHP) or prepaid ambulatory health plan(s) (PAHP) under the provisions of §1915(a)(1) of the Act for the delivery of waiver and other services. Participants may voluntarily elect to receive waiver and other services through such MCOs or prepaid health plans. Contracts with these health plans are on file at the State Medicaid agency.
	Describe: (a) the MCOs and/or health plans that furnish services under the provisions of §1915(a)(1); (b) the geographic areas served by these plans; (c) the waiver and other services furnished by these plans; and, (d) how payments are made to the health plans.
•	This waiver is a part of a concurrent §1915(b)/§1915(c) waiver. Participants are required to obtain waiver and other services through a MCO and/or prepaid inpatient health plan (PIHP) or a prepaid ambulatory health plan (PAHP). The §1915(b) waiver specifies the types of health plans that are used and how payments to these plans are made.

Appendix I: F	'inancial <i>A</i>	Accountability
---------------	--------------------	----------------

[-4:	Non-F	'ederal	<b>Matching</b>	<b>Funds</b>	(1  of  3)	)
------	-------	---------	-----------------	--------------	------------	---

A	
	opropriation of State Tax Revenues to the State Medicaid agency
✓ Ap	opropriation of State Tax Revenues to a State Agency other than the Medicaid Agency.
en M	the source of the non-federal share is appropriations to another state agency (or agencies), specify: (a) the Statity or agency receiving appropriated funds and (b) the mechanism that is used to transfer the funds to the edicaid Agency or Fiscal Agent, such as an Intergovernmental Transfer (IGT), including any matching rangement, and/or, indicate if the funds are directly expended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated in Item -c:
	ne operating agency receives the non-federal share through the General Revenue Fund appropriations. ther State Level Source(s) of Funds.
me In	becify: (a) the source and nature of funds; (b) the entity or agency that receives the funds; and, (c) the echanism that is used to transfer the funds to the Medicaid Agency or Fiscal Agent, such as an tergovernmental Transfer (IGT), including any matching arrangement, and/or, indicate if funds are directly pended by State agencies as CPEs, as indicated in Item I-2-c:
J! '	I. Einemeiel Accountability
	I: Financial Accountability -4: Non-Federal Matching Funds (2 of 3)
ocai (	Government or Other Source(s) of the Non-Federal Share of Computable Waiver Costs. Specify the
	Government or Other Source(s) of the Non-Federal Share of Computable Waiver Costs. Specify the or sources of the non-federal share of computable waiver costs that are not from state sources. Select One:
ource	or sources of the non-federal share of computable waiver costs that are not from state sources. <i>Select One</i> : ot Applicable. There are no local government level sources of funds utilized as the non-federal share.
ource No Ap	or sources of the non-federal share of computable waiver costs that are not from state sources. Select One:  ot Applicable. There are no local government level sources of funds utilized as the non-federal share.  opplicable
ource ource of No.	or sources of the non-federal share of computable waiver costs that are not from state sources. <i>Select One</i> : ot Applicable. There are no local government level sources of funds utilized as the non-federal share.
ource No Ap	or sources of the non-federal share of computable waiver costs that are not from state sources. Select One:  ot Applicable. There are no local government level sources of funds utilized as the non-federal share.  oplicable heek each that applies:
ource o	or sources of the non-federal share of computable waiver costs that are not from state sources. Select One:  ot Applicable. There are no local government level sources of funds utilized as the non-federal share.  oplicable heck each that applies: Appropriation of Local Government Revenues.  Specify: (a) the local government entity or entities that have the authority to levy taxes or other revenues; (b) the source(s) of revenue; and, (c) the mechanism that is used to transfer the funds to the Medicaid Agency or Fiscal Agent, such as an Intergovernmental Transfer (IGT), including any matching arrangement (indicate any intervening entities in the transfer process), and/or, indicate if funds are directly expended by

# **Appendix I: Financial Accountability**

# I-4: Non-Federal Matching Funds (3 of 3)

	<b>ormation Concerning Certain Sources of Funds.</b> Indicate whether any of the funds listed in Items I-4-a or I-4-b make up the non-federal share of computable waiver costs come from the following sources: (a) health care-
	ted taxes or fees; (b) provider-related donations; and/or, (c) federal funds. Select one:
(	None of the specified sources of funds contribute to the non-federal share of computable waiver costs
	The following source(s) are used
	Check each that applies:  Health care-related taxes or fees
	Provider-related donations
	Federal funds
	For each source of funds indicated above, describe the source of the funds in detail:
Append	ix I: Financial Accountability
	I-5: Exclusion of Medicaid Payment for Room and Board
a. Ser	vices Furnished in Residential Settings. Select one:
@	No services under this waiver are furnished in residential settings other than the private residence of the individual.
	As specified in Appendix C, the State furnishes waiver services in residential settings other than the
b. Me	personal home of the individual.  thod for Excluding the Cost of Room and Board Furnished in Residential Settings. The following describes
the	methodology that the State uses to exclude Medicaid payment for room and board in residential settings:
<u>Do</u>	not complete this item.
Append	ix I: Financial Accountability
	I-6: Payment for Rent and Food Expenses of an Unrelated Live-In Caregiver
Reim	bursement for the Rent and Food Expenses of an Unrelated Live-In Personal Caregiver. Select one:
	No. The State does not reimburse for the rent and food expenses of an unrelated live-in personal caregiver who resides in the same household as the participant.
	Yes. Per 42 CFR §441.310(a)(2)(ii), the State will claim FFP for the additional costs of rent and food that can be reasonably attributed to an unrelated live-in personal caregiver who resides in the same household as the waiver participant. The State describes its coverage of live-in caregiver in Appendix C-3 and the costs attributable to rent and food for the live-in caregiver are reflected separately in the computation of factor D (cost of waiver services) in Appendix J. FFP for rent and food for a live-in caregiver will not be claimed when the participant lives in the caregiver's home or in a residence that is owned or leased by the provider of Medicaid services.

The following is an explanation of: (a) the method used to apportion the additional costs of rent and food attributable to the unrelated live-in personal caregiver that are incurred by the individual served on the waiver and (b) the method used to reimburse these costs:

Application for 1915(c) HCBS Waiver: IL.0143.R05.06 - Mar 01, 2014 (as of Mar 0 Page 183 of 200
Appendix I: Financial Accountability
I-7: Participant Co-Payments for Waiver Services and Other Cost Sharing (1 of 5)
<b>a. Co-Payment Requirements.</b> Specify whether the State imposes a co-payment or similar charge upon waiver participants for waiver services. These charges are calculated per service and have the effect of reducing the total computable claim for federal financial participation. <i>Select one:</i>
<ul> <li>No. The State does not impose a co-payment or similar charge upon participants for waiver services.</li> <li>Yes. The State imposes a co-payment or similar charge upon participants for one or more waiver services.</li> </ul>
i. Co-Pay Arrangement.
Specify the types of co-pay arrangements that are imposed on waiver participants ( <i>check each that applies</i> ):
Charges Associated with the Provision of Waiver Services (if any are checked, complete Items I-7-a-ii through I-7-a-iv):
Nominal deductible
Coinsurance
Co-Payment Other charge
Specify:
Appendix I: Financial Accountability
I-7: Participant Co-Payments for Waiver Services and Other Cost Sharing (2 of 5)
a. Co-Payment Requirements.
ii. Participants Subject to Co-pay Charges for Waiver Services.
Answers provided in Appendix I-7-a indicate that you do not need to complete this section.
Appendix I: Financial Accountability
I-7: Participant Co-Payments for Waiver Services and Other Cost Sharing (3 of 5)
a. Co-Payment Requirements.
iii. Amount of Co-Pay Charges for Waiver Services.
Answers provided in Appendix I-7-a indicate that you do not need to complete this section.

## **Appendix I: Financial Accountability**

I-7: Participant Co-Payments for Waiver Services and Other Cost Sharing (4 of 5)

- a. Co-Payment Requirements.
  - iv. Cumulative Maximum Charges.

Answers provided in Appendix I-7-a indicate that you do not need to complete this section.

## **Appendix I: Financial Accountability**

I-7: Participant Co-Payments for Waiver Services and Other Cost Sharing (5 of 5)

- **b.** Other State Requirement for Cost Sharing. Specify whether the State imposes a premium, enrollment fee or similar cost sharing on waiver participants. *Select one*:
  - No. The State does not impose a premium, enrollment fee, or similar cost-sharing arrangement on waiver participants.
  - Yes. The State imposes a premium, enrollment fee or similar cost-sharing arrangement.

Describe in detail the cost sharing arrangement, including: (a) the type of cost sharing (e.g., premium, enrollment fee); (b) the amount of charge and how the amount of the charge is related to total gross family income; (c) the groups of participants subject to cost-sharing and the groups who are excluded; and, (d) the mechanisms for the collection of cost-sharing and reporting the amount collected on the CMS 64:

## **Appendix J: Cost Neutrality Demonstration**

J-1: Composite Overview and Demonstration of Cost-Neutrality Formula

**Composite Overview.** Complete the fields in Cols. 3, 5 and 6 in the following table for each waiver year. The fields in Cols. 4, 7 and 8 are auto-calculated based on entries in Cols 3, 5, and 6. The fields in Col. 2 are auto-calculated using the Factor D data from the J-2-d Estimate of Factor D tables. Col. 2 fields will be populated ONLY when the Estimate of Factor D tables in J-2-d have been completed.

### Level(s) of Care: Nursing Facility

Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8
Year	Factor D	Factor D'	Total: D+D'	Factor G	Factor G'	Total: G+G'	Difference (Col 7 less Column4)
1	7234.64	2306.04	9540.68	21921.00	2320.00	24241.00	14700.32
2	7489.18	2355.11	9844.29	23080.22	2506.94	25587.16	15742.87
3	9823.28	2405.23	12228.51	24300.75	2708.94	27009.69	14781.18
4	9926.31	2456.41	12382.72	25585.82	2927.22	28513.04	16130.32
5	10294.71	2508.69	12803.40	26938.84	3163.09	30101.93	17298.53

## **Appendix J: Cost Neutrality Demonstration**

J-2: Derivation of Estimates (1 of 9)

**a. Number Of Unduplicated Participants Served.** Enter the total number of unduplicated participants from Item B-3-a who will be served each year that the waiver is in operation. When the waiver serves individuals under more than one level of care, specify the number of unduplicated participants for each level of care:

**Table: J-2-a: Unduplicated Participants** 

	Total Unduplicated	Distribution of	Unduplicated Participants by Level of Care (if applicable)	
Waiver Year	Number of Participants (from Item B -3-a)	aval at Cara		
		Nursing Facility		
Year 1	32095	32095		
Year 2	33058	33058		
Year 3	44250	44250		
Year 4	48675	48675		
Year 5	52237	52237		

# **Appendix J: Cost Neutrality Demonstration**

## J-2: Derivation of Estimates (2 of 9)

**b.** Average Length of Stay. Describe the basis of the estimate of the average length of stay on the waiver by participants in item J-2-a.

The average length of stay is based on the last five 372 Reports.

## **Appendix J: Cost Neutrality Demonstration**

**J-2: Derivation of Estimates (3 of 9)** 

- c. Derivation of Estimates for Each Factor. Provide a narrative description for the derivation of the estimates of the following factors.
  - **i.** Factor D Derivation. The estimates of Factor D for each waiver year are located in Item J-2-d. The basis for these estimates is as follows:

The estimates for the waiver services (Factor D) were based on accepted Medicaid claims data for Waiver Year 2007(October 1, 2006 through September 30, 2007) through Waiver Year 2010(October 2009 through September 30, 2010). Estimates were projected forward considering the increases in the number of Medicaid waiver participants and the trends in the utilization of waiver services.

#### Fee-for-service Population:

We have assumed that the unique number of users by waiver service and the cost per unit would remain the same. We have calculated the units per user by maintaining the same aggregate number of units in both the fee-for-service and MCO populations, as compared with the current waiver Factor D calculation.

#### MCO Population:

We have distributed an average monthly capitation rate of \$1,700 across the rate categories. We have assumed that the average length of stay on the waiver would be the same for both the fee-for-service and MCO populations. We have assumed that the average units per user would be consistent with the current Factor D. We have assumed the same ratio of users per service based on the current Factor D. The cost per unit of service was used as the residual calculation after determining the other variables.

ii. Factor D' Derivation. The estimates of Factor D' for each waiver year are included in Item J-1. The basis of these estimates is as follows:

Projected Health Care Costs for Ancillary service were obtained from the Healthcare Cost Review quarterly report which currently shows a nationwide projected increase in health care cost of 2.128%.

HFS did not include costs associated with prescription drugs for Medicare Part D beneficiaries. The waiver renewal projections were made by determining the average prescription drug costs for the previous five years, and adjusting for the shift from Medicaid covered drugs to Medicare Part D coverage, effective January 01, 2006.

The capitation rate for waiver participants enrolled in Managed Care Organization will include both waiver

services, as identified in Factor D, and ancillary medical and pharmacy services. The capitation rate will be certified as actuarially sound. The capitation rate will be developed based on historical fee-for-service costs for ancillary services for waiver recipients from state fiscal years 2008 through 2011. The historical ancillary service expenditures will be trended forward to the contract rating years. Further, adjustments will be applied for policy and program changes, as well as anticipated managed care impact adjustments. The capitation rate will also include an administrative and risk load appropriate for the MCO.

Since not all waiver recipients are enrolled in an MCO, Factor D' will be developed based on a blend of those remaining in fee-for-service and those enrolling in an MCO.

**iii. Factor G Derivation.** The estimates of Factor G for each waiver year are included in Item J-1. The basis of these estimates is as follows:

Factor G is the institutional cost per person for those over 60 years. Factor G is estimated to increase by 3.41% each year for WY'10 - WY'14 due to utilization. The 3.41% increase incorporates both case mix increases and rate increases to Nursing Homes for each waiver year.

For participants receiving nursing facility services through a Managed Care Organization (MCO), a capitation rate specific to nursing facility services is used. The capitation rate will be certified as actuarially sound. The capitation rate will be developed based on historical fee-for-service nursing facility costs from state fiscal years (SFY) 2008 through 2011. The historical nursing facility experience will be trended forward to the contract rating years. Further, adjustments will be applied for policy and program changes, as well as anticipated managed care impact adjustments. The capitation rate will also include an administrative and risk load appropriate for the MCO.

Since not all nursing facility residents are enrolled in an MCO, Factor G will be developed based on a blend of those remaining in fee-for-service and those enrolling in an MCO.

iv. Factor G' Derivation. The estimates of Factor G' for each waiver year are included in Item J-1. The basis of these estimates is as follows:

Factor G Prime is estimated to increase 8.25% for WY'10 - WY'14. This percentage is based upon the average historical percent change for WY'03 - WY'08. Actual ancillary expenditures per capita for Institutional residents and carried forward to WY'10 - WRY'14. These estimates include case mix and rate increases. Factor G Prime is based on ancillary services received by the comparable population of nursing home residents over age 60.

The projections were based on utilization of Medicaid ancillary services for waiver participants and nursing facility participants. Waiver participants receive additional services that are covered by non-Medicaid funded entities. Examples include Title III services, home-delivered meals, and medication monitoring services provided through various demonstration projects. The combination of waiver services and other services, as described above may assist in lowering the need for the Medicaid ancillary services, thus resulting in D' projections being lower than G' projections.

The capitation rate nursing facility residents enrolled in Managed Care Organization will include both nursing facility services, as identified in Factor G, and ancillary medical and pharmacy services. The capitation rate will be certified as actuarially sound. The capitation rate will be developed based on historical fee-for-service costs for ancillary services for nursing facility residents from state fiscal years 2008 through 2011. The historical ancillary service expenditures will be trended forward to the contract rating years. Further, adjustments will be applied for policy and program changes, as well as anticipated managed care impact adjustments. The capitation rate will also include an administrative and risk load appropriate for the MCO.

Since not all nursing home residents are enrolled in an MCO, Factor G' will be developed based on a blend of those remaining in fee-for-service and those enrolling in an MCO.

# **Appendix J: Cost Neutrality Demonstration**

## J-2: Derivation of Estimates (4 of 9)

**Component management for waiver services.** If the service(s) below includes two or more discrete services that are reimbursed separately, or is a bundled service, each component of the service must be listed. Select "*manage components*" to add these components.

Waiver Services	
Adult Day Service	
In-home Service	
Automated Medication Dispenser (AMD)	
<b>Emergency Home Response Service</b>	

## J-2: Derivation of Estimates (5 of 9)

### d. Estimate of Factor D.

ii. Concurrent §1915(b)/§1915(c) Waivers, or other authorities utilizing capitated arrangements (i.e., 1915(a), 1932(a), Section 1937). Complete the following table for each waiver year. Enter data into the Unit, # Users, Avg. Units Per User, and Avg. Cost/Unit fields for all the Waiver Service/Component items. If applicable, check the capitation box next to that service. Select Save and Calculate to automatically calculate and populate the Component Costs and Total Costs fields. All fields in this table must be completed in order to populate the Factor D fields in the J -1 Composite Overview table.

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost		
Adult Day Service Total:							12058437.02		
Adult Day Service		Hour	2122	496.41	9.02	9501505.82			
Adult Day Service Transportation		Hour	1920	160.45	8.30	2556931.20			
Adult Day Service Capitated	<b>V</b>	Hour	0	0.00	9.02	0.00			
Adult Day Service Transportation Capitated	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	8.30	0.00			
Adult Day Service MMAI	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00			
Adult Day Service Transportation MMAI	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00			
Adult Day Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00			
Adult Day Service Transportation MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00			
In-home Service Total:							217629074.15		
In-home Service		Hour	29693	451.59	16.23	217629074.15			
GRAND TOTAL:         232195800.           Total: Services included in capitation:         0.0           Total: Services not included in capitation:         232195800.           Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants:         3200           Factor D (Divide total by number of participants):         7234.           Services included in capitation:         0.0           Services not included in capitation:         7234.           Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:         242									

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost
In-home Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	16.23	0.00	
In-home Service MMAI	<b>V</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
In-home Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Automated Medication Dispenser (AMD) Total:							0.00
Automated Medication Dispenser Service		Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation		One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
AMD & EHRS Service		Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
AMD & EHRS Installation		One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Automated Medication Dispenser Service Capitated	>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation Capitated	<b>&gt;</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
AMD & EHRS Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
AMD & EHRS Installation Capitated	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Automated Medication Dispenser Service MMAI	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation MMAI	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
AMD & EHRS Service MMAI	√	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
AMD & EHRS Installation MMAI	√	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Automated Medication Dispenser Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>&gt;</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Automated Medication Dispenser	<b>V</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
GRAND TOTAL:  Total: Services included in capitation:  Total: Services not included in capitation:  Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants:  Factor D (Divide total by number of participants):  Services included in capitation:  Services not included in capitation:  Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:							

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost
Installation MMAI Opt Out							
AMD & EHRS Service MMAI Opt Out	√	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
AMD & EHRS Installation MMAI Opt Out	√	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Emergency Home Response Service Total:							2508288.90
Emergency Home Response Service		Month	13112	6.60	28.00	2423097.60	
Emergency Home Response Service Install		One-time	2757	1.03	30.00	85191.30	
Emergency Home Response Service Capitated	✓	Month	0	0.00	28.00	0.00	
Emergency Home Response Service Install Capitated	<b>√</b>	One-time	0	0.00	30.00	0.00	
Emergency Home Response Service MMAI	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Emergency Home Response Service Install MMAI	<b>&gt;</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Emergency Home Response Service MMAI Opt Out	>	Month	0	0.01	0.01	0.00	
Emergency Home Response Service Install MMAI Opt Out	<b>&gt;</b>	One-time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
GRAND TOTAL:  Total: Services included in capitation: Total: Services not included in capitation: Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants: Factor D (Divide total by number of participants): Services included in capitation: Services not included in capitation: Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:							

**J-2:** Derivation of Estimates (6 of 9)

### d. Estimate of Factor D.

ii. Concurrent §1915(b)/§1915(c) Waivers, or other authorities utilizing capitated arrangements (i.e., 1915(a), 1932(a), Section 1937). Complete the following table for each waiver year. Enter data into the Unit, # Users, Avg. Units Per User, and Avg. Cost/Unit fields for all the Waiver Service/Component items. If applicable, check the capitation box next to that service. Select Save and Calculate to automatically calculate and populate the Component Costs and Total Costs fields. All fields in this table must be completed in order to populate the Factor D fields in the J -1 Composite Overview table.

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost	
Adult Day Service Total:							12458370.83	
Adult Day Service		Hour	2234	491.37	9.02	9901439.63		
Adult Day Service Transportation		Hour	1920	160.45	8.30	2556931.20		
Adult Day Service Capitated	<b>V</b>	Hour	0	0.00	9.02	0.00		
Adult Day Service Transportation Capitated	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	8.30	0.00		
Adult Day Service MMAI	√	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Adult Day Service Transportation MMAI	√	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Adult Day Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>V</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Adult Day Service Transportation MMAI Opt Out		Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
In-home Service Total:							232246257.66	
In-home Service		Hour	30262	472.86	16.23	232246257.66		
In-home Service Capitated	√	Hour	0	0.00	16.23	0.00		
In-home Service MMAI	$\checkmark$	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
In-home Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser (AMD) Total:							0.00	
Automated Medication Dispenser Service	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Service	✓	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Installation	<b>V</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
GRAND TOTAL:         247577208           Total: Services included in capitation:         0           Total: Services not included in capitation:         247577208           Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants:         336           Factor D (Divide total by number of participants):         7489           Services included in capitation:         0           Services not included in capitation:         7489           Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:         24								

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost	
Automated Medication Dispenser Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation Capitated	<b>V</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Installation Capitated	<	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Service MMAI	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation MMAI	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Service MMAI	√	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Installation MMAI	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Service MMAI Opt Out	✓	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Installation MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Emergency Home Response Service Total:							2872580.10	
Emergency Home Response Service		Month	14423	6.90	28.00	2786523.60		
Emergency Home Response Service Install		One-time	2785	1.03	30.00	86056.50		
Emergency Home Response Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	28.00	0.00		
Emergency Home Response Service Install Capitated	<b>√</b>	One-time	0	0.00	30.00	0.00		
	GRAND TOTAL:  Total: Services included in capitation:  Total: Services not included in capitation:  2  Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants:  Factor D (Divide total by number of participants):  Services included in capitation:  Services not included in capitation:  Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:							

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost	
Emergency Home Response Service MMAI	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Emergency Home Response Service Install MMAI	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Emergency Home Response Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Emergency Home Response Service Install MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	One-time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
			GRANE	TOTAL:			247577208.60	
			Total: Services included in	capitation:			0.00	
			al: Services not included in	•			247577208.60	
			stimated Unduplicated Par de total by number of par	-			33058 7489.18	
		Factor D (DIVI	Services included in	-			0.00	
			Services not included in	•			7489.18	
	Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:							

**J-2: Derivation of Estimates (7 of 9)** 

### d. Estimate of Factor D.

ii. Concurrent §1915(b)/§1915(c) Waivers, or other authorities utilizing capitated arrangements (i.e., 1915(a), 1932(a), Section 1937). Complete the following table for each waiver year. Enter data into the Unit, # Users, Avg. Units Per User, and Avg. Cost/Unit fields for all the Waiver Service/Component items. If applicable, check the capitation box next to that service. Select Save and Calculate to automatically calculate and populate the Component Costs and Total Costs fields. All fields in this table must be completed in order to populate the Factor D fields in the J -1 Composite Overview table.

Waiver Year: Year 3

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost
Adult Day Service Total:							21030819.60
Adult Day Service		Hour	2183	840.00	9.02	16540154.40	
Adult Day Service Transportation		Hour	2147	252.00	8.30	4490665.20	
Adult Day Service Capitated	<	Hour	0	0.00	9.02	0.00	
Adult Day Service Transportation Capitated	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	8.30	0.00	
			434680161.60 0.00 434680161.60 44250 9823.28 0.00 9823.28 242				

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost	
Adult Day Service MMAI	<b>V</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Adult Day Service Transportation MMAI	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Adult Day Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>V</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Adult Day Service Transportation MMAI Opt Out	√	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
In-home Service Total:							407457540.00	
In-home Service		Hour	44250	504.00	18.27	407457540.00		
In-home Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	18.27	0.00		
In-home Service MMAI	√	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
In-home Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser (AMD) Total:							0.00	
Automated Medication Dispenser Service		Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation		One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Service		Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Installation		One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation Capitated	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Service Capitated	√	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Installation Capitated	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
	GRAND TOTAL:  GRAND TOTAL:  Total: Services included in capitation:  Total: Services not included in capitation:  43  Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants:  Factor D (Divide total by number of participants):  Services included in capitation:  Services not included in capitation:  Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:							

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost	
Automated Medication Dispenser Service MMAI	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation MMAI	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Service MMAI	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Installation MMAI	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Installation MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Emergency Home Response Service Total:							6191802.00	
Emergency Home Response Service		Month	17907	12.00	28.00	6016752.00		
Emergency Home Response Service Install		One-time	5835	1.00	30.00	175050.00		
Emergency Home Response Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	28.00	0.00		
Emergency Home Response Service Install Capitated	<b>√</b>	One-time	0	0.00	30.00	0.00		
Emergency Home Response Service MMAI	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Emergency Home Response Service Install MMAI	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Emergency Home Response Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Emergency Home Response Service Install MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	One-time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
	GRAND TOTAL:  GRAND TOTAL:  Total: Services included in capitation:  Total: Services not included in capitation:  4  Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants:  Factor D (Divide total by number of participants):  Services included in capitation:  Services not included in capitation:  Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:							

## J-2: Derivation of Estimates (8 of 9)

### d. Estimate of Factor D.

ii. Concurrent §1915(b)/§1915(c) Waivers, or other authorities utilizing capitated arrangements (i.e., 1915(a), 1932(a), Section 1937). Complete the following table for each waiver year. Enter data into the Unit, # Users, Avg. Units Per User, and Avg. Cost/Unit fields for all the Waiver Service/Component items. If applicable, check the capitation box next to that service. Select Save and Calculate to automatically calculate and populate the Component Costs and Total Costs fields. All fields in this table must be completed in order to populate the Factor D fields in the J -1 Composite Overview table.

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost
Adult Day Service Total:							22356603.24
Adult Day Service		Hour	2314	811.69	9.02	16941820.95	
Adult Day Service Transportation		Hour	2171	243.53	8.30	4388240.13	
Adult Day Service Capitated	√	Hour	78	840.00	12.45	815724.00	
Adult Day Service Transportation Capitated	<b>√</b>	Hour	73	252.00	11.46	210818.16	
Adult Day Service MMAI	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Adult Day Service Transportation MMAI	<b>√</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Adult Day Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>&gt;</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Adult Day Service Transportation MMAI Opt Out	>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
In-home Service Total:							453968044.58
In-home Service		Hour	48675	486.97	18.27	433058646.98	
In-home Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Hour	1645	504.00	25.22	20909397.60	
In-home Service MMAI	<b>V</b>	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
In-home Service MMAI Opt Out	√	Hour	0	0.00	0.01	0.00	
	GRAND TOTAL:  Total: Services included in capitation: Total: Services not included in capitation:  Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants:  Factor D (Divide total by number of participants):  Services included in capitation: Services not included in capitation:  Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:						

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost	
Automated Medication Dispenser (AMD) Total:							0.00	
Automated Medication Dispenser Service		Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation		One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Service		Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Installation		One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation Capitated	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Service Capitated	<b>V</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Installation Capitated	<b>V</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Service MMAI	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation MMAI	>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Service MMAI	<b>V</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Installation MMAI	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Service MMAI Opt Out	>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
AMD & EHRS Installation MMAI Opt Out	√	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00		
	GRAND TOTAL:  Total: Services included in capitation:  Total: Services not included in capitation:  4  Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants:  Factor D (Divide total by number of participants):  Services included in capitation:  Services not included in capitation:  Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:							

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost		
Emergency Home Response Service Total:							6838522.84		
Emergency Home Response Service		Month	19652	11.59	28.00	6377467.04			
Emergency Home Response Service Install		One-time	5019	0.97	30.00	146052.90			
Emergency Home Response Service Capitated	<b>V</b>	Month	664	12.00	38.65	307963.20			
Emergency Home Response Service Install Capitated	>	One-time	170	1.00	41.41	7039.70			
Emergency Home Response Service MMAI	<b>V</b>	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00			
Emergency Home Response Service Install MMAI	$\checkmark$	One-Time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00			
Emergency Home Response Service MMAI Opt Out	$\checkmark$	Month	0	0.00	0.01	0.00			
Emergency Home Response Service Install MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	One-time	0	0.00	0.01	0.00			
	GRAND TOTAL:  Total: Services included in capitation: Total: Services not included in capitation:  Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants:  Factor D (Divide total by number of participants):  Services included in capitation: Services not included in capitation:  Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:								

J-2: Derivation of Estimates (9 of 9)

### d. Estimate of Factor D.

ii. Concurrent §1915(b)/§1915(c) Waivers, or other authorities utilizing capitated arrangements (i.e., 1915(a), 1932(a), Section 1937). Complete the following table for each waiver year. Enter data into the Unit, # Users, Avg. Units Per User, and Avg. Cost/Unit fields for all the Waiver Service/Component items. If applicable, check the capitation box next to that service. Select Save and Calculate to automatically calculate and populate the Component Costs and Total Costs fields. All fields in this table must be completed in order to populate the Factor D fields in the J -1 Composite Overview table.

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost	
Adult Day Service Total:							24502120.51	
Adult Day Service		Hour	2453	580.56	9.02	12845505.39		
Adult Day Service Transportation		Hour	2323	174.26	8.30	3359889.63		
Adult Day Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Hour	123	840.00	12.39	1280134.80		
Adult Day Service Transportation Capitated	<b>√</b>	Hour	116	252.00	11.40	333244.80		
Adult Day Service MMAI	>	Hour	647	531.92	9.24	3179966.70		
Adult Day Service Transportation MMAI	<b>√</b>	Hour	612	159.61	8.51	831268.03		
Adult Day Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Hour	431	438.39	11.21	2118085.67		
Adult Day Service Transportation MMAI Opt Out	√	Hour	408	131.58	10.32	554025.48		
In-home Service Total:							502698206.53	
In-home Service		Hour	52237	348.39	18.27	332492960.82		
In-home Service Capitated	<b>V</b>	Hour	2618	504.00	25.09	33105552.48		
In-home Service MMAI	<b>V</b>	Hour	13768	319.16	18.72	82259328.15		
In-home Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Hour	9179	263.08	22.71	54840365.08		
Automated Medication Dispenser (AMD) Total:							2974595.13	
Automated Medication Dispenser Service		Month	6799	3.46	40.00	940981.60		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation		One-Time	6799	0.69	50.00	234565.50		
AMD & EHRS Service		Month	3054	3.46	65.00	686844.60		
AMD & EHRS Installation		One-Time	3054	0.69	50.00	105363.00		
	GRAND TOTAL:  Total: Services included in capitation: Total: Services not included in capitation:  Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants:  Factor D (Divide total by number of participants): Services included in capitation: Services not included in capitation: Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:							

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost	
Automated Medication Dispenser Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Month	341	5.00	54.92	93638.60		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation Capitated	<b>V</b>	One-Time	341	1.00	68.65	23409.65		
AMD & EHRS Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Month	153	5.00	89.25	68276.25		
AMD & EHRS Installation Capitated	<b>√</b>	One-Time	153	1.00	68.65	10503.45		
Automated Medication Dispenser Service MMAI	<b>√</b>	Month	1792	3.17	40.99	232849.43		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation MMAI	√	One-Time	1792	0.63	51.24	57847.91		
AMD & EHRS Service MMAI	<b>V</b>	Month	805	3.17	66.61	169978.73		
AMD & EHRS Installation MMAI	<b>V</b>	One-Time	805	0.63	51.24	25986.37		
Automated Medication Dispenser Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Month	1195	2.61	49.73	155105.38		
Automated Medication Dispenser Installation MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	One-Time	1195	0.52	62.16	38626.22		
AMD & EHRS Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Month	537	2.61	80.81	113260.87		
AMD & EHRS Installation MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	One-Time	537	0.52	62.16	17357.56		
Emergency Home Response Service Total:							7589665.87	
Emergency Home Response Service		Month	21242	8.29	28.00	4930693.04		
Emergency Home Response Service Install		One-time	4261	0.69	30.00	88202.70		
Emergency Home Response Service Capitated	<b>√</b>	Month	1065	12.00	38.45	491391.00		
Emergency Home Response Service Install Capitated	<b>√</b>	One-time	214	1.00	41.19	8814.66		
	GRAND TOTAL:  Total: Services included in capitation:  Total: Services not included in capitation:  Total Estimated Unduplicated Participants:  Factor D (Divide total by number of participants):  Services included in capitation:  Services not included in capitation:  Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:							

Waiver Service/ Component	Capi- tation	Unit	# Users	Avg. Units Per User	Avg. Cost/ Unit	Component Cost	Total Cost
Emergency Home Response Service MMAI	<b>√</b>	Month	5599	7.60	28.69	1220828.36	
Emergency Home Response Service Install MMAI	<b>√</b>	One-time	1123	0.63	30.74	21748.24	
Emergency Home Response Service MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	Month	3733	6.26	34.81	813460.27	
Emergency Home Response Service Install MMAI Opt Out	<b>√</b>	One-time	749	0.52	37.30	14527.60	
			GRANE	TOTAL:			537764588.04
			Total: Services included in	capitation:			182079581.75
			al: Services not included in	•			355685006.28
			timated Unduplicated Par	•			52237 10294.71
	Factor D (Divide total by number of participants):						
	Services included in capitation:						
	Services not included in capitation:						
	Average Length of Stay on the Waiver:						242